

# A Review on Noise and Denoise of Biometric Images

Jyotsna Singh<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Sanjay Jain<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>M.Tech(CSE) Research Scholar, Asso. Professor Dept of CSA, ITM University, Gwalior

**Abstract:** In our work we mainly focused on denoising of biometric images such as MRI and USI images. In digital image processing, lessening in the noise is an essential procedure to interpret, analyze & enhance the main facts in an image. Still Lessening of Noise is a demanding task. Hence this study offers knowledge of noise and its types and also briefly defines the general denoising techniques for biometric images.

**Keywords:** Bimetric images, Noise, Denoise, Filters etc.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays we are surrounded by digital images from everywhere, with the growing amount of devices capable of capturing, sharing and delivering multimedia sources. Restoration of Image is the method of estimating the original, clean image, by taking a noisy / corrupt image. Corruption might occur in many forms such as, noise, camera misfocus and motion and blur. The image restoration techniques are used to recover loss of resolution and lessen the noise. Denoising method for digital images is used to abolish the several sorts of noise. For experts the most difficult issue is expelling noise from the novel signal till the date. As of date there have been a numerous algorithms is published and each methodology has its own assumptions, advantages, and limitations. Drawing out feature and object recognition include the operations which shows extremely vibrant part in Medical diagnosis. For settling on precise choices the images acquired ought to be free from noise. Therefore the research area of advancement of successful algorithm for exclusion of noise has turned out to be imperative these days. But the job of developing denoising algorithms is quite strainful, since fine facts of concern relating to analytic data ought not to be destroyed during noise removal [34]. Denoising plays a major part in modern applications in different fields, including satellite images, medical images, astronomical images, printing industries, defense applications and preprocessing for computer vision [7, 33].

The other paper is structured as follows, in section to II; we discuss numerous sorts of noise introduced in digital images. Section III, describe several kinds of denoising methods, section IV describe my proposed work and segment V gives the conclusion of the paper.

## II. CLASSIFICATION OF NOISES

Digital Images often comprise noise, which may emerge because of sensor flaw, poor light, or communication errors. Expelling such noises is of countless value in various applications, and this may clarify the huge interest for this issue and its solution. In every case, the significance of the issue of denoising of image goes past the obvious applications it serves. Being the simplest possible inverse problem, it gives a helpful stage over which image processing ideas and procedures can be tried and perfected [1].

By additive noises modeled, typical images are degraded by either a uniform, Gaussian or pepper or salt distribution.

A speckle noise is an additional typical kind of noise which is multiplicative in nature. Noise exists in an image moreover in multiplicative form or additive form. An additive sort of noise keeps an eye on the rule, [5, 1].

$$w(x, y) = s(x, y) + n(x, y) \quad (1)$$

While the multiplicative noise satisfies,

$$w(x, y) = s(x, y) \times n(x, y) \quad (2)$$

### A. Gaussian Noise

This noise is uniformly dispersed over signal. This implies that every single pixel in the noisy image is the addition of a noise value and random Gaussian circulated true pixel value. At every point the noise is liberated of intensity of pixel value. An uncommon case is white Gaussian noise, in which the values at any couple of times are indistinctly distributed and measurably free. A Gaussian distribution, which has a bell fashioned probability distribution function given by

$$F(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(g-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (3)$$

Where sigma is the standard deviation of the noise, g is the gray level and m is the mean or average of the function. It is graphically characterized as presented in Figure 1 [5]




Figure 1: Gaussian Distribution

**B. Salt and Pepper Noise**

This is an impulse form of noise. It is actually the intensity spikes. This kind of noise is coming in data transmission Due to errors. This sort of noise occurs In images because of sudden and sharp variations of image signal. It has only 2 probable values, a and b. The probability of each one is typically less than 0.1. Giving the image a “salt and pepper” like appearance, the degraded pixels are fixed alternatively to the least or to the extreme rate [1, 5].




Figure 2: PDF for Salt and Pepper Noise




Figure 3: Salt and Pepper Noise

**C. Poisson Noise**

Once quantity of photons detected via sensor is not adequate to give perceivable measurable data then the Poisson or shot photon noise is caused. Because of the phenomenon like electric current and light comprises of the movement of discrete packets the Shot noise exists [1].

