



# **iJRASET**

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH**

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume: 6      Issue: III      Month of publication: March 2018**

**DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2018.3090>**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call: ☎ 08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# Fire Extinguishing Agents: Sort and Comparison

Shubham Rajput<sup>1</sup>, Partha Protim Saikia<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> M. Tech. (Health, Safety & Environment Engineering), University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun

**Abstract:** With the advancement in technology, industrial operations and processes are becoming more and more complex and hazardous day by day. The major threat for industries now a days is fire and fire hazards. Water is no longer capable to extinguish all classes of fire. Water is not a clean agent, it leaves residue after its function. It cannot be used with costly equipment and with class C fire hazards. Hence new and improved extinguishing agents are developing day by day. According to National Fire Protection Association, these new agents are termed as “Clean Agents”. These new agents are much more effective and capable of extinguishing fire in its initial stages with very less concentration of agents in comparison to water. Many agents are invented for example Argon Gas, Nitrogen Gas etc. but with new technology various new agents are being invented till today, for example Novec 1230. Earlier halons are used with most of the fixed fire fighting systems but because of its high Ozone Depleting Potential (ODP) and Global Warming Potential (GWP), halons are banned for general applications. Halons were most effective in extinguishing fire than any other agent till date. Various halons replacements are invented but no ideal halon replacement is found till date. So there is need to compare various agents available in market on various properties like ODP, GWP, atmospheric lifetime, design concentration etc. and hence to determine the best agent.

**Keywords:** Argon, Argonite, Carbon Dioxide, Clean Agents, FE-13, FM-200, Halons, Nitrogen, Novec-1230 etc.

## I. INTRODUCTION

As per NFPA classification of fire, there are five classes of fire, Class A: Fire involving combustible hydrocarbons, Class B: Fire involving combustible and flammable liquids and gases, Class C: Fire involving electrical equipment, Class D: Fire involving metals and Class K: Kitchen fire as in [3]. Water is most commonly used fire extinguishing agent but now a days fire are becoming more and more complex which require special extinguishing agents, known as “Clean Agents” as in [50]. Halons were most commonly used for extinguishing almost any class of fire but because of its environmental impact, it was banned for general application by Montreal Protocol, 1987.

These clean agents are governed by NFPA 2001 as in [48]. Water is not a clean agent. It cannot be used with costly equipment and against Class C fire. Hence clean agents are invented and developed as in [28]. Now a days various clean agent are available in market like: FE-13, FE-25, FE-36, FM-200, and Novec-1230 etc [11]. The problem is with so many agents available in market, it is very difficult to find the desired extinguishing agent. This paper contains comparison of various clean agents available in market based on various properties like: ODP, GWP, Atmospheric life time, SNAP, NOAEL and LOAEL etc. This paper helps to determine the desired extinguishing agent. This paper also contains the study of halon replacements and fire extinguishing process of clean agents, their advantages and disadvantages

## II. THEORY

Gaseous fire suppression is a term which is used to describe the use of inert gases and chemical agents to extinguish a fire. It is also known as Clean Agent Fire Suppression as in [26]. These agents are governed by the NFPA 2001: Standard for Clean Agent Fire Extinguishing Systems.

### A. Agents Used for Total Flooding

- 1) Halon 1301 (CBrF<sub>3</sub>)
- 2) Argon Gas (IG-01)
- 3) Nitrogen Gas (IG-100)
- 4) Argonite Gas (IG-55)
- 5) Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- 6) Inergen (IG-541)
- 7) FE-13 (HFC-23, CHF<sub>3</sub>)
- 8) FM-200 (HFC-227ea, CF<sub>3</sub>CHFCF<sub>3</sub>)
- 9) Novec 1230 (FK-5-1-12, CF<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>C(O)CF(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)

*B. Agents Used for Local Application*

- 1) Halon 1211 ( $\text{CBrClF}_2$ )
- 2) Argon Gas (IG-01)
- 3) Nitrogen Gas (IG-100)
- 4) Argonite Gas (IG-55)
- 5) Carbon Dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ )
- 6) Inergen (IG-541)
- 7) FE-25 (HFC-125,  $\text{C}_2\text{HF}_5$ )
- 8) FE-36 (HFC-236fa,  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{F}_6$ )

*C. Halon 1301*

Halon is such halogenated hydrocarbon which was used widely as an extinguishing agent for a Class A, Class B and Class C Fire. It has low toxicity and great effectiveness as a flame inhibitor and explosion suppressant. It is considered as a clean agent which does not wet or leave residual material as in [29].

