



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 7 Issue: VII Month of publication: July 2019 DOI: http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2019.7188

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Performance Analysis of Two Extension of Stable Election Protocol regarding Energy Consumption in Wireless Sensor Networks

Achyut Srivastava¹, A. K. Shankhwar², Ashutosh Singh³

¹M.Tech student, ^{2,3}Associate Professor Electronics Engg. Deptt. Harcourt Butler Technical University Kanpur U.P-India

Abstract: Wireless Sensor Network (WSNs) is one of the inclining innovation having different applications in wireless communications. Energy consumption is an extensive thing in WSN. Subsequently a large portion of routing protocol is centered on Energy Conservation. Clustering is one of the well-known WSN routing techniques. In this paper we'll examine about Stable Election Protocol (SEP), a two level heterogeneous Protocol and its two expansions, S-SEP and MS-SEP; which uses Clustering technique for information transmission. In S-SEP a few hubs transmits information straightforwardly to the base station and a few uses clustering technique. MS-SEP is a three-level heterogeneous routing protocol, where cluster individuals transmit information to their head of the cluster just when detected information surpasses some limit. We at that point think about their comparison dependent on network energy dissipation having constant Throughput Keywords: WSN, Clustering, SEP, MS-SEP, Throughput.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Networks comprise of a generous measure of conservative, less power consumable and multi-operational recognizing devices known as sensor hubs. Each sensor hub is furnished with distinguishing, information preparing and transmitting accomplishments [1-4]. The recently talked about little recognizing contraptions are known as hubs. They include transceiver (for sending and getting signal or data beginning with one centre point then onto the following), CPU (with the true objective of data taking care of), battery (for imperativeness) and memory (for securing data). According to the applications, size of every sensor centre point changes as needs be. In certain military or even in some surveillance applications it might be imperceptibly little. Parameters, for instance, battery, memory size and handling speed are the integral variables for its expense. In past, different conventions are advanced, where created hubs have extra sum vitality than typical hubs [4-9]. These higher energy hubs are placed in to construct throughput. In this proposed article, we attempted with respect to the scattering of the sensor hubs in such way to increase the throughput; it may be improved alone of making increment in cutting edge of advanced hubs energy. Right off the bat, we present two as of late advanced conventions to be specific S-SEP and MS-SEP, which set out the essentials of energy levels.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Cluster Formation

Clustering depends on disseminated algorithm [1], [2]. The objective here is to limit the energy utilization and correspondence cost, and boosts the system lifetime. In this method, the system is isolated into a few clusters and in each group; one of the hub is chosen as head of the cluster with deference for the energy level. Every hub transmits the detected information to its head of the cluster and that head of the cluster performs information aggregation and transmits it to the base station.

B. Protocol

The protocols that will be examined about in this paper are: SEPs two expansions S-SEP and MS-SEP.

C. Stable Election Protocol (SEP)

SEP, improves the unfaltering region of the hierarchy procedure of the progressive system methodology using the trademark parameters of heterogeneity, specifically the division of Advanced node points (m), having the additional energy factor among advanced node and normal node (α). SEP is a two-level heterogeneous framework convention. SEP attempts to keep up the prerequisite of composed energy utilization. It acknowledges that each centre in the framework has particular energy. Thusly in SEP there are two sorts of node points; normal node points and advance node points. Advance points have more energy than normal



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue VII, July 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

centre points and the additional energy interestingly with advance centres and extra measure of energy factor in the middle of advance and normal node points is implied by (α). Accept that there are (n) quantities of sensor centre points in the framework and (m) be the bit of advance node points, which are outfitted with more energy than the ordinary normal node points. Let E₀ be the fundamental energy of each normal sensor centre point. By then energy of each advance sensor centre point will be E₀(1+a).

$$[\{n \times Eo \times (1-m)\} + \{n \times Eo \times m \times (1+\alpha)\} = \{n \times Eo \times (1+\alpha) \times m)\}]$$
(1)

SEP delegate a weighted likelihood to each centre point reliant on its underlying energy. It improves the cluster course of action by decreasing the head of the group between times of advance centre points, i.e., advance centres get logically chance to transform into a head of the group. The discrete likelihood for ordinary and advance centre points independently, are:

$$p_{(opt).} = \frac{K_{(opt.)}}{n}$$
(2)