**D. Speckle noise**

It is multiplicative noise unlike to the Gaussian and salt pepper noise. These sorts of noise can be shown by multiplication of arbitrary value with image pixel values and can be stated as

$$P = I + n * I$$

Where I is the input image, P is the speckle noise distribution image, and n is the uniform noise image by mean 0 and variance v [1].

## II. DENOISING AND ITS VARIOUS TECHNIQUES

Denoising is basically a technique which is named on the removal methods of noise. It is extremely essential to apply the denoising methods over the noisy images or on the affected area of the images to lessen or eliminate the noise from the image. It is also used to extract the features of the image. There are countless varieties of filters including linear and non-linear filters. Linear types of filters output will change linearly on changing the input on the other side in case of non-linear filters there will be non-linearly change in the out with the certain kind of inputs.

### A. Mean Filter

The mean filter is a modest spatial filter. Mean filter acts on a image by smoothing it. This is a basic sliding window spatial filter with which the average of all the adjoining pixel values comprising itself it exchanges the middle value in the window. This procedure is repeated for all pixel values in the image. By achieving this, it switches pixels that are unreliable to their environment. The window is typically square yet it can be of any shape. This gives a computed value of 5. The middle value is 1, in the pixel matrix and it is switched with this calculated value 5 [1]. This study projected a novel two stages adaptive fuzzy switching weighted mean filter to take out SAP noise. It has two stages, in the preceding stage; an improved maximum ALD is used. In the second stage, to eliminate noise, a distance relevant adaptive fuzzy switching weighted mean filter is cast-off for each type of pixel. The investigational outcomes shows that our technique attains the greatest outcomes and usages fewer processing time than maximum other technique on the assessment with several existing algorithms [25].

A new wavelet-domain diffusion system is suggested for denoising of image. In the offered system, for performance of denoising the shrinkage function plays a crucial role. Wavelet coefficient magnitude and local mean filter is cast-off in the scheme of the function. Outcome shows that the offered novel method is superior to the state-of-the-art denoising of image techniques in the wavelet domain. Furthermore, the suggested system is also very proficient compared to former methods [26]

### B. Median Filtering

This form of filter is a modest and powerful non-linear filter which is grounded on order statistics, whose reaction depends on the positioning of pixel values enclosed in filter region. It is easy to device the technique of smooth out the images. Alike to the mean filter, the median filter also follows the principle of moving window.

123	125	126	130	140
122	124	126	127	135
118	120	150	125	134
119	115	119	123	133
111	116	110	120	130

Fig. 4 Neighborhood values: 115, 119, 120, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 150 Median value: 124

In another term an alternative to linear smoothing is median filtering. The idea underlying this filtering is to process an image pixel by pixel. Each pixel is exchanged by the median of the value of a set of neighboring pixels. The method can therefore also be regarded as a filtering technique, though the filter is non-linear (and non-separable in general) [3, 1].

Cecilia Pasquini, et al. (2016) offers a technique which is able to recognize the usage of a median filter to 1D data. The strategy depends on deterministic mathematical properties of the median filter. A set of patterns that can't be existing in median filtered groupings have been computed offline and the final calculation comprises in looking such patterns in the investigation sequences. The proposed technique has been applied on 1D signals and time series originating from various sources, and turned out to be to a great and exact in distinguishing and finding the event of median filtering and in addition recognition of the amount of the filter utilized. It additionally offered that the investigation of the unfeasible classes can be cast-off to make a distinction of median filtering likewise in instance of post-processing. Such encouraging outcomes open the route for future advancements of this work in various ways. With respect to additional investigation of robustness issues of the offered technique much work can be established, by planning more particular and progressed approaches considering the recognizable proof of the median filtering. [11]

Muhammad ZeeshanBaig, et al. (2016) introduces a proficient BCI signal classification strategy that utilizes median filtering and wavelet transform to enhance classification execution and decrease computational complexity. In this study, it has exhibited an proficient methodology to classify motor imagery EEG signals with supervised learning technique by applying an appropriate preprocessing method, i.e., median filtering. This approach consists two steps of preprocessing. In one step, median filtering is ended with a specific end goal to lessen noise, and WT is used to extract the features that are ordered by SVM. This approach requires less calculation and gives greatest effectiveness. [12].