- 1) *Molecular Formula:*  $\text{CBrF}_3$
  - 2) *Molecular Weight:* 148.9 u
  - 3) *Point:*  $-57.750^\circ\text{C}$
  - 4) *Critical Temperature:*  $66.9^\circ\text{C}$
  - 5) *Critical Pressure:* 39.56 bar
- Halon 1301 as a Clean Agent:

Halon is a chemical compound of carbon, fluorine, and bromine. It is a pure compound and not a mixture of chemicals as in [24]. It is able to penetrate hard-to-reach places that may not be possible in case of other gaseous fire extinguishing agents. The exact process by which Halon extinguishes fire is not clearly understood, but it is generally thought to be a chemical chain reaction rather than a physical effect. It perhaps interacts with the chemical chain required to support combustion and stops the fire quickly without leaving any residue. However, it will not extinguish fire involving burning metals, metal hydrides or materials that contain their own oxygen from fixed systems.

*D. Of Halons as Fire Extinguishing Agent:*

- 1) It is colorless, and electrically non-conductive, non-corrosive, non-abrasive liquefied gas which leaves no residual effect.
- 2) It can be stored indefinitely in metal container and requires very less space for storage.
- 3) Halon leaves no mass; hence it is the ideal protection for delicate equipment.
- 4) It does not impair visibility and thus no movement hazard.
- 5) Safe in presence of electricity.
- 6) It does not impair visibility and thus no movement hazard.
- 7) Halon-system is a very simple process for installation and it does not require any associated times like piping, number of nozzles, stands etc.
- 8) 9. No clean up and salvage operations after fire.
- 9) By virtue of its lower toxicity, higher volatility and lower molecular weight, halon offers particular advantages for total flooding system.

*E. Disadvantages of Halons as Fire Extinguishing Agent*

- 1) Concentrations above 10 % are hazardous to life, so cannot be used for deep-seated fires.
- 2) Recharging costs are expensive.
- 3) Its GWP (Global Warming Potential) is 6900.
- 4) Its ODP (Ozone Depletion Potential) is 12.
- 5) Its atmospheric life time is 65 years.
- 6) Halon decomposition at approximately  $482.20^\circ\text{C}$ . The products of decomposition are hydrogen fluoride and hydrogen bromide with small quantities of other chemical. So when fire reaches its flame stage Halon may create problems to persons entrapped.
- 7) It is effective on two main classes of fire Class A, Class B but not on Class C.

### III. HALON 1211

Bromochlorodifluoro methane, also known by the trade name Halon 1211, or Halon 1211 BCF, or Freon12B1, is a halo alkane [29]. It is widely used in the maritime industries in the engine rooms of ships and also in the transport industry in vehicles as in [44]. Its efficiency as a fire extinguishing agent has also led it to be the predominant choice of fire extinguishing agent on commercial aircraft and is typically found in cylindrical hand-held canisters.

- 1) *Chemical Name:* Bromochlorodifluoro methane
- 2) *Chemical Formula:* CBrClF<sub>2</sub>
- 3) *Point:* -3.7° C
- 4) *Pressure:* 275.98 kPa Advantages of Halon 1211 as Fire Extinguishing Agent
- 5) It has lower toxicity than chemicals such as carbon tetrachloride.
- 6) 3. Halon 1211 does not act as carcinogen.
- 7) Halon 1211 has no known eco-toxicological effects.

### IV. ARGON

Argon is a chemical element with symbol Ar and atomic number 18. It is in group 18 of the periodic table and is an inert gas. Argon exists as colorless gas exhibiting a lilac/violet glow when placed in a high voltage electric field as in [7].

- A. *Symbol:* Ar
- B. *Atomic Number:* 18
- C. *Boiling Point:* -302.5°F (-185.8°C)
- D. *Melting Point:* -308.8°F (-189.4°C)
- E. *Atomic Mass:* 39.948 ± 0.001 u
- F. *Critical Temperature:* -122.4° C
- G. *Critical Pressure:* 48.98 bar Argon as a Clean Agent:

Argon properties as a gas are relevant to the extinguishing process. It is more suitable for extinguishing systems than N<sub>2</sub> as in [2]. In an emergency, the flooding area is filled quickly and evenly by the gaseous extinguishing agent, thus providing a total flooding effect. The conc. will be sufficient for extinguishing purposes when the O<sub>2</sub> level of the air in the room is reduced from its normal level of 20.9 % by volume to less than 15 % by volume. In order to achieve that, about 1/3 of the volume of air in the room must be replaced by the Argon, so that a conc. of greater than 34 % by volume is established.

#### H. Advantages of Argon as Fire Extinguishing Agent:

- 1) Argon is a non-toxic and will not decompose in a real fire situation. It will not add to chemical reactions and so will neither contribute to the formation of toxic nor corrosive breakdown products
- 2) 2. Argon remains stable even in high-temperature environment, which makes it suitable to be used against oil & gas fires, E.g. In gas turbine stations.
- 3) Argon has zero Ozone Depletion Potential.
- 4) Argon has zero GWP (Global Warming Potential).