Anticipate an ideal number of clusters (k) in each round. From the outset each centre point can transform into a head of the cluster with a probability $p_{(opt.)}$. Every centre point will move toward getting to be Head of the cluster once every $\frac{1}{P_{(opt)}}$ cycle, $\frac{1}{P_{(opt)}}$ is implied as epoch.

$$P_{(nrm.)} = \left[\left(\frac{P_{(opt)}}{(1+\alpha m)} \right) \right]$$
(3)

Besides, every centre point must push toward getting to be head of the cluster once every $\frac{1}{P_{(opt)}}$ round. If there are (n) centres in the framework, by then there are $[n \times .p_{(opt.)}]$ speaks to the quantity of cluster and head of the cluster round. Each centre point in the cluster picks a self-assertive number 0 and 1 complete and is appear differently in relation to the Threshold T(n) is as,

$$T(nrm) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{(nrm)}}{1 - P_{(nrm)} \left(r \times \text{mod} \frac{1}{P_{(nrm)}} \right)} & ; \text{ if } n \in G \\ 0 & ; \text{ elsewhere} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Where, (r) represents to the current round and (G) represents to the course of action of normal node centres that have not pushed toward getting to be header of the cluster inside the last $\frac{1}{P_{(nrm)}}$ rounds of the epoch. In case the unpredictable number of a center is lower than $T_{(nrm)}$, by then that centre is picked as head of the cluster.

The below given equation defines the chance for advance nodes to become as a head of the cluster.

$$\mathsf{P}_{(\mathrm{adv.})} = \left[\left(\frac{\mathsf{P}_{(\mathrm{opt})}}{(1+\alpha \mathrm{m})} \right) \times (1+\alpha) \right] \tag{5}$$

According to threshold for advance nodes is

$$T(adv.) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{(adv)}}{1 - P_{(adv)} \left(r \times mod \frac{1}{P_{(adv)}}\right)} & ; \text{ if } adv \in G'\\ 0 & ; \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(6)

In this course of action of advance node centers that are not being picked as head of the cluster in on-going $\frac{1}{P_{(adv)}}$ rounds. After we

have the development of head of the cluster, the head of the cluster imparts information to the center points. This information is gotten by the center points and they pick the choice to which head of the cluster and it will proceed to the current round. This is called cluster advancement stage. As indicated by the idea of sign got, center points offer reaction to head of the cluster and are as a piece of it. Head of the cluster right as of now select a TDMA position for the center points at the time in which center points can exchange data to head of the cluster.

Subsequent to finishing the headway of cluster information of every center point, transmission of the information to the head of the cluster inside the time made undeniable to the center by the head of the cluster. By and by, data originated from center points, Head of the cluster need to accumulate all data and after gathering if essential lastly transmits data to the BS. This named is referred to as transmission stage as showed up by.

This sort of center organization isn't actually beneficial really that best in class centers are having high vitality in relationship with ordinary centers.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue VII, July 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

D. Sector Based Stable Election Protocol (S-SEP)

To take care of inconsistent separation issue beforehand it was advanced that to isolate the all-out territory in four areas as introduced in figure-1 [10]. Each Sector can be named,

- 1) Sector-1: 0 < x < X and $0 < y < y_1$
- 2) Sector-2: 0 < x < X and $y_2 < y < Y$
- 3) Sector-3: $0 < x < x_1$ and 0 < y < Y
- 4) Sector-4: $x_2 < x < X$ and 0 < y < Y



Fig. 1 Network Architecture with Sectors

If there should arise an occurrence of hub arrangement, hubs is in altered structure, sent of ordinary hubs are in the area of $(x_1 < x < x_2)$ and $(y_1 < y < y_2)$, and in the rest of the locale, sending of advance node hubs is made, in sectors clarified overhead and portrayed in figure-2.



Fig. 2: Four sector based network architecture.

Here we consider (100×100) m2, Sectorial division that will be advanced, the minimum separation is 30 m for and the largest separation is 50 m. In this way, the adjustments appear to have advantages as far as essential parameters. We expect (n) is the amounts of hubs those are in field. Among them, mn (m < 1) are the created hubs. Since, (mn) hubs are likewise apportioned in four divisions taking (mn/4) hubs in each portion. Sector based convention is apportioned in four divisions and these divisions filled by advanced hubs, and if there ought to be an event of disappointment of two or three hubs, the remainder of the hubs can transform into the head of the cluster. Thusly the framework is more secure as far as breakdown of hubs.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue VII, July 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

Sector 4

Advance Node

- The essential advantages of S-SEP are: a)
- i) Improved legitimate sending of created hubs.
- A less number of cutting edge hubs are utilized to cover remote areas from BS. ii)
- iii) Less good ways from BS to most remote hub.
- iv) Enhanced throughput and steadiness period with least beginning vitality.