### C. Adaptive Filter

This technique is performed on the corrupted image that contains unique image and noise. This filter is more specific than a correspondent linear filter, conserving other high-frequency parts of an image and edges [1].

It proposed a bias-compensation vector, which is resultant to take out the bias affected by the noisy feedback signals. A new approximation technique is suggested to assessment the feedback noise variance. Simulation outcomes validate that the suggested system attains superior performance than the present systems in the existence of noisy input signals. Suggested system shows the enhancement in expressions of steady-state misalignment [29].

This paper offers a novel SSAF system with an IWF. The offered method totally uses the inherent de-correlating property of sub-band adaptive filter for colored inputs. To additionally advance the performance of the IWF-SSAF, an upgraded comparable IWF-SSAF (IWF-IPSSAF) system is suggested to additionally upgrade the enactment of the IWF-SSAF. Simulation outcomes proved the superiority of the offered technique [30].

### D. Wavelet Transform

It comes in the Non-data adaptive transform class under transform domain filtering. This transform is the demonstration of a function by wavelets. This mathematical function is cast-off for the dissection of a given function or continuous-time signal into dissimilar scale components. This transforms are categorized into DWTs and CWTs [4]. By thresholding of wavelet, the procedure of denoising of image generally termed wavelet shrinkage.

It presented a new speckle filter for SAR images based on wavelet denoising. The effectiveness of the system inspires the possibility of using the methodology in a number of ultrasound and radar applications. The POSA is a simple system with a low computational complexity. The simulations exhibit that the POSA Shrink has enhanced performance than the most usually used filters for SAR imagery. The original has a brilliant visual quality in existence of speckle. Such advantages were established in the simulations [31].

### E. Wiener Filter

The main objective of this procedure is to exclude the noise that has corrupted the signal. It is a kind of statistical approach. One should know the phantom properties of the novel signal for the designing of this filter. Among the expected random procedure and the wanted procedure this filter limits the mean square error [1].

Proposed Wiener filter based a novel noise reduction filter. With four commonly existing filters it matched the enactment of this novel filter namely adaptive noise Wiener (ANW) filter. Investigational conclusions demonstrate that the suggested new filter has enhanced performance on different noise variance comparing to the other existing noise removal filters in the experiments [27].

It proposed deblurring method which is performed by using the Wiener filter. The proposed method was able to suggestively advance the outcomes for most CS methods and several of the MRA techniques. The Wiener filter procedure is a fast and an effective mode to execute this kind of deblurring scheme. Is to further lessening the clear objects produced by the deblurring is Its Future work [28].

### F. Linear smoothing

A comparatively modest methodology to image denoising is to convolve a noisy image  $y$  with a Gaussian filter  $k$ :

$$\hat{x} = y * k$$

This is a linear operation and can also be achieved in Fourier domain:

$$\hat{x} = y \circ k$$

Where capital letters stand for the Fourier transform of their counterparts (e.g.  $Y = F(y)$ , where  $F$  is the Fourier transform) and  $\circ$  represents the element-wise (Hadamard) product. The Gaussian filter  $k$  is still a Gaussian in the Fourier domain (i.e.  $K$  is also Gaussian).

Veeranjaneyulu Sadhanala, et al.(2016) demonstrated that simple strategies like Laplacian smoothing and Laplacian eigenmaps-or even in fact, every linear estimator-must be left behind for more advanced, nonlinear estimators, like TV denoising, if one needs to accomplish the ideal max hazard. Such an outcome was already known at the point when  $d = 1$ . In this paper, work has extended it

to all measurements  $d = 1$  and  $2$ . It likewise described the minimax rates over discrete Sobolev classes, uncovering a fascinating phase change where the ideal rates over TV and Sobolev spaces, reasonably scaled, coordinate when  $d = 1$  and  $2$  yet diverge for  $d = 3$ . In this an exposed inquiry in the matter of an estimator like TV denoising can be ideal over the two spaces, for all  $d$  [8].