#### I. Disadvantages of Argon as Fire Extinguishing Agent

- 1) Ar is not as effective as halons thus cannot be used as halons replacement
- 2) 2. Ar hold time in an enclosure is very less.
- 3) Ar fire suppression system requires heavy steel cylinders and pipes.
- 4) Unlike other gaseous extinguishing agents which suppress fire by displacing oxygen as well as cooling, Ar has low cooling potential.
- 5) Ar requires more storage area as it cannot be liquefied easily.

### V. NITROGEN

Nitrogen is a chemical element with symbol N and atomic number 7. At room temperature, it is a transparent, odorless diatomic gas (N<sub>2</sub>) as in [47].

- A. *Symbol:* N
- B. *Atomic Number:* 7



C. Boiling Point:  $-320.4^{\circ}\text{F}$  ( $-195.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ )

D. Melting Point:  $-346^{\circ}\text{F}$  ( $-210^{\circ}\text{C}$ )

E. Atomic Mass:  $14.0067 \pm 0.0001\text{ u}$

F. Critical Temperature:  $-147^{\circ}\text{C}$

G. Critical Pressure: 33.95 bar

Nitrogen works, like  $\text{CO}_2$ , by displacing the atmospheric oxygen. The extinguishing effect here is purely physical in nature, namely the suffocating effect which occurs when  $\text{O}_2$  is reduced below the specific level required for combustion of a specific material.

#### H. Nitrogen as a Clean Agent

Under Montreal Protocol, halons are banned. Hence, total flooding fire suppression systems containing nitrogen gas as the agent is developed and used as in [33]. The nitrogen gas is both the fire suppression agent and the cylinder pressurization agent. These systems are designed to replace Halon 1301 total-flood systems in a variety of applications as in [27]. Moreover, Nitrogen gas is not a global warming gas, thus, it does not have a GWP. It is not an ozone depleting compound either as in [12]. There are no byproducts of degradation resulting from the use, processing, manufacture, or disposal of nitrogen gas. When the agent is discharged in a fire situation the conc. of  $\text{CO}_2$  and CO will increase.

#### I. Advantages of Nitrogen as Fire Extinguishing Agent:

Provides 24x7 round the clock protection, in the event of a fire outbreak, the Nitrogen Gas will be discharged by the fire suppression system and can extinguish fire in early stage of development also in a very short duration of time.

$\text{N}_2$  gas can extinguish fire at life supporting  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations and can be used for wide range of applications.

No by products like no decomposition of halogen acid products.

$\text{N}_2$  can itself act as a pressuring agent i.e. no other agent is needed for pressurizing the extinguishing agent stored in the cylinder.

Nitrogen gas is by far the cheapest inert gas, it is listed as IG-100 in the International Standard Organization (ISO).

Some gaseous agents used by other fire suppression systems are toxic to the human body for example  $\text{CO}_2$ , FM-200 etc.  $\text{N}_2$  gas is nontoxic to human body, in fact  $\text{N}_2$  is present in normal atmosphere generally 78 % by volume in air.

$\text{N}_2$  gas does not harm the environment;  $\text{N}_2$  has Zero ODP and GWP.

#### J. Disadvantages of Nitrogen as Fire Extinguishing Agent

1)  $\text{N}_2$  is not as effective as Halons thus cannot be used as Halons replacement.

2)  $\text{N}_2$  hold time in an enclosure is very less.

3)  $\text{N}_2$  fire suppression system requires heavy steel cylinders and pipes.

4) Unlike other gaseous extinguishing agents which suppress fire by displacing oxygen as well as cooling,  $\text{N}_2$  has low cooling potential.

5)  $\text{N}_2$  requires more storage area as it cannot be liquefied easily.

## VI. ARGONITE

Argonite is a mixture of 50% pure Nitrogen and 50% pure Argon as in [43]. Argonite contains only naturally occurring substances, and as such, has no ozone depletion potential and no direct global warming potential.

A. Critical Temperature:  $-134.7^{\circ}\text{C}$

B. Critical Pressure: 41.3 bar

Argonite extinguishes by means of reducing the oxygen content within a room to the point at which fire can no longer burn, but without compromising the safety of individuals present as in [30]. Most Argonite systems are designed to extinguish fires with a minimum agent concentration of 37.9 % achieved within one minute. This reduces the oxygen concentration in the air from the normal 21 % level to below the 15 % level needed to support combustion as in [11].

#### C. Argonite as a Clean Agent:

Argonite extinguishes by means of reducing the oxygen content within a room to the point at which fire can no longer burn, but without compromising the safety of individuals present. There are no toxicological factors associated with the use of Argonite. Argonite will not decompose or produce any by-products when exposed to a flame from a fire condition as in [2]. Most Argonite systems are designed to extinguish fires with a minimum agent concentration of 37.9 % achieved within one minute. This reduces

the oxygen concentration in the air from the normal 21 % level to below the 15 % level needed to support combustion. Argonite is stored as a gas within the cylinder assembly. It is available at a storage pressure of 2900 PSI (200bar).