The fundamental confinement of both S-SEP and MS-SEP is that an enormous zone of the field is secured with normal hubs; along these lines for separation hub will cease to exist soon, and thusly will diminish stable period and throughput.

E. Modified Sector Based Stable Election Protocol (MS-SEP):

- In this work further alteration was occurred in S-SEP and characterizes as MS-SEP, the recommended changes are as under: *b*)
- a) Divide the field into five zones.
- Inclusion of Intermediate hubs in relationship with Normal and advance hubs. *b*)

0 Sector 2 C 100 The distribution of nodes is as follows: Advanced Nodes Sector-1: 0<x< 100 and 0<y<20 Sector 3 Sector-2: 0<x< 100 and 80<y<100 Sector-3: 0<x< 20 and 20<y<80 Advance Nodes Sector-4: 80<x< 100 and 20<y<80 Normal No Intermediate Nodes Sector-5: 20<x< 80 and 20<y<40 Sector-6: 20<*x*< 80 and 60<*y*<80 20 Sector-7: 20<*x*< 40 and 40<*y*<60 Sector-8: 60<*x*< 80 and 40<*y*<60 100 20 Advance Nodes Normal Nodes Fig. 3: Network architecture with put forward protocol Sector-9: 40<*x*< 60 and 40<*y*<60

In the most extreme introductory test, the whole number of considered hubs are 100, out of them, 20 are advance hubs, 16 are Intermediate hubs and remaining 64 hubs are normal hubs. The energy of normal hubs is viewed as E_0 . The energy of Intermediate hub is $[E_0(1+\beta)]$ and the energy of advance hub is $[E_0(1+\alpha)]$. Here it is noticed that, $\beta < \alpha$. The irregular organizations of hubs under different conventions are exhibited in Figure 3. Be that as it may, the situation of base station is kept at fixed position of (50. 50).

MS-SEP, which is an augmentation of SEP, it is a three-level heterogeneous system protocol. It is a responsive routing protocol. As transmission devours more energy than detecting, here there are three sorts of hubs: Normal hubs, Intermediate hubs and Advance hubs. The energy of intermediate hubs lies between development hubs and normal hub. These hubs have β times more energy than that of ordinary hubs, where ($\beta = \alpha/2$). These hubs are picked by a factor (b), a small amount of hubs which are Intermediate hubs. On the off chance that E_0 is the underlying energy of every normal sensor hub, at that point energy of each advanced sensor hub will be $E_0'(1+\alpha)$ and energy of each intermediate hub will be $E_0(1+\beta)$.

The total (initial) energy of the network is equal to:

 $[\{n \times Eo \times (1-m-(b \times n))\} + \{n \times m \times Eo \times (1+\alpha)\} + \{n \times b \times Eo \times (1+\beta)\} = \{n \times Eo \times (1+(m \times \alpha)+(b \times \beta))\}]$ (7)The weighed probabilities for normal, intermediate and advanced nodes are, respectively:

$$\mathsf{P}_{(\mathrm{nrm.})} = \left[\left(\frac{\mathsf{P}_{(\mathrm{opt})}}{(1+\alpha m + b\beta)} \right) \right] \tag{8}$$

$$\mathsf{P}_{(\text{int.})} = \left[\left(\frac{\mathsf{P}_{(\text{opt})}^{(1+\beta)}}{(1+\alpha m+b\beta)} \right) \right] \tag{9}$$

$$\mathsf{P}_{(\mathrm{adv.})} = \left[\left(\frac{\mathsf{P}_{(\mathrm{opt})}(1+\alpha)}{(1+\alpha m+b\beta)} \right) \right] \tag{10}$$

And threshold value calculation for normal, intermediate and advanced nodes are, respectively:

$$T(\text{nrm.}) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{(\text{opt})}}{1 - P_{(\text{opt})} \left(r \times \text{mod} \frac{1}{P_{(\text{opt})}}\right)} ; \text{ if } n \in G \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$T(\text{int.}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{; elsewhere} \\ \frac{P_{(\text{int})}}{1 - P_{(\text{int})} \left(r \times \text{mod} \frac{1}{P_{(\text{int})}} \right)} & \text{; if } n \in G' \\ 0 & \text{; elsewhere} \end{cases}$$
(12)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177

