



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 7 Issue: V Month of publication: May 2019 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2019.5466

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Equivalence of Two Summability Methods for Improper Integral

Dr. Banitamani Mallik, Mr Radhamadhab Dash, Dr. Umakanta Misra ¹Centurion University of Technology and Management, Paralakhemundi, Odisha, India ²Delhi Public School, Nalco Nagar, Angul, Odisha, India ³National Institute of Science and Technology, Ganjam, Odisha, India

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the concept of $|N, p; \delta, \mu|_k, k \ge 1$ summability of improper integrals and by this definition we prove a theorem that generalizes theorems of Orhan, Ozgen and Acharya.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15;40F05;40D25;40A10;35A23

Keywords: Cesaro and Riesz method, summability of improper integrals

I. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a real valued function which is continuous on $[0,\infty)$. Let $s(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(t)dt$. We denote the Cesaro mean of s(x) by

 $\sigma(x)$. The improper integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) dt$ is said to be integrable $|C,1|_{k}, k \ge 1$, in the sense of Flett[3] if

(1.1)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{k-1} |\sigma'(x)|^{k} dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\nu(x)|^{k}}{x} dx$$

is convergent, where $v(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{\infty} t f(t) dt$ usually called a generator of the integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) dt$.

Let p(x) be a non-decreasing real valued function on $[0,\infty)$. We define

$$P(x) = \int_{0}^{x} p(t)dt, \, p(x) \neq 0, \, p(0) = 0.$$

Then we define the Norlund mean of s(x) by

$$\sigma_p(x) = \frac{1}{P(x)} \int_0^x p(t) s(t) dt$$

We say that the improper integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) dt$ is summable $|N, p|_{k}, k \ge 1$, if

(1.2)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{k-1} \left| \sigma_{p}'(x) \right|^{k} dx$$

is convergent .In the special case if we take p(x) = 1 for all values of x, then $|N, p|_k$ summability reduces to $|C,1|_k$ summability of improper integrals.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue V, May 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

For any two functions f and g, it is customary to write g(x) = O(f(x)), if there exist K and N, for every

$$x > N, \left| \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right| \le K$$
. Clearly the difference between $s(x)$ and its *n* th weighted mean $\sigma_p(x)$, which is called the weighted

Kronecker identity, is given by the identity

$$s(x) - \sigma_p(x) = v_p(x)$$

(1.3) where

$$v_p(x) = \frac{1}{P(x)} \int_0^\infty p(u) f(u) du .$$

We note that if we take p(x) = 1, for all values of x, then we have the following identity (see [2])

$$s(x) - \sigma_p(x) = v(x).$$

Since

$$\sigma'_{p}(x) = \frac{p(x)}{P(x)} v_{p}(x),$$

condition (1.3) can be written as

(1.4)
$$s(x) = v_p(x) + \int_0^x \frac{P(u)}{P(u)} v_p(u) du$$

In view of the identity (1.4), the function $v_p(x)$ is called the generator function of s(x).

Condition (1.1) can also be written as

(1.5)
$$\int_0^\infty x^{k-1} \left(\frac{p(x)}{P(x)}\right)^k \left|v_p(x)\right|^k dx$$

is convergent. It may be noted that for infinite series, an analogous definition was introduced by Orhan [4]. The improper integral

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) dt \text{ is summable } |N, p; \delta|_{k}, k \ge 1, 0 \le \delta k < 1 \text{ , if}$$

(1.6)
$$\int_0^\infty x^{\delta k+k-l} \left(\frac{p(x)}{P(x)}\right)^k \left|v_p(x)\right|^k dx$$

and summable $\left|N, p; \delta, \mu\right|_{k}, k \ge 1, 0 \le \delta k < 1, \mu \ge 1$, if

(1.7)
$$\int_0^\infty x^{\mu(\partial k+k-1)} \left(\frac{p(x)}{P(x)}\right)^k \left|v_p(x)\right|^k dx \quad .$$

II. KNOWN RESULTS

Dealing with $|R, p_n|_k$ and $|R, q_n|_k$ summability methods, Orhan [4] proved the following theorem:

- 1) Theorem 2.1. The $|R, p_n|_k$, $(k \ge 1)$ summability implies the $|R, q_n|_k$, $(k \ge 1)$ summability provided that
- a) $nq_n = O(Q_n)$, b) $P_n = O(np_n)$ and c) $Q_n = O(nq_n)$.