#### *D. Advantages of Argonite as Fire Extinguishing Agent*

- 1) It is inert Gas Clean Agent Fire Suppression.
- 2) There are no toxicological factors associated with the use of Argonite.
- 3) Argonite will not decompose or produce any by-products when exposed to a flame from a fire condition.
- 4) It is safe for Personnel and Equipment.
- 5) It is Environmentally Friendly.
- 6) Its Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP) is zero.
- 7) Its Global Warming Potential (GWP) is zero.
- 8) Argonite has much larger hold time in an enclosure compared to Ar and N<sub>2</sub>.

### **VII. CARBON DIOXIDE**

Carbon dioxide is a colorless, odorless gas which is vital to life on Earth. This naturally occurring chemical compound is composed of a carbon atom covalently double bonded to two oxygen atoms as in [22]. It is non-combustible, it does not react with most substances and it provides its own pressure for discharge from the storage container. It leaves no residue. As a gas or as a finely divided solid called snow or dry ice, it will not conduct electricity as in [22]. When liquid CO<sub>2</sub> is discharged to atmospheric pressure, a portion instantly flashes to vapor while the remainder is cooled by evaporation and converted to finely divided snow or dry ice depending on the temperature of stored liquid.

#### *A. Carbon Dioxide as a Clean Agent*

Because CO<sub>2</sub> is denser than air (1.5 times) and will not support combustion, it has become one of the most significant methods of suppressing and fighting electrical and grease fires. In addition, the application of CO<sub>2</sub> in firefighting is clean, non-toxic and leaves no residue as in [43]. It can be stored for long period and it is easily available. It is very effective in enclosed areas. It can penetrate into places that cannot be reached by other means. But CO<sub>2</sub> is asphyxiating and cannot be detected by smell or color. About 9 % concentration causes unconsciousness as in [35]. Any compartment or enclosure where CO<sub>2</sub> system is installed should be properly vented to give path for escape of increased pressure in compartment or enclosure also compartment or enclosure should be fully ventilated before entering without breathing apparatus. CO<sub>2</sub> also has GWP as 1 for 100 years.

#### *B. Advantages of CO<sub>2</sub> as Fire Extinguishing Agent*

- 1) CO<sub>2</sub> is safe and clean agent in most cases, CO<sub>2</sub> is noncorrosive and does not leave a residue.
- 2) CO<sub>2</sub> is not harmful to most substances with exception of certain combustible metals, it is safe to use and will not react when applied.
- 3) CO<sub>2</sub> can easily penetrate to inaccessible areas, it has the ability to penetrate deep into areas which are not easily accessed by firefighters.
- 4) CO<sub>2</sub> is nonconductor of electricity; CO<sub>2</sub> is suitable for use on fires where electrical systems may still be under power.
- 5) CO<sub>2</sub> is heavier than air, CO<sub>2</sub> is 1.5 times denser than air and on discharge it will remain at low level where it will displace oxygen, useful when dealing with large surface area fires.
- 6) Unlike Halons, CO<sub>2</sub> does not thermally decompose and give off harmful products.

#### *C. Disadvantages of CO<sub>2</sub> as Fire Extinguishing Agent*

- 1) Insufficient concentration (7 %), CO<sub>2</sub> can be act as an asphyxiant.
- 2) CO<sub>2</sub> is easily dispersed by wind thus it is not very much effective against fires in open areas or in areas with strong wind currents.
- 3) CO<sub>2</sub> has a GWP of 1.
- 4) To store CO<sub>2</sub> as a liquid, it must be pressurized to over 50 bars, hence storage containers are relatively large and heavy.
- 5) Because of the discharge characteristics of CO<sub>2</sub>, it tends to be noisy when being discharged which may surprise nearby persons.
- 6) CO<sub>2</sub> impaired visibility as it is discharged from container as a dense white cloud.
- 7) The application of CO<sub>2</sub> must continue until the fire is fully extinguished.

### VIII. INERGEN

Inergen gas is a unique mixture of three gases. No other inert gas has the unique ability to rapidly extinguish a fire as well as provide a safe environment for any person within the occupied due to low oxygen levels.

#### A. Inergen Composition

- 1) Argon (Ar, 47 %),
- 2) Nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>, 42.5 %) &
- 3) Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>, 10.5 %)

Inergen is a natural gas mixture, not a synthetic gas thus, it has all the properties of the constituent gases. Once discharged, the constituent gases returns to their natural form in atmosphere. It is heavier than air and can cause suffocation of fire by reducing oxygen level. Inergen does not undergo a reaction with a fire to create extremely harmful toxic or corrosive by products as in [49]. It poses no ozone depletion or global warming threat. Inergen gas mixture extinguishes a fire by reducing the oxygen level below 15 %, (1999) the point at which most combustibles will no longer burn.

