



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5

Issue: XI

Month of publication: November 2017

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Review on Implementation of Six Sigma for Controlling Defects.

Santosh Dabhole¹, Anu raje², Sonal Khandave³, Pavankumar Ghule⁴, Akash Shinde⁵

¹Assistant Professor, ^{2,3,4,5}UG Student Department of Mechanical Engineering, PCET's Nutan Maharashtra Institute of Engineering and Technology, Talegaon Dabhade, Maharashtra.

Abstract: Six Sigma is a highly disciplined process that helps to focus on developing and delivering near-perfect products and services. It is a business process that allows companies to drastically improve their bottom line by designing and monitoring everyday business activities that minimize waste and resources while increasing customer satisfaction.

The lean manufacturing company under consideration recorded the high defect formation for last few years. These defects cause the organization the heavy time loss, production loss and heavy costs of material. This review presents how the six sigma technique helps to identify the cause of defects produced and also will help to minimize them.

Key Words: Six Sigma, Process capability, Normal Distribution, Zero defects, DMAIC

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, an increasing number of companies have used different types of quality programs in order to increase internal and external customer satisfaction as well as to reduce quality cost. Process improvement has often been accomplished through an integrated approach, using problem solving techniques such as total quality management (TQM) and classic statistical analysis. Among all these programs, Six Sigma is perhaps the most widely applied. The Define-Measure-Analyze-Improve-Control (DMAIC) approach is followed to solve problems of reducing process variation and the associated high defect rate [1]. The Greek letter σ or 'sigma' is a notation of variation in the sense of standard deviation. For a stable process the distance from the process mean to the nearest tolerance limit should, according to the Six Sigma approach, be at least six times the standard deviation σ of the process output. However, the process mean is also allowed to vary over time. If the process mean varies at most 1.5σ from the target value, then on average at most 3.4 Defectives per Million Opportunities (DPMO) will occur if the output is normally distributed [2]. The Six Sigma methodology involves DMAIC phases. The strategy is to use the five phases to provide the framework to improve a process. The five phases are depicted in Figure 1.




Figure 1: DMAIC Phases

A. Phase 1- Define

The define phase involves defining the scope and goals of the improvement project in terms of customer requirements and the process that delivers these requirements represented in a project charter.

Tools and techniques used in define phase:

- 1) Affinity Diagram,
- 2) Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle,
- 3) Supplier Input Process Output Customer (SIPOC) process map.

B. Phase 2 – Measure

This phase involves measuring the current process performance – input, output and process – and calculating the sigma metric for both short and longer-term process capability. One must also ensure that the measuring device and procedure are reproducible and repeatable so that there is confidence in the data collected.

Tools and techniques used in Measure phase:

- 1) Pareto Chart,
- 2) Check/Data Sheet,
- 3) Process Capability,
- 4) Histogram.

C. Phase 3 – Analyze

The analyze phase involves identifying the gap between the current and desired performance, prioritizing problems and identifying root causes of problems. Benchmarking the process outputs, products or services, against recognized benchmark standards of performance may also be carried out. Depending upon the performance gap found, a decision will be made as to whether the existing process can be improved or whether it should be redesigned.

Tools and Techniques:

- 1) Cause and Effect Diagram,
- 2) Multi – vari Charts,
- 3) Scatter Diagram,
- 4) Analysis of Variances (ANOVA).

D. Phase 4 – Improve

The improve phase involves generating the improvement solutions to fix the

Problems and prevent them from recurring so that the required financial and other performance goals are met. Creativity will be required to find new ways to do things to the quality, cost and time standards required by the performance improvement goals.

Tools and Techniques:

- 1) Design of Experiment,
- 2) Deployment Flowchart,
- 3) Tree Diagram,
- 4) Failure Mode Effects Analysis (FMEA).

E. Phase 5 – Control

The Control phase involves implementing the improved process in a way that “holds the gains”. Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) will be documented in systems such as ISO9000 and standard measurement procedures will be established using techniques such as statistical process control (SPC). The cycle is repeated, if further performance shortfalls are identified.

Tools and Techniques

- 1) Quality Function Deployment (QFD),
- 2) Standard Operation Procedure (SOP),
- 3) Statistical Process Control (SPC).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Hsiang-Chin Hung et. al. [3]

| Parameter | Define | Measure | Analysis | Improve | Control |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Shrinkage of bun | 1.Set goal point 2.Focus on customer complains 3.Select most prone object | 1.PFD 2.Cause & Effect Diagram | 1.Statistical Analysis by ANOVA 2.FMEA 3.Brainstorming | 1.Design of experiments to investigate best recipe 2.Revision of FMEA | 1.Train machine operator 2.Periodic maintenance Plan 3.Incoming Material Inspection 4.Revised SOP & SPC control chart |

Description: Food distribution SMEs have got the potential to implement the quality initiatives if there is an integrated and collaborative approach in alignment with SC objectives and if there is enough knowledge of the terminology, the principles and the tools in quality initiatives.