Volume 7 Issue VII, July 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

$$\Gamma(adv.) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{(adv)}}{1 - P_{(adv)} \left(r \times \text{mod} \frac{1}{P_{(adv)}} \right)} & ; \text{ if } n \in G'' \\ 0 & ; \text{ elsewhere} \end{cases}$$
(13)

where (r) speaks the current round, G, G' and G", are the arrangement of normal hubs, intermediate hubs and advance hubs that have not progressed toward becoming leader of the group inside the last $\frac{1}{P_{(nrm)}}$, $\frac{1}{P_{(int)}}$, and $\frac{1}{P_{(adv)}}$ rounds of the age. Parameters that are utilized in the computation and for the simulation are listed in Table-1.

Table 1: List of perspectors and their values

radie-1. List of parameters and their values	
Parameters	Value
Initial Energy (E_0) .	0.25J, 0.50 J
Energy for data aggregation E_{DA}	5 nJ/bit/signal
Transmission and Receiving energy	5 nJ/bit
Amplification energy for short distance ε_{fs}	10 pJ/bit/m ²
Amplification energy for long distance ε_{mp}	0.013 pJ/bit/m ⁴
Probability P _{opt}	0.2

Stability period, Network lifetime and Throughput are used to evaluate the performance of the protocols, and these are as,

- *c) Stability Period:* Stability period is described when between times since the start of a network of the dead of unquestionably the one sensor hub. It is appealing that the estimation of this factor is should be as greatest as could sensibly be normal.
- *d) Network Lifetime:* This is the time between time gone from the most punctual beginning stage of the system to the dead of the rearmost working hub. This is additionally should in like manner be as most extreme as could be permitted.
- *e) Throughput:* High throughput is in like manner an appealing component of WSN. It tends to be characterizing as, the data sent from the hubs to the base station as far as packets.

An incredible number of conventions were introduced already with the goal of accomplishing high stability period, long length of network and to accomplish high throughput. These three parameters boost is a versatile errand, since, according to the system necessities wanted parameter/parameters is/are attempt to amplify.



Fig. 4, (100×100) m2 field is utilized for simulation of S-SEP. The blue 'o' image are utilized as a portrayal of Normal hubs and that are conveyed in square 20<X<80 and 20<Y<80. For quite a while green 'o' images are utilized as a portrayal of advance node hub and that are sent in the locale stays left, and, the imprint with '×' is speaks to the situation of the BS'. The situation of BS is at mean worth (50, 50). The E_0 is portrayal of vitality of the typical hubs and $[E_0(1+\alpha)]$ is for the vitality of cutting edge hubs. MATLAB is utilized for reenactment, and reproduction performs 2000 rounds.

Also in Fig. 5, (100×100) m2 field is utilized for simulation of MS-SEP. The blue 'o' image are utilized as a portrayal of Normal hubs and that are conveyed in as per above characterized boundaries. What's more, the imprint with '×' is speaks to the BS.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue VII, July 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

III. COMPARISON OF S-SEP AND MS-SEP (SAME THROUGHPUT)

In WSN applications, throughput is an important parameter; in this section we have made comparison of both S-SEP and MS-SEP under same throughput conditions. We have carried out multiple of experiments and figured out that for S-SEP, 80 normal nodes and 20 advanced nodes performance is same as for MS-SEP for some time considering 52 normal nodes, 16 intermediate nodes and 20 advanced nodes. Thus, a total of 88 nodes are deployed.



Fig. 6: Deployment of nodes in MS-SEP protocol (88 nodes)



Fig. 7, S-SEP and MS-SEP protocol is demonstrating active hubs versus rounds plot, for quite a while in fig. 8, dead hubs versus rounds is appeared. If there should arise an occurrence of S-SEP convention, the most extreme introductory hub going to be dead after 1806 rounds and rearmost hub after 3851 rounds. For quite a while at MS-SEP the most extreme starting hub going to be dead after 2203 rounds and rearmost hub bites of the dust 3752 rounds. The Packet move to BS versus round is appeared in Fig. 9. Presently, number of Packets will be transmitted to BS is 2.286×105 for S-SEP convention for quite a while the quantity of packets will be transmitted to BS is 2.326×105 for MS-SEP.