#### B. Advantages of Inergen as Fire Extinguishing Agent

- 1) Inergen has a unique composition, by the addition of a small amount of Carbon Dioxide (2-5%), the dangerous effect of low Oxygen is countered.
- 2) Inergen has no toxic decomposition thus provides effective damage control.
- 3) During Inergen discharge escape route remain visible and clear.
- 4) Inergen provides an atmosphere that will sustain full consciousness and ability to think and respond normally, even in case of extremely low Oxygen.
- 5) Inergen maintains its effect for a long time and can provide fully 3-dimensional flooding protection within any enclosure.

#### B. Disadvantages of Inergen as Fire Extinguishing Agent

- 1) Certain oxidizing materials may not be extinguished by Inergen.
- 2) Certain combustible metals may not be extinguished efficiently by Inergen, they may reignite.
- 3) The enclosure need to be completely air tight, only minor leaks are accepted.
- 4) Major changes to the enclosure, protected by Inergen, affecting the enclosure volume may require modifications to the Inergen installation.
- 5) Inergen has a GWP of 1 due to presence of CO<sub>2</sub> in its composition.

### IX. FE-13

FE-13, also known as Hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) 23, is an ideal clean agent for total flooding applications where there is a high ceiling or a large space as in [37]. The vapor density of FE-13 is lower than other halocarbons. This high pressure and low vapor density allows it to mix throughout the enclosure quicker and stay mixed longer.

A. Chemical Name: Trifluoromethane

B. Chemical Formula: CHF<sub>3</sub>

C. Molecular Weight: 70.02 g per mole

D. Density: 0.6799 g per cm<sup>3</sup>

E. Boiling Point: - 82°C

F. Critical Temperature: 25.9°C

G. Critical Pressure: 48.36 bar

FE-13 is an ideal clean agent for the total flooding applications where people occupy the protected space during discharge of the agent and for Class B fire hazards. Class B fire hazards may involve hard to extinguish fuels like methanol, as well as spaces where the volume will vary with contents as in [17]. Examples are: Oil rig platforms, Flammable liquid processing areas, Railroad locomotives, and Surface mining equipment etc. Upon discharge the FE-13 is discharged as a gas through the nozzles to a predetermined concentration, usually within 10 seconds. FE-13 extinguishes the fire through a cooling affect at the molecular level. The agent cools the combustion process, thereby, extinguishing the fires in [21].

#### H. FE-13 as a Clean Agent

FE-13 is an ideal clean agent for the total flooding applications where people occupy the protected space during discharge of the agent and for Class B fire hazards as in [23]. Class B fire hazards may involve hard to extinguish fuels like methanol, as well as spaces where the volume will vary with contents. Examples are: Oil rig platforms, Flammable liquid processing areas, Railroad locomotives, and Surface mining equipment etc.

FE-13 has zero ODP i.e. Ozone Depletion Potential but it has a GWP i.e. Global Warming Potential of 11700 measured for 100 years as in [45]. Even though the toxicity of this clean agent is low, precautions should always be made to limit the exposure. Upon discharge the FE-13 is discharged as a gas through the nozzles to a predetermined concentration, usually within 10 seconds. FE-13 extinguishes the fire through a cooling affect at the molecular level. The agent cools the combustion process, thereby, extinguishing the fire.

#### I. Advantages of FE-13 as Fire Extinguishing Agent:

- 1) It is low in toxicity, low reactivity and its density.
- 2) 3. It will not burn.

#### J. Disadvantages of FE-13 as Fire Extinguishing Agent

- 1) It is a greenhouse gas.
- 2) It has a high global warming potential.
- 3) Its atmospheric lifetime is 270 years.
- 4) Causes nervous system depression.

### X. FE-25

FE-25, pentafluoroethane or HFC-125 is a safe, clean, electrically non-conductive agent that is used to protect people and high value assets. FE-25 has the closest physical property match to Halon 1301 in terms of both flow characteristics and vapor pressure as in [4]. The minimum extinguishing concentration (MEC) of FE-25 is 6.7% for class A fire. For Class B fire, the MEC is 8.7%.

A. Chemical Name: Pentafluoroethane

B. Chemical Formula:  $\text{CF}_3\text{CHF}_2$

C. Molecular Weight: 120.02 g per mole

D. Boiling Point:  $-48.3^\circ\text{C}$

E. Critical Temperature:  $66.3^\circ\text{C}$

#### F. Advantages of FE-25 as Fire Extinguishing Agent

- 1) FE-25 shows no decomposition at  $150^\circ\text{C}$  in the presence of eight commonly used metals for one- month exposure test.
- 2) It is available in tank trucks, ton trucks and cylinders.
- 3) 4. It is non-toxic.

