



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 6 Issue: XII Month of publication: December 2018

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: © 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



### To Study, Analysis and Modification of Brick with Use of Different Types Materials

Kikani Rushi N.<sup>1</sup>, Patel Nirav I.<sup>2</sup>, Patel Priyank <sup>3</sup>, Kamani Sagar D<sup>4</sup>, Prof. Shailesh pal <sup>5</sup>, Lec. Vipul solanki <sup>6</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3, 4</sup>B.E. Student, Civil engineering, DJMIT Engineering College, Anand, Gujarat

<sup>5</sup>Professors, Civil Engineering Department, DJMIT Engineering College, Anand, Gujarat

<sup>6</sup>Lecturer, Civil Engineering Department BBIT Diploma engineering college, Anand Gujarat

Abstract: The Demolish waste for the purpose of experimentation was collected from the demolition of residential building at Janata chokadi, Anand. The mixtures of clay available at the brick casting site and the fly Ash, demolish waste, waste wire of tyre and waste of granite partials with different percentages by weight are prepared. These mixtures are used to make the bricks of clay and fly ash with different proportions. The bricks are made with frog. These bricks are air dried in open atmosphere for 4 - 5 days as per usual practice. The curing of the bricks is done in a traditional way as is done at gayatri brick works, GIDC, Anand. These bricks are cured for fourteen days. At the end of the 14 days, the bricks are allowed for sundried as per usual practice. The bricks are taken out and stacked in the testing lab of the institute where the sample bricks are tested for water absorption, dimension and compressive strength as per BIS procedures at Mangalam Consultancy Services, Karamsad. Keywords: Demolish waste, Fly-Ash, Brick, Test, Compressive, Water absorption.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Bricks are one of the oldest known building materials dating back to 7000BC where they were first found in southern Turkey and around Jericho. The first bricks were sun dried mud bricks. Fired bricks were found to be more resistant to harsher weather conditions, which made them a much more reliable brick for use in permanent buildings, where mud bricks would not have been sufficient.

Fired brick were also useful for absorbing any heat generated throughout the day, then releasing it at night

The Ancient Egyptians also used sun dried mud bricks as building materials, evidence of which can still be seen today at ruins such as Harappa Buhen and Mohenjo-daro. Paintings on the tomb walls of Thebes portray slaves mixing, tempering and carrying clay for the sun dried bricks.

These bricks also consisted of a 4:2:1 ratio which enabled them to be laid more easily.

Using mobile kilns, the Romans were successful in introducing kiln fired bricks to the whole of the Roman Empire. These bricks differed from other ancient bricks in size and shape. Roman bricks were more commonly round, square, oblong, triangular or rectangular.

The Romans preferred this type of brick making during the first century of their civilisation and used the bricks for public and private buildings all over the empire. During the 12th century bricks were reintroduced to northern Germany from northern Italy. The buildings around this time were mainly built from fired red clay bricks. Brick Gothic style buildings can be found in the Baltic countries Sweden, Denmark, Poland, Germany, Finland, and Russia. The brick gothic period can be categorized by the lack of figural architectural sculptures which had previously been carved in stone. During the renaissance and Baroque periods, exposed brick walls became unpopular and brickwork was generally covered by plaster. Only during the mid 18th century did visible brick walls again regain some popularity.

### II. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

- A. High strength:
- B. Low On Cost
- C. Low in weight:
- D. Environmentally Free

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved 535



### III. REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE

- 1) Aeslina Abdul Kadir, Abbas Mohajerani, BRICKS: AN EXCELLENT BUILDING MATERIAL FOR RECYCLING WASTES, Proceedings of the IASTED International Conference July 4 6, 2011 Calgary, AB, Canada Environmental Management and Engineering (EME 2011).
- 2) Rohit Kumar Arya, Rajeev Kansal Utilization of Waste Papers to Produce Ecofriendly Bricks, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR),2015.
- 3) A. Venkatesan, G. Anand, A. George Fernandez, V. V. Thillai Natarajan, A. Alex, A Compressive Strength and Water Absorption Test on Brick Made of Wood Ash, Charcoal with Clay Bricks: A Comparative Study, The International Journal Of Science & Technoledge (ISSN 2321 919X), March, 2015
- 4) Sarangapani e [2002] compared the characterization and properties of local low modulus bricks, table molded bricks and wire cut bricks, mortars and masonry.

### IV. MATERIALS AND METHODS



Clay



Scarap Tyre's Wire



Fly-Ash



Demolish material



Marble's Partical



Lime



### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue XII, Dec 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

### Proportion


| Brick | Fly-Ash | Dust   | Lime  | Gypsum | Demolish | Marble | Wire   |
|-------|---------|--------|-------|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| 1     | 40-50%  | 20-30% | 8-10% | 7-11%  | 15-19%   | -      | -      |
| 2     | 40-50%  | 20-30% | 8-10% | 7-11%  | 7-9%     | 5-7%   | 10-12% |
| 3     | 40-50%  | 25-35% | 8-10% | 6-10%  | -        | -      | 20-25% |
| 4     | 50-60%  | 20-30% | 8-10% | 5-6%   | -        | 10-30% | -      |

### V. BRICK TEST AND RESULT

### A. Compressive Test

| Sr. No.           | Test Sample ID | Load (KN) | Area of Brick | Compressive            |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|
|                   |                |           | (cm^2)        | Strength(N/mm^2)       |
| 1                 | CS 1           | 88        | 235.8         | 3.73                   |
| 2                 | CS 2           | 93        | 237.0         | 3.92                   |
| 3                 | CS 3           | 90        | 235.3         | 3.82                   |
| 4                 | CS 4           | 88        | 240.8         | 3.65                   |
| 5                 | CS 5           | 86        | 236.6         | 3.63                   |
| Average           | -              | 89        | 237.1         | 3.75                   |
| Method Of Testing |                |           |               | I.S.3495-(P 1)1992,(RA |
|                   |                |           |               | 2016)                  |

| Requirement as per Indian Standard. | Compressive Strength shall not be less than 3.5N/mm <sup>2</sup> |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I.S.1077-1992 RA -2016              | (Table – 1 Clause 4.1)                                           |