Marco Cuturi, et al. (2016) presented a dual framework for the purpose of certain variational issues including Wasserstein distances. This strategy is especially worthwhile for the calculation of regularized barycenters, since pre-structure by linear operator of functionals is easy to deal with. Its numerical discoveries are that entropic smoothing is essential to stabilize the calculation of barycenters and to get quick numerical plans. For future work a regularization utilizing for instance a total variation is likewise useful, and can be used as a measure of the structure of gradient flows [9].

Lukasz Malinski, et al. (2016) proposed a method which is a proficient technique. The designated system can reestablish image while saving edges and minor picture subtle elements. The executed extensive simulations exhibit that the novel strategy outclasses the state-of-the-art techniques particularly for high noise contamination levels. Future work will be highlighted on the usage of the offered denoising plan for video upgrade [10].

#### G. Denoising using Local Statistics

This section summarizes the method described by Jong-Sen Lee in 1980. This algorithm is a primary methodology to denoising of the digital image. It is developed at the period when the cost of computations was far better than now these days. Still, the algorithm has turned into pretty popular and can be achieved in Matlab using the `wiener2` function. The algorithm can handle both multiplicative and additive noise. Here the case of additive noise is discussed. A clean image  $x$  is corrupted with AWG noise  $n$ , giving us a noisy image  $y$ :

$$y = x + n$$

Jian Yang, et al. (2016) investigated and displayed the speckle noise in the ultrasound image, by local statistics of the intensity dissemination. Furthermore, to filter added noise, by applying the repetition data in noisy images the non-local mean filter is used. A hybrid denoising scheme is presented in concern of the qualities of both the local statistics of speckle noise and the NLM filter. In ultrasound images, the examination joins local statistics with the NLM filter to lessen speckle noise the suggested procedure beats the novel NLM, and in addition many already created strategies [13].

P. V. Sudeep, et al. (2016) proposed unbiased NLM speckle filter in light of Gamma statistics has predominantly 2 steps. In the prior phase the shape and scale parameters, and? Of the Gamma conveyance are figured from the image. In the second stage, an unbiased NLM strategy was applied to assessment of the genuine fundamental intensity of each and every single pixel in the image. Beside visual examination and evaluation by two experienced radiologists furthermore demonstrated that the image denoised by the offered strategy is superior to other denoised images. One downside of the suggested NLM filters over different filters is its computational trouble. As that of the conventional NLM filter the filter has a time complexity. However, in the image extra time is necessary for processing the noise level [14].

#### H. Total Variation

The perception behind denoising of image based on total variation is that the noise-free images have lower discrete image gradient than the noisy images. In another words, noisy images appearance grainy, although clean images incline to be smooth. Hence finding an image that is smooth according to specific portion but is nearby to the novel noisy image should yield good denoising results.

Jiayi Ma, et al. (2016) offered a novel fusion procedure. In this work named as Gradient Transfer Fusion, in light of total variation minimization and gradient transfer. It figures the problem of fusion as a  $l_1$ -TV minimization issue. We additionally generalize the formulation to fuse image sets without pre-enrollment, which significantly upgrades its appropriateness as high-precision enlistment is extremely challenging for multi-sensor data. Examinations with eight state-of-the-art techniques on publicly accessible databases exhibit the benefits of GTF, where our outcomes look like sharpened infrared images with more appearance points of interest. [15].

Osher Stanley, et al. (2016) introduced a reserved optimization kind of numerical algorithm for expelling noise from images. The constraints are forced utilizing Lagrange multipliers. The solution is gotten utilizing the gradient projection technique. The numerical algorithm is straightforward and moderately quick. The strategy is noninvasive, yielding sharp boundaries in the image [16].

#### I. Anisotropic Diffusion

This is an iterative method established on smoothing which used for denoising of image. The scheme challenges to accomplish the subsequent requirements: (i) Object borders must be preserved, and (ii) noise should be proficiently distant in identical (at) area.