#### G. Disadvantages of FE-25 as Fire Extinguishing Agent:

- 1) The GWP of FE-25 is 2800.
- 2) In comparison to Halon, more concentration of FE-25 is required to suppress the fire.

### XI. FE-36

FE-36 is a new fire extinguishing agent replacing Halon 1211 in streaming applications. FE-36 is electrically, non-conductive, non-corrosive, free of residue and has zero ODP as in [36].

A. Chemical Formula: 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3-hexafluoropropane

B. Molecular Weight: 152 g per mole

C. Boiling Point:  $-1.4^\circ\text{C}$

#### D. Advantages of FE-36 as Fire Extinguishing Agent

- 1) It is available in tank trucks, ton trucks and cylinders.
- 2) The ODP is zero.



3) It is non-toxic.

*E. Disadvantages of FE-36 as Fire Extinguishing Agent:*

- 1) Its GWP is 6300.
- 2) The atmospheric life time is 209 years.

## XII. FM-200

FM-200, also called Heptafluoropropane or HFC-227, is a colorless, odorless gaseous halocarbon commonly used as a gaseous fire suppression agent as in [6].

A. *IUPAC Name:* 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3 – Heptafluoropropane

B. *Chemical Formula:* C<sub>3</sub>HF<sub>7</sub>

C. *Density:* 1.46 g per cm<sup>3</sup>

D. *Melting Point:* -131° C

E. *Boiling Point:* -16.4° C (thus, a gas at room temperature)

F. *Molar Mass:* 170.03 g per mole

G. *Soluble in:* Water

H. *Critical Temperature:* 101.7° C

I. *Critical Pressure:* 28.7 bar

FM-200 is a waterless fire protection system. It is discharged into the risk within 10 seconds and suppresses the fire immediately as in [46]. FM-200 does not reduce oxygen concentration from hazard or risk. FM-200 is a synthetic chemical fire suppression gas and extinguishes a fire by removing the free radicals or heat elements from the fire triangle (Oxygen, Heat and Fuel).

*J. FM-200 as a Clean Agent:*

FM-200 is a waterless fire protection system. It is discharged into the risk within 10 seconds and suppresses the fire immediately as in [10]. FM-200 does not reduce oxygen concentration from hazard or risk. The normal concentration of FM-200 gas is between 7.9% to 8.5%. The main advantage of FM-200 is that a small amount of agent is required to suppress a fire. Thus, it requires less space for cylinders storage. FM-200 can extinguishing fire in 10 seconds or less which means less damage, lower repair costs.

*K. Advantages of FM-200 as Fire Extinguishing Agent:*

- 1) Falls in the category of clean agent and is governed by NFPA-2001.
- 2) NOAEL level for cardiac sensitization is 9 % (United States Environment Protection Agency allows concentration of 9 % volume in occupied spaces without any mandate time).
- 3) First non-ozone depletion replacement for Halon 1301
- 4) It will not burn.

*L. Disadvantages of FM-200 as Fire Extinguishing Agent:*

- 1) Decompose at high temperature and produce hydrogen fluoride.
- 2) Expose would cause irritation
- 3) Normally stable, but can become unstable at elevated temperature and pressure.

## XIII. NOVEC 1230

Novec 1230 agent is a next-generation halon alternative which provides outstanding performance, large margin of safety, and an excellent environmental profile as in [20]. Novec has zero ODP i.e. ozone depletion potential and a GWP i.e. global warming potential of 1. Once discharged, it has an atmospheric lifetime of 5 days.

A. *Chemical Name:* Dodecafluoro-2- methylpentan-3-one

B. *Chemical Formula:* C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>12</sub>O

C. *Molecular Weight:* 316.04 g per mole

D. *Boiling Point:* 49.2° C

E. *Density:* 1.72 g per cm<sup>3</sup>

F. *Critical Temperature:* 168.7° C

G. *Critical Pressure:* 18.65 bar

Novec is applied as a gas, but is liquid at room temperature. It is electrically non-conducting in both the liquid and gaseous state. Novec evaporation rate is 50 times faster than water. Like other Halon alternatives, Novec 1230 extinguishes fire principally by removing heat from the fire triangle as in [8]. Upon discharge, Novec creates a gaseous mixture with air. This agent and air mixture has a heat capacity much larger than that of air alone. A higher heat capacity means that this gas mixture will absorb more heat energy for each degree of temperature change it experiences.