Subsequently, dealing with summability of improper integrals, Ozgen has established the following theorem:



- 2) Theorem 2.2. [5] Let p(x) and q(x) be non-decreasing real valued functions on $[0,\infty)$ such that as $x \to \infty$
- a) xq(x) = O(Q(x)), b) P(x) = O(xp(x)),

and

c) Q(x) = O(xq(x))

If $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) dt$ is summable $|R, p|_{k}$, then it is also summable $|R, q|_{k}$, $(k \ge 1)_{k}$.

Extending the result of Ozgen, Acharya[1] has established the following result.

- 3) Theorem 2.3. Let p(x) and q(x) be non-decreasing real valued functions on $[0,\infty)$ such that as $x \to \infty$
- a) xq(x) = O(Q(x)), b) P(x) = O(xp(x))
- b) P(x) = O(xq(x)), c) Q(x) = O(xq(x))
- d) $\int_{t}^{m} \frac{x^{\delta k} q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} dx = O\left(\frac{t^{\delta k}}{Q(t)}\right)$

and

$$\int_{0}^{m} t^{\delta k-1} \left| \boldsymbol{v}_{p}(t) \right|^{k} dt = O(1)$$

If $\int_0^\infty f(t) dt$ is summable $|N, p; \delta|_k$, then it is also summable $|N, q, \delta|_k$, $(k \ge 1)_k$.

However, extending the above result, in the present paper we establish the following theorem :

III. MAIN RESULT

1) Theorem 3.1. Let p(x) and q(x) be non-decreasing real valued functions on $[0,\infty)$ such that as $x \to \infty$

a)
$$xq(x) = O(Q(x))$$
,
b) $P(x) = O(xp(x))$,
c) $Q(x) = O(xq(x))$,
d) $\int_{t}^{m} \frac{x^{\mu(\delta k + k - 1) - k + 1}q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} dx = O\left(\frac{t^{\mu(\delta k + k - 1) - k + 1}q(x)}{Q(t)}\right)$

and

$$\int_{0}^{m} t^{\mu(\mathfrak{K}+k-1)-k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt = O(1)$$

If $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$ is summable $|N, p; \delta, \mu|_k$, then it is also summable $|N, q, \delta, \mu|_k$, $(k \ge 1)$.

IV. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let $\sigma_p(x)$ and $\sigma_q(x)$ be the functions of (N, p) and (N, q) means of the integral $\int_0^\infty f(t) dt$. Since $\int_0^\infty f(t) dt$ is summable $|N, p; \delta, \mu|_k, k \ge 1, 0 \le \delta k < 1, \mu \ge 1$, we can write



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue V, May 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\mu\left(\bar{a}^{k+k-1}\right)}\left(\frac{p(x)}{P(x)}\right)^k \left|v_p(x)\right|^k dx$$

is convergent. Differentiating the equation (1.4), we have

$$f(x) = v'_p(x) + \frac{p(x)}{P(x)}v_p(x)$$

By definition, we obtain

$$\sigma_q(x) = \frac{1}{Q(x)} \int_0^x q(t) s(t) dt = \frac{1}{Q(x)} \int_0^x (Q(x) - Q(t)) f(t) dt$$

and

$$\sigma_{q}'(x) = \frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} Q(t) f(t) dt$$

= $\frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} Q(t) \left[v_{p}'(t) + \frac{p(t)}{P(t)} v_{p}(t) \right] dt$
= $\frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} Q(t) v_{p}'(t) dt + \frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} Q(t) \frac{p(t)}{P(t)} v_{p}(t) dt$

Integrating by parts of the first statement, we have

$$\sigma_{q}'(x) = \frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \left[Q(x)v_{p}(x) - \int_{0}^{x} q(t)v_{p}(t)dt \right] + \frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} Q(t)\frac{p(t)}{P(t)}v_{p}(t)dt$$
$$= \frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)}v_{p}(x) + \frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} Q(t)\frac{p(t)}{P(t)}v_{p}(t)dt - \frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \int_{0}^{x} q(t)v_{p}(t)dt$$
$$= \sigma_{q,1}(x) + \sigma_{q,2}(x) + \sigma_{q,3}(x), \text{ say.}$$