Images can be measured to comprise of sections (e.g. one section per object), in which case the objective of anisotropic diffusion is to better accomplish smoothing inside sections relatively than amongst the regions.

Davide Boscaini, et al. (2016) demonstrates to develop direction-sensitive spectral feature descriptors for the utilization of anisotropic diffusion on meshes and point clouds. It demonstrates the practice of anisotropic descriptors for issues of shape correspondence on point clouds and meshes, attaining outcomes significantly superior than state-of-the-art methods. This approach takes after the current line of works on formulating intrinsic versions of successful machine learning plans utilized as a part of image examination and computer vision [17].

Durga Prasad Bavirisetti, et al (2016) proposes another edge preserving image fusion method for visible and infrared sensor images. Anisotropic diffusion technique is utilized to disintegrate the source pictures into estimate and detail layers. Final approximation layers and details are ascertained with the assistance of weighted linear superposition and Karhunen- Loeve transform, respectively. The outcomes of the suggested method are equated with the traditional and recent image fusion algorithms. Results uncover that the suggested method beats the current strategies [18].

### *J. Bilateral Filtering*

While preserving edges, Bilateral filtering like anisotropic diffusion, attempts to smooth an image. A difference among the 2 methodologies is that bilateral filtering is non-iterative. Bilateral filtering is non-linearly combined adjacent values of image. The pixels to be combined are chosen not only based on their geometric proximity, as is usual for filtering methods, but also based on their photometric similarity. Bilateral filtering can therefore be understood as a blend of two approaches: Domain-filtering and range filtering.

P. V. Sudeep, et al. (2016) an iterative approach in view of bilateral filtering is offered. This artifact shows the speckle lessening in multi frame OCT data. This method has various steps first, the versatile adaptation of the conventional bilateral filters applied to improve the multi frame OCT data and after that the bias because of noise is lessened from each of the filtered frames. These unbiased, filtered single frames are then refined utilizing an iterative approach. At last, these refined single frames are averaged to create the improved OCT image. Investigational outcomes on genuine OCT retinal images and phantom images exhibit the adequacy of the offered filter [19].

Kunal N Chaudhury, et al. (2016) proposed a quick and provably precise procedure alike to the bilateral filter. The algorithm has a straightforward execution including  $N + 1$  spatial filtering, where  $N$  is the approximation order. The time and space complexity of the offered system is littler than the state-of-the-art algorithm. And furthermore give much better exactness. It is noted that the suggested scheme can be utilized to perform cross bilateral filtering, and can likewise be stretched out for the filtering of video and volume data [20].

### *K. BLS-GSM And Other Wavelet-Based Methods*

On a wavelet decomposition of the noisy image many image denoising approaches are perform denoising method. Wavelet based denoising procedures are based on the following stages. Primarily, an image is converted into a wavelet domain. Next, denoising is effected on the wavelet coefficients, and lastly the image without noise is achieved by applying the reverse of wavelet transform on the denoised wavelet coefficients.

E Ehsaeyan, et al. (2016) proposed An effective denoising technique in light of Total Variation model and DTCWT to integrate the two Properties. The proficiency of this approach is firstly analyzed by contrasting the outcomes and understood strategies, for example, prob Shrink, BLS-GSM, SURE bivariate, NL-Means and TV model. Furthermore, it is contrasted with some denoising strategies, which have been taken in account recently. Acquired outcomes persuade that the offered method gives a superior execution in noise blocking state-of-the-art techniques [21].

Antonio Gonzalez-Lopez, et al. (2016) proposed a work in which NLM accomplishes much preferable outcomes over the Wiener filter, however its calculation time is additionally essentially higher. In this examination demonstrate a significantly improved execution when contrasted with the versatile Wiener filter. However, they don't beat the NLM technique as long as PSNR. The main special case is the BLS-GSM technique, which enhances the PSNR got by the NLM. Hence, this is the technique which deals with the most astounding PSNR values. NLM and BLS-GSM strategies deliver the smoothest images while keeping contours of the skeletal structures. Concerning the computational cost, filters utilizing a reduced wavelet transform end up being the most effective, with count times around 1 s [22].