### B. Water Absorption Test Data

| Sr. No.           | Test Sample ID | Water Absorption(%)        |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| 1                 | WA 1           | 12.3                       |
| 2                 | WA 2           | 11.6                       |
| 3                 | WA 3           | 11.5                       |
| 4                 | WA 4           | 12.1                       |
| 5                 | WA 5           | 11.9                       |
| Average           | -              | 11.9                       |
| Method of Testing |                | IS:3495(P 2)-1992, RA 2016 |

| Requirement as per Indian Standard. | Shall not be more than 20%(Clause 7.2) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| I.S.1077-1992 RA -2016              |                                        |

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved 538



### C. Efflorescence Test Data

| Sr No.            | Test Sample ID | Efflorescence              |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| 1                 | EF 1           | Nil                        |
| 2                 | EF 2           | Slight                     |
| 3                 | EF 3           | Nil                        |
| 4                 | EF 4           | Slight                     |
| 5                 | EF 5           | Nil                        |
| Average           | -              | -                          |
| Method of Testing |                | IS:3495(P 3)-1992, RA 2016 |

| Requirement as per Indian Standard. | Nil/Slight/Moderate/Heavy/Serious (Clause 7.3) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| I.S.1077-1992 RA -2016              |                                                |

### D. Hardness Test

- 1) In this test, a scratch is made on brick surface with the help of a finger nail.
- 2) If no impression is left on the surface, brick is treated as to be sufficiently hard.

### E. Dimension Test Data

| Dimension Test Results Of 20 Nos. Brick | Length, mm    | Width, mm     | Thickness, mm |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Results                                 | 4650          | 2210          | 1420          |
| Requirement as per Indian               | 4600(+/-)80mm | 2210(+/-)40mm | 1400(+/-)40mm |
| Standard.                               |               |               |               |
| 1077(1992)RA-                           |               |               |               |
| 2016(Clause 6.2)                        |               |               |               |



### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue XII, Dec 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

### VI. CONCLUSION

### Proportion Of Brick 2.

| Brick | Fly-Ash | Dust   | Lime  | Gypsum | Demolish | Marble | Wire   |
|-------|---------|--------|-------|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| 2     | 40-50%  | 20-30% | 8-10% | 7-11%  | 7-9%     | 5-7%   | 10-12% |

### Compressive Strength Of Brick 2.

| Sr. No. | Test Sample ID | Load (KN) | Area of Brick | Compressive      |
|---------|----------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|
|         |                |           | (cm^2)        | Strength(N/mm^2) |
| 2       | CS 2           | 93        | 237.0         | 3.92             |

### Water Absorption Test Of Brick 2.

| Sr. No. | Test Sample ID | Water Absorption(%) |
|---------|----------------|---------------------|
| 2       | WA 2           | 11.6                |

### VII. COSTING OF BRICK

### A. Costing of Brick per unit

| Brick     | Fly Ash | Dust | Lime | Gypsum | Demolish | Marble | Wire  | Total   |
|-----------|---------|------|------|--------|----------|--------|-------|---------|
| type      |         |      |      |        |          |        |       |         |
| 1(gm.)    | 681     | 511  | 136  | 119    | 225      | 0      | 0     | 1702gm  |
| Cost(Rs.) | 0.34    | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.29   | 0.2      | 0      | 0     | 1.47Rs. |
| 2(gm.)    | 741     | 370  | 148  | 129    | 129      | 192    | 185   | 1765gm  |
| Cost(Rs.) | 0.36    | 0.06 | 0.55 | 0.32   | 0.05     | 0.08   | 0.092 | 1.51Rs. |
| 3(gm.)    | 625     | 395  | 140  | 98     | 0        | 0      | 350   | 1608gm  |
| Cost(Rs.) | 0.36    | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.23   | 0        | 0      | 0.160 | 1.37Rs. |
| 4(gm.)    | 800     | 355  | 142  | 88     | 0        | 293    | 0     | 1678gm  |
| Cost(Rs.) | 0.44    | 0.07 | 0.56 | 0.22   | 0        | 0.131  | 0     | 1.42Rs. |

B. Costing of brick
1) Fly Ash: 0.5Rs/kg
2) Dust: 0.2Rs/kg
3) Lime: 4Rs/kg
4) Gypsum: 2.5Rs/kg
5) Demolish: 0.40Rs/kg
6) Marble: 0.40Rs/kg
7) Wire: 0.50Rs/kg

### C. Benefits

The current brick is economical in costing than other established bricks. Hence, from economic point of view it will be extremely low in cost and also financially it will be quite economic at the site from market may cost save of lakhs.

### REFERENCES

- [1] http:costeffectivehouse. BlogSpot. in/use-of-
- [2] Fly-ash-bricks-cost-effective..html?m=1
- [3] shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/23015/7/07\_chapter2.pdf
- $[4] \quad eprints.uthm.edu.my/1979/1/Aeslina\_Abdul\_Kadir\_FKAAS\_(IASTED).pdf$
- [5] www.theijst.com/force\_download.php?file\_path=wp-content/uploads/2015
- $[6] \quad https://patents.google.com/patent/US3378385$
- [7] https://patents.google.com/patent/US5358760A/en
- [8] https://patents.google.com/patent/US895787

540









45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)