B. AdanValleset. al. [4]

| Parameter | Define | Measure | Analysis | Improve | Control |
|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Electrical Failures | 1.Selection of critical customer characteristics 2. Selection of response variable 3.Detection of defects during testing | 1.Normality test of data 2.Analysis of Process Capability 3.Repeatability & Reproducibility | 1.Brainstorming 2.Pareto chart 3.Statistical analysis 4.Variance Test | 1.Implementation of Possible Solution 2.Implementation of other equipment | 1.Maintenance Plan 2.Test of Equality |

Description: The implementation of this project has been considered as successful because the critical factor for the process were found and controlled. Therefore, the control plan was updated and new operating conditions for the production process. The base line of the project was 3.35 sigma level and the gain 0.37 sigma that represents the elimination of 18,788 PPM's.

C. Leonard A. Perry [5]

| Parameter | Define | Measure | Analysis | Improve | Control |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Process Quality Improvement | 1.Problem Definition 2.Project Team 3.Time duration 4.Project Target using SIPOC | 1.Measurement Plan 2.Capability Indices 3.Histogram | 1.Brainstorming 2.Cause & Effect Diagram 3.FMEA | 1.Regulation Diagram 2.Reaction Plan | 1.QFD Method 2.Affinity Diagram |

Description: The practical implications of the research can be seen in the suggested model, including procedures, suitable methods, and tools for implementation and permanent utilization of SSM in manufacturing enterprises. The model presents a never-ending cycle, which ensures sustainable process performance.

III. METHODOLOGY

Chassis manufacturing company is considered which does not involve six sigma methodology, that consumes most of the manufacturing cycle time to rework on weld defects of chassis components. Pareto chart for component Main Pipe is drawn on the basis of data check sheet that describes the proportions of each defects occurrence on weekly basis.

A. Check sheet for Component - Main Pipe Defects:

Total no of components inspected= 1000

| Sr. No. | Defects | Quantity of defects |
|---------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Spatter | 76 |
| 2 | Welding undercut | 57 |
| 3 | Welding incomplete | 7 |
| 4 | Weld burn | 5 |
| 5 | Blow holes | 3 |
| 6 | Shabby welding | 2 |

Table 1: Check sheet for component- main pipeDefects

B. Pareto Chart for Main Pipe Defect




Figure 2: Pareto chart for main pipe Defects

C. Process Flowchart of Six Sigma




Figure 3: Methodology proposed

IV. FUTURE SCOPE

There are several tools and techniques used to achieve Six Sigma, following amongst them are proposed to reduce rework of welded components of chassis i.e. Head pipe, main pipe, center pipe.

- A. Interrelation Diagram
- B. Histogram
- C. Pareto Chart
- D. Cause and effect diagram
- E. FMEA
- F. Process capability

In BadveAutocomps Pvt. Ltd. Reworking of welded component consumes most of the manufacturing cycle time. By using above tools and techniques of Six Sigma manufacturing time cycle of chassis is aimed to be reduced.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, six sigma tool used for cost reduction during manufacturing of components is studied. These tools, interrelation diagram, Cause and Effect diagram, Pareto chart, FMEA etc, are found to be very effective.

This increase in performance and decrease in process variation lead to defect reduction and improvement in profits, employee morale, & quality of product or services. Six Sigma is a quality control program focused on meeting customer requirements, improving customer retention, and improving and sustaining business products and services. These tools are proposed for reduction



in manufacturing cycle time of welded components of two chassis in badveautocomps pvt. Ltd. Six Sigma is a method that provides organizations tools to improve the capability of their business processes.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sushil Kumar, "Application of six sigma in Automobile Industry", Department of Mechanical Engineering, Maharaja Agrasen University, Baddi ,India
- [2] T. Pfeifer, W.Reissiger, C.Canales, "Integrating Six Sigma with quality management systems", the TQM Magazine, Vol16, No4, 2004, pp 241-249
- [3] Hsiang-Chin Hung and Ming-Hsien Sung, "Applying six sigma to manufacturing processes in the food industry to reduce quality cost", ISSN 1992-2248 ©2011 Academic Journals
- [4] AdanValles, Jaime Sanchez, Salvador Noriega, Berenice Gómez Nuñez, "Implementation of Six Sigma in a Manufacturing Process: A Case Study", Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering Institute of Engineering and Technology Autonomous University of Cd. Juárez Cd. Juarez, Chih. México
- [5] Leonard A. Perry, "Six Sigma: Concepts, tools, and applications", Industrial Management & Data Systems, Vol105, No4, 2005, pp 491- 505



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)