### L. Dictionary-Based Methods

Numerous denoising procedures depend on on “dictionaries” for denoising image patches. It is generally supposed that an image  $x$  is corrupted with AWG noise:

$$y = x + n$$

Denoising is implemented patch-wise: Each patch is denoised individually and put into the denoised image. Generally, averaging is implemented in regions of overlying patches [6].

Jiwen Lu, et al. (2017) offered a dictionary learning and simultaneous feature technique. In this work for face recognition based on image set. From raw face images it proposes a SFDL strategy to learn dictionaries and discriminative features simultaneously so discriminative data can be together exploited. This technique accomplishes enhanced performance than state-of-the-art based on image set face recognition strategies [23].

Waleed Ammar, et al. (2016) proposed new strategies for assessing and evaluating embeddings of words in more than fifty languages in a solitary shared implanting space. Estimation techniques, multiCCA and multi Cluster, utilize monolingual and dictionaries data; they don't involve equivalent data. Its novel assessment technique, multi QVECCCA, is appeared to connect superior to past ones [24].

## III. PROPOSED WORK

In this offered work we will study about the numerous varieties of noises and filters which are used to take out those noises. We observed that the existing filters are not very efficient in removal of noise. For this purpose there is a necessity of advanced filter which will take out the noise efficiently as compared to the existing techniques. In our suggested scheme we will work on removal of salt and paper noise from the MRI and USI images. It is very essential that noise can be removed from these kinds of images for the best medical diagnosis. For this persistence we will use several kinds of filters which are previously derived from various studies [32]. And also we will match the outcomes which are derived by using these filters.

## IV. CONCLUSION

This study presents a summarization of countless varieties of noises and their elimination procedures for image. The purpose of this work is to present existing techniques of denoising for biometric images. This will help to scholars who are trying to improve the existing techniques or to develop a new technique of denoising for images restoration and also an individual can select the best method for denoising for the future work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] KaurSukhjinder, “Noise Types and Various Removal Techniques”, International Journal of Advanced Research in Electronics and Communication Engineering (IJARECE), Vol.4, Issue 2, February 2015.
- [2] Snehal More, V.V.Hanchate, “A Survey on Magnetic Resonance Image Denoising Methods”, IRJET, 2016 .
- [3] Jain, A. K. (1989). Fundamentals of digital image processing. Upper Saddle River: Prentice-Hall
- [4] V. Roy, S. Shukla, “Image Denoising by Data Adaptive and Non-Data Adaptive Transform Domain Denoising Method Using EEG Signal” Springer 2013
- [5] KaneriaAvni\*, “Image Denoising Techniques: A Brief Survey”. The SIJ Transactions on Computer Science Engineering & its Applications (CSEA), Vol. 3, No. 2, February 2015 ISSN: 2321-2381
- [6] Chandrika Saxena1 , Prof. Deepak Kourav2, “Noises and Image Denoising Techniques: A Brief Survey” , International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering (ISSN 2250-2459, ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal, Volume 4, Issue 3, March 2014).
- [7] Seonghyeon Nam, Youngbae Hwang, Yasuyuki Matsushita, Seon Joo Kim, “A Holistic Approach to Cross-Channel Image Noise Modeling and its Application to Image Denoising”. 1063-6919/16 \$31.00 © 2016 IEEE
- [8] Sadhanala, Veeranjanyulu, Yu-Xiang Wang, and Ryan J. Tibshirani. "Total variation classes beyond 1d: Minimax rates, and the limitations of linear smoothers." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2016.
- [9] Cuturi, Marco, and Gabriel Peyré. "A smoothed dual approach for variational Wasserstein problems." SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences 9.1 (2016): 320-343.
- [10] Malinski, Lukasz, and Bogdan Smolka. "Fast averaging peer group filter for the impulsive noise removal in color images." Journal of Real-Time Image Processing 11.3 (2016): 427-444.
- [11] Pasquini, Cecilia, et al. "A deterministic approach to detect median filtering in 1D data." IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security 11.7 (2016): 1425-1437.
- [12] Baig, Muhammad Zeeshan, Yasir Mehmood, and Yasar Ayaz. "A BCI system classification technique using median filtering and wavelet transform." Dynamics in Logistics. Springer, Cham, 2016. 355-364.
- [13] Yang, Jian, et al. "Local statistics and non-local mean filter for speckle noise reduction in medical ultrasound image." Neurocomputing 195 (2016): 88-95.
- [14] Sudeep, P. V., et al. "Speckle reduction in medical ultrasound images using an unbiased non-local means method." Biomedical Signal Processing and Control 28 (2016): 1-8.