In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} |\sigma_{q,r}(x)|^{k} dx = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \text{ for } r = 1,2,3$$

Using conditions (7.3.1.1) and (7.3.1.2), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} |\sigma_{q,1}(x)|^{k} dx &= \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} \left| \frac{q(x)}{Q(x)} v_{p}(x) \right|^{k} dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} \left(\frac{q(x)}{Q(x)} \right)^{k} |v_{p}(x)|^{k} dx \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} \left(\frac{p(x)}{P(x)} \right)^{k} |v_{p}(x)|^{k} dx \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} |\sigma_{p}'(x)|^{k} dx \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} |\sigma_{p}'(x)|^{k} dx \\ &= O(1) , \text{ as } m \to \infty , \end{split}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of theorem 3.1.

Applying Holder's inequality with k > 1, we get

$$\int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} \left| \sigma_{q,2}(x) \right|^{k} dx = O(1) \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} \left(\frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \right)^{k} \left(\int_{0}^{x} \frac{Q(t)p(t)}{P(t)} \left| v_{p}(t) \right| dt \right)^{k} dx$$

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue V, May 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

$$= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} \frac{x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)-k+1}q(x)}{Q^{k+1}(x)} \left(\int_{0}^{x} \frac{Q(t)p(t)}{P(t)} |v_{p}(t)| dt \right)^{k} dx$$

$$= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} \frac{x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)-k+1}q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \left(\int_{0}^{x} \left(\frac{Q(t)}{q(t)} \right)^{k} q(t) \left(\frac{p(t)}{P(t)} \right)^{k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \right) x \left(\frac{1}{Q(x)} \int_{0}^{x} q(t) dt \right)^{k-1} dx$$

$$= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} t^{k} q(t) \left(\frac{p(t)}{P(t)} \right)^{k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \int_{t}^{m} \frac{x^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)-k+1}q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} dx$$

$$= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} t^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)+1} \frac{q(t)}{Q(t)} \left(\frac{p(t)}{P(t)} \right)^{k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt$$

$$= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} t^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} \left(\frac{p(t)}{P(t)} \right)^{k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt$$

$$= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} t^{\mu(\delta k+k-1)} \left(\frac{p(t)}{P(t)} \right)^{k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of theorem 7.3.1.

Finally, again by Holder's inequality with k > 1, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\tilde{w}+k-1)} \Big| \sigma_{q,3}(x) \Big|^{k} dx &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} x^{\mu(\tilde{w}+k-1)} \Big(\frac{q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \Big)^{k} \Big(\int_{0}^{x} q(t) |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \Big)^{k} dx \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} \frac{x^{\mu(\tilde{w}+k-1)-k+1} q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} \Big(\int_{0}^{x} q(t) |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \Big) x \Big(\frac{1}{Q(x)} \int_{0}^{x} q(t) dt \Big)^{k-1} dx \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} q(t) |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \int_{t}^{m} \frac{x^{\mu(\tilde{w}+k-1)-k+1} q(x)}{Q^{2}(x)} dx \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} \frac{t^{\mu(\tilde{w}+k-1)-k+1} q(t)}{Q(t)} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} t^{\mu(\tilde{w}+k-1)-k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \\ &= O(1) \int_{0}^{m} t^{\mu(\tilde{w}+k-1)-k} |v_{p}(t)|^{k} dt \end{split}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of theorem 3.1. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Acharya, Ph.D. thesis submitted to Fakir Mohan University, Odisha, (2019)

[2] Canak and U. Totur, "A tauberian theorem for Cesaro summability factors of integrals," Appl. Math. Lett. vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 234-245, 2011

[3] T.M. Flett, "On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of littlewood and paley." Proc. London Math. Soc, vol.7, pp.113-141, 1957

[4] C. Orhan, "On equivalence of summability methods, Math.Slovaca" vol.40, no.2, pp.171-175, 1990.

[5] H.N. Ozgen, "On equivalence of two integrability methods", Miskole Mathematical Notes, Vol.18 (1), pp.391-396, (2017)











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)