IV. COMPARISON OF ENERGY

A. Energy Calculations Total energy in S-SEP protocol is $E_{TS} = (n-q)E_0 + qE_0(1+a)$ Where, *q* represents the number of advanced nodes. Similarly, total energy in MS-SEP protocol is, $E_{TMS} = (n-q-r)E_0 + qE_0(1+\alpha) + rE_0(1+\beta)$. Where, r represents the number of intermediate nodes. Considering *n*=100, *q*=20, *r*=16, *a*=1, *β*=0.5. Total energy in S-SEP protocol is, $E_{TS} = (n-q)E_0 + qE_0(1+\alpha) = 120E_0$. Total energy in MS-SEP protocol is, $E_{TMS} = (n-q-r)E_0 + qE_0(1+\alpha) + rE_0(1+\beta) = 128E_0$ Therefore, energy is increased by 8 E₀ units.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.177 Volume 7 Issue VII, July 2019- Available at www.ijraset.com

B. Energy Calculations Total energy in S-SEP protocol is, $E_{TS} = (n-q)E_0 + qE_0(1+\alpha)$, Where, *q* represents the number of advanced nodes. Similarly, total energy in MS-SEP protocol is, $E_{TMS} = (n-q-r)E_0 + qE_0(1+\alpha) + rE_0(1+\beta)$, Where, r represents the number of intermediate nodes. Considering *n*=100, *q*=20, *r*=16, *α*=1, *β*=0.5. Total energy in S-SEP protocol is, $E_{TS} = (n-q)E_0 + qE_0(1+\alpha) = 120E_0$. Total energy in MS-SEP protocol with *n*=88, is $E_{TMS} = (n-q-r)E_0 + qE_0(1+\alpha) + rE_0(1+\beta) = 116E_0$ Therefore, energy saving is 4 E₀ units.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Here we have discussed about execution investigation of two expansions of Stable Election Protocol, S-SEP and MS-SEP, which are heterogeneous conventions and subsequently they don't require worldwide learning of energy at each election round and are adaptable as they doesn't require any information of the accurate position of every hub in the field. They utilizes clustering technique for accomplish longer system lifetime. Reenactment results have demonstrated that stability period of MS-SEP is expanded by roughly 19.95% and throughput by practically consistent, by simply fluctuating the system engineering. The network lifetime of MS-SEP is expanded 2.44% than S-SEP.

REFRENCES

- [1] Smaragdakis, G., Matta, I. and Bestavros, A., SEP: A stable election protocol for clustered heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. Boston University Computer Science Department., 2004.
- [2] Femi A. Aderohunmu, Jeremiah D. Deng, "An Enhanced Stable Election Protocol (SEP) for Clustered Heterogeneous WSN", Department of Information Science, University of Otago Dunedin, New Zealand.
- [3] Akyildiz, I.F., Su, W., Sankarasubramaniam, Y. and Cayirci, E., Wireless sensor networks: a survey. Computer networks, 38(4), pp.393-422., 2002.
- [4] Dr. Firas Ali AI-Jubooril, Sura F. Ismail, "Performance Analysis of Variable Energy Levels of Clustering Protocols for Wireless Sensor Network", LICSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, 2013.
- [5] Abbasi, A.A. and Younis, M., A survey on clustering algorithms for wireless sensor networks. Computer communications, 30(14-15), pp.2826-2841., 2007.
- [6] Al-Karaki, J.N. and Kamal, A.E., Routing techniques in wireless sensor networks: a survey. *IEEE wireless communications*, 11(6), pp.6-28., 2004.
- [7] Akkaya, K. and Younis, M., A survey on routing protocols for wireless sensor networks. Ad hoc networks, 3(3), pp.325-349, 2005.
- [8] Anastasi, G., Conti, M., Di Francesco, M. and Passarella, A., Energy conservation in wireless sensor networks: A survey. Ad hoc networks, 7(3), pp.537-568., 2009.
- [9] Tanwar, S., Kumar, N. and Rodrigues, J.J., A systematic review on heterogeneous routing protocols for wireless sensor network. *Journal of network and computer applications*, 53, pp.39-56., 2015.
- [10] S. Misra et al. (eds.), Guide to Wireless Sensor Networks, Computer Communications and Networks, DOI: 10.1007/978-1-84882-218-4 4, Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2009.
- [11] E. Callaway, "Wireless sensor networks: architectures" and protocols, Chapter 1-7, Auerbach Publications, 2004.
- [12] Suchita R.Wankhade and Nekita A.Chauhan, "A reviw on data collection method with sink node in wireless sensor network", International Journal of Distributed and Parallel Systems (IJDPS) Vol.4, No.1, January 2013.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)