#### XIV. CONCLUSION

Table 1

Comparison of etinguishing agents based on different parameters

Parameters\Agent s	Halon 1301	Halo n 1211	Argo n	Nitrogen	Argoni te	CO <sub>2</sub>	Inerge n	FE- 13	FE-25	FE-36	FM- 200	Nov ec 1230
Safe For Humans	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes below 1000 ppm	Yes
Non Corrosive	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Toxic By Products	Yes	Yes	None	None	None	None	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	Non e
Discharge Time (sec)	60	60	60	60	60	Till fire extingu ishes	60	10	10	10	10	10
Banned	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Fire Class A <sup>a</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fire Class B <sup>a</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fire Class C <sup>a</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total Flooding	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Local Application	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Range	Medi um	Med ium	Medi um	Medium	Medi um	Mediu m	Mediu m	Very Larg e	Mediu m	Mediu m	Mediu m	Med ium
Extinguishing Method	By Break ing Chain React ion	By Brea king Chai n React ion	Oxyg en Displ acem ent	Oxygen Displacem ent	Oxyg en Displ acem ent	Oxyge n Displac ement & Coolin g	Oxyge n Displa cement	Cool ing	Cooling	Coolin g	Coolin g & Breaki ng Chain Reacti on	Cool ing
Alternative To Halons	-	-	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Storage Space Required	Low	Low	Medi um	Medium	Medi um	Low	Mediu m	Low	Low	Low	Least	Low
ODP <sup>b</sup>	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GWP <sup>c</sup>	7140	1890	0	0	0	1	1	3400	2800	6800	3500	1

Atmospheric Lifetime (Years)	65	11	-	-	-	-	-	270	29	209	33	0.014
SNAP <sup>d</sup>	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NOAEL <sup>e</sup>	5 %	0.5 %	43 %	43 %	43 %	5 %	43 %	7.5 %	7.5%	10%	9 %	10 %
LOAEL <sup>f</sup>	7.5 %	1%	52 %	52 %	52 %	Not Available	62 %	50 %	10%	15%	10.50 %	>10 %
Safety Margin	Nil	Nil	-	-	-	7 %	-	30 %	20%	20%	3 to 20 %	67 to 150 %

**a** – US Classification of Fire

**d** – Significant New Alternative Policy

**b** – Ozone Depletion Potential

**e** – No Observed Adverse Effect Level

**c** – Global Warming Potential

**f** – Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level

## REFERENCES

- [1] (1999). Method and apparatus for detection and prevention of fire hazard, Google Patents.
- [2] Aiello, G., et al. (2009). "Clean agent selection approached by fuzzy TOPSIS decision-making method." Fire Technology 45(4): 405.
- [3] Association, N. F. P. (1919). Fire protection handbook, Standard Publishing Company.
- [4] Avelar, V. (2003). "Mitigating Fire Risks in Mission Critical Facilities." APC Whitepaper 83.
- [5] Babushok, V. I., et al. (2012). "Combustion properties of halogenated fire suppressants." Combustion and Flame 159(12): 3569-3575 (2002) The IEEE website. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ieee.org/>
- [6] Banks, R., et al. (1998). "Environmental aspects of fluorinated materials: part 31: comparative life-cycle assessment of the impacts associated with fire extinguishants HFC-227ea and IG-541." Process safety and environmental protection 76(3): 229-238
- [7] Bennett, J. M. (2000). Compact affordable inert gas fire extinguishing system, Google Patents.
- [8] Benson, C., et al. (2016). "Fire suppression systems in aircraft: Their past, present & future." Fire Investigation 1(3): 34-41.
- [9] Chattaway, A. and D. Spring (2001). A comparison of halon replacements: FM 200 vs. Inergen, Kidde International, Gulf Wide Safety Systems, Inc.
- [10] Chow, W., et al. (2004). "The necessity of studying chemical reactions of the clean agent heptafluoropropane in fire extinguishment." Architectural science review 47(3): 223-227.
- [11] DiNunno, P. J. and E. W. Forssell (2016). Clean Agent Total Flooding Fire Extinguishing Systems. SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, Springer: 1483-1530
- [12] Dickinson, R. E. and R. J. Cicerone (1986). "Future global warming from atmospheric trace gases." Nature 319(6049): 109-115.
- [13] Fallis, S., et al. (2000). Advanced propellant/additive development for fire suppressing gas generators. Halon Options Technical Working Conference.
- [14] Grabow, T. and K. Kallergis (2003). Method and system for extinguishing fire in an enclosed space, Google Patents
- [15] Grant, C. C. (2016). Halon design calculations. SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, Springer: 1450-1482
- [16] Guobi, Z. (2004). "New halon replacement is a breakthrough in sustainability and safety [J]." Fire Science and Technology 4: 022.
- [17] Homan, B., et al. (2016). Fire Protection Informational Exchange, ARMY RESEARCH LAB ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD WEAPONS AND MATERIALS RESEARCH DIRECTORATE.
- [18] Howard, R. and D. Lomas (1974). Fire-fighting, Google Patents
- [19] Ikubo, Y. and M. L. Robin (1992). Fire extinguishing methods and blends utilizing hydrofluorocarbons, Google Patents.
- [20] Jeong, K., et al. (2007). "(Vapour+ liquid) equilibria of the {carbon dioxide+ pentafluoroethane (HFC-125)} system and the {carbon dioxide+ dodecafluoro-2-methylpentan-3-one (NOVEC™ 1230)} system." The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics 39(4): 531-535.
- [21] Jiang, Z., et al. (2007). "Review on additives for new clean fire suppressants." Environmental engineering science 24(5): 663-674.
- [22] Jones, C. L. (1950). Carbon dioxide fire extinguisher, Google Patents
- [23] Kim, A. (2001). "Recent Development In Fire Suppression Systems." Fire Safety Science 5: 12-27.
- [24] Kirsch, P. (2013). Modern fluoroorganic chemistry: synthesis, reactivity, applications, John Wiley & Sons.
- [25] Kotliar, I. K. (2002). Hypoxic fire prevention and fire suppression systems and breathable fire extinguishing compositions for human occupied environments, Google Patents.
- [26] Liu, Z. and A. K. Kim (1999). "A review of water mist fire suppression systems—fundamental studies." Journal of Fire Protection Engineering 10(3): 32-50.
- [27] Lott, J. L., et al. (1996). "Synergism between chemical and physical fire-suppressant agents." Fire Technology 32(3): 260-271.
- [28] Mawhinney, J. and J. Richardson (1997). "A review of water mist fire suppression research and development, 1996." Fire Technology 33(1): 54-90.
- [29] McCulloch, A. (1992). "Global production and emissions of bromochlorodifluoromethane and bromotrifluoromethane (halons 1211 and 1301)." Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics 26(7): 1325-1329.