- [15] Ma, Jiayi, et al. "Infrared and visible image fusion via gradient transfer and total variation minimization." *Information Fusion* 31 (2016): 100-109.
- [16] Rudin, Leonid I., Stanley Osher, and Emad Fatemi. "Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms." *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* 60.1-4 (1992): 259-268.
- [17] Boscaini, Davide, et al. "Anisotropic diffusion descriptors." *Computer Graphics Forum*. Vol. 35. No. 2. 2016.
- [18] Bavirisetti, Durga Prasad, and Ravindra Dhuli. "Fusion of infrared and visible sensor images based on anisotropic diffusion and Karhunen-Loeve transform." *IEEE Sensors Journal* 16.1 (2016): 203-209.
- [19] Sudeep, P. V., et al. "Enhancement and bias removal of optical coherence tomography images: an iterative approach with adaptive bilateral filtering." *Computers in biology and medicine* 71 (2016): 97-107.
- [20] Chaudhury, Kunal N., and Swapnil D. Dabhade. "Fast and provably accurate bilateral filtering." *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 25.6 (2016): 2519-2528.
- [21] Ehsaeyan, E. "An Improvement of Steerable Pyramid Denoising Method." *Iranian Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering* 12.1 (2016): 35-41.
- [22] González-López, Antonio, et al. "Portal imaging: Performance improvement in noise reduction by means of wavelet processing." *Physica Medica* 32.1 (2016): 226-231.
- [23] Lu, Jiwen, Gang Wang, and Jie Zhou. "Simultaneous feature and dictionary learning for image set based face recognition." *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* (2017).
- [24] Ammar, Waleed, et al. "Massively multilingual word embeddings." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.01925* (2016).
- [25] Wang, Yi, et al. "An efficient adaptive fuzzy switching weighted mean filter for salt-and-pepper noise removal." *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* 23.11 (2016): 1582-1586.
- [26] Zhang, Xiaobo, and Shunli Zhang. "Diffusion scheme using mean filter and wavelet coefficient magnitude for image denoising." *AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications* 70.7 (2016): 944-952.
- [27] Sim, K. S., V. Teh, and M. E. Nia. "Adaptive noise Wiener filter for scanning electron microscope imaging system." *Scanning* 38.2 (2016): 148-163.
- [28] Palsson, Frosti, et al. "MTF-based deblurring using a wiener filter for CS and MRA pansharping methods." *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing* 9.6 (2016): 2255-2269.
- [29] Zheng, Zongsheng, and Haiquan Zhao. "Bias-compensated normalized subband adaptive filter algorithm." *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* 23.6 (2016): 809-813.
- [30] Yu, Yi, and Haiquan Zhao. "Novel sign subband adaptive filter algorithms with individual weighting factors." *Signal Processing* 122 (2016): 14-23.
- [31] Mastriani, Mario. "New wavelet-based superresolution algorithm for speckle reduction in SAR images." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.00270* (2016).
- [32] Vispute, Abhijit M., Baban U. Rindhe, and Dipshri N. Shekhar. "Efficient adaptive mean filtration technique in denoising of medical imaging." *Global Trends in Signal Processing, Information Computing and Communication (ICGTSPICC)*, 2016
- [33] <https://www.google.co.in/search?q=need+of+image+denoising&oq=need+of+image+de&aqs=chrome..69i57j0l2.8711j0j7&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8>
- [34] Velayudham, A., and R. Kanthavel. "A Survey on Medical Image Denoising Techniques." *International Journal of Advanced Research in Electronics and Communication Engineering* 2.3 (2013):