- [30] Menon, G. and J. Vakil (2011). "Handbook on Building Fire Codes." Fire Adviser, Govt. of India, CED-22 Fire Fighting Sectional Committee, Bureau of Indian Standards.
- [31] Molgano Jr Frank, A. (1968). Fire extinguishing apparatus, Google Patents.
- [32] Moore, P. (1996). "Suppressants for the control of industrial explosions." Journal of loss prevention in the process industries 9(1): 119-123.
- [33] Protocol, M. (1987). "Montreal protocol on substances that deplete the ozone layer." Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office 26.
- [34] Reilly, W. J., et al. (2010). Dual extinguishment fire suppression system using high velocity low pressure emitters, Google Patents.
- [35] Rice, S. A. (2014). "Human health risk assessment of CO<sub>2</sub>: survivors of acute high-level exposure and populations sensitive to prolonged low-level exposure." environments 3(5): 7-15.
- [36] Rivers, P. E., et al. (2002). Use of fluorinated ketones in fire extinguishing compositions, Google Patents.
- [37] Robin, M. L. (1995). Halogenated fire suppression agents, ACS Publications.
- [38] Robin, M. L. and D. Fluoroproducts "THE F-GAS REGULATION AND ITS IMPLICATIONS IN FIRE SUPPRESSION APPLICATIONS."
- [39] Robin, M. L., et al. (2002). Method for the suppression of fire, Google Patents.
- [40] Rohringer, P. and R. Berini (1983). Fire-retardant, intumescent composition and its use for the flameproofing of substrates, and as a fire-extinguishing agent comprising an ammonium salt, a water-soluble nitrogen compound as a blowing agent and dextrin, Google Patents.
- [41] Romanoff, D. B. (2002). Fire extinguishing agent and method, Google Patents.
- [42] Rotmans, J. and M. Den Elzen (1992). "A model-based approach to the calculation of global warming potentials (GWP)." International journal of climatology 12(8): 865-874.
- [43] Saito, N., et al. (1996). "Flame-extinguishing concentrations and peak concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, CO<sub>2</sub> and their mixtures for hydrocarbon fuels." Fire Safety Journal 27(3): 185-200.
- [44] Schneider, A. L. (1983). The Marine Worker: Safety in the Workplace, SAE Technical Paper.
- [45] Senecal, J. A. (1992). "Halon replacement: the law and the options." Process Safety Progress 11(3): 182-186.
- [46] Sharma, V., et al. (2007). Fire extinguishing mixtures, methods and systems, Google Patents.
- [47] Stwertka, A. (2002). A Guide to the Elements, Oxford University Press.
- [48] Su, J. Z., et al. (1996). "Review of total flooding gaseous agents as Halon 1301 substitutes." Journal of Fire Protection Engineering 8(2): 45-63.
- [49] TAHERI, P. (2015). "An integrated approach from the preliminary design to the final proposal of gaseous fire suppression system."
- [50] Tapscott, R. E., et al. (1990). Next-Generation Fire Extinguishing Agent. Phase 2. Laboratory Tests and Scoping Trials, NEW MEXICO ENGINEERING RESEARCH INST ALBUQUERQUE.
- [51] Taylor, G. J. and W. S. Harris (1970). "Cardiac toxicity of aerosol propellants." Jama 214(1): 81-85.





10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)