# Special Keyboard Problem - O (1) Approach 

Varun Chopra ${ }^{1}$, Ankit Khatri ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Accolite India<br>${ }^{2}$ National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra


#### Abstract

In this research paper, we propose a faster and efficient solution to the special keyboard problem. This problem states that we have a special keyboard with four keys - Key 1 prints 'A' on the screen, Key 2 performs selection (Ctrl-A), Key 3 copies selection to the buffer (Ctrl-C) and Key 4 prints the buffer on-screen (Ctrl-V). The purpose is to print a maximum number of A's on the screen with a limited number of keystrokes $N$. The existing solutions use recursive solution or a dynamic programming approach to solve this problem in worst-case time complexity of $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. Our suggested approach recognises a pattern and this optimization to push the complexity further down to $O(1)$, thus achieving a great improvisation over existing solutions. We have discussed both $O(n)$ and $O(1)$ approach.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Special Keyboard problem states that given a Keyboard with four keys that can perform a different operation, our goal is to print the maximum number of characters (for simplicity ' A '). More formally, the problem can be stated as below.
A. Problem Statement

1) Key 1: Prints 'A' on screen
2) Key 2: (Ctrl-A): Select screen
3) Key 3: (Ctrl-C): Copy selection to buffer
4) Key 4: (Ctrl-V): Print buffer on the screen

If you can only press the keyboard for N times (with the above four keys), write a program to produce maximum numbers of A's. That is to say, the input parameter is N (No. of keys that you can press), the output is M (No. of A's that you can produce).

TABLE I
Example input/output

| Input (N) | Output(M) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 12 |
| 8 | 16 |
| 9 | 20 |
| 10 | 27 |
| 11 | 36 |
| 12 | 48 |
| 13 | 9 |

## II. EXISTING APPROACHES

Here we will discuss the existing solutions and eventually introduce efficient and optimized approach.
Let's consider the below observations before proceeding to the solution:
For $\mathrm{N}<7$, the output is N itself
Ctrl-V can be used multiple times to print current buffer
The idea is to compute the optimal string length for N keystrokes by using a simple insight. The sequence of N keystrokes which produces an optimal string length will end with a suffix of Ctrl-A, a Ctrl-C, followed by only Ctrl-V's . (For $\mathrm{N}>6$ )

## A. Recursive Approach

In the recursive approach, the idea is that a sequence of N keystrokes which produces an optimal string length will end with a suffix of Ctrl-A, a Ctrl-C, followed by only Ctrl-V's (For N > 6). So we traverse back from N-3 to 1, to find the breakpoint which results in a maximum value for a given number of keystrokes.

TABLE II RECURSIVE SOLUTION

```
def findoptimal( N ):
        if \(\mathrm{N}<=6\) :
            return N
            \(\operatorname{maxi}=0\)
            for b in range \((\mathrm{N}-3,0,-1)\) :
                        curr \(=(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{b}-1) *\) findoptimal(b)
                        if curr>maxi:
                                    maxi \(=\) curr
            return maxi
if __name__=='_main__':
    \(\mathrm{N}=\operatorname{int}(\) input("Input \(\mathrm{N} "))\)
    print("Result : " + findoptimal(N))
```

The above recursive algorithm computes same sub-problems again and again, so recomputations could be avoided by storing the solutions to sub-problems and thus solving in bottom up manner. Now an existing Dynamic programming solution is discussed.

## B. Dynamic Programming Approach

This Dynamic programming approach uses Bottom up strategy to calculate result values of N , by storing subsequent values and thus avoiding computation of same sub-problems again and again.

TABLE III DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING-BOTTOM UP APPROACH

```
def findoptimal( N ):
    if ( \(\mathrm{N}<=6\) ):
        return N
    screen \(=[0] * \mathrm{~N}\)
    for n in range( 1,7 ):
        screen \([\mathrm{n}-1]=\mathrm{n}\)
    for n in range \((7, \mathrm{~N}+1)\) :
        screen \([\mathrm{n}-1]=0\)
    for b in range( \(\mathrm{n}-3,0,-1\) ):
        curr \(=(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}-1) *\) screen \([\mathrm{b}-1]\)
        if (curr > screen[n-1]):
            screen[n-1] = curr
    return screen[ \(\mathrm{N}-1\) ]
if __name__== "__main__":
    \(\mathrm{N}=\operatorname{int}(\) input("Input N ") \()\)
    print("Result : " + findoptimal(N))
```

Performance: The above discussed DP solution achieves an improvisation over the recursive solution and brings down the complexity to $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$.

## III. LINEAR APPROACH

We analyzed the existing DP and recursive algorithms and managed to devise a linear solution, having a complexity of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ and subsequently to a more optimized solution, having a complexity of $\mathrm{O}(1)$.

## A. Linear Solution

Approach: We observed that existing solutions can be optimized further by taking into consideration that the inner loop need not traverse back till 1 , to find the breakpoint. We can only consider last three values, multiply them by $2,3,4$ respectively and take the maximum of all three to get the result.
Let's try and understand the intuition with the below scenario.
Example Scenario: Find the maximum number of characters to be printed for seven keystrokes ( $\mathrm{N}=7$ )
We listed the cases for keystrokes ( $\mathrm{N}=7$ ) in Table $I V$. We see the maximum number of characters in the third row, third column. 'ACV' and 'V' operations essentially doubles the previously printed characters as explained in the fourth column.

TABLE IV

| Key Strokes (N) | Repeat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ctrl-A / Ctrl- } \\ & \text { C / Ctri-V } \end{aligned}$ | Math Pattern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1+6=7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1+A C V+V \\ & +V+V=5 \end{aligned}$ | $1 * 5=5$ |
| 2 | $2+5=7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2+\mathrm{ACV}+\mathrm{V} \\ & +\mathrm{V}=8 \end{aligned}$ | $2 * 4=8$ |
| 3 | $3+4=7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3+A C V+V \\ & =9 \end{aligned}$ | $3 * 3=9$ |
| 4 | $4+3=7$ | $4+\mathrm{ACV}=8$ | $4 * 2=8$ |
| 5 | $5+2=7$ | Minimum of three steps required |  |
| 6 | $6+1=7$ | Minimum of three steps required |  |

We can define results formally,
result $=\max ($ result $[\mathrm{n}-3] * 2$, result $[\mathrm{n}-4] * 3$, result[n-5] 4 )
Note: Through more careful observation, we found that we can skip the (result $[\mathrm{n}-3]$ * 2) from the above calculation, as this never results in a higher value then result[n-3] 3 and result $[\mathrm{n}-5] * 4$.

TABLE V
O(N) ApProach

```
def specialkey(N):
    if ( \(\mathrm{N}<=6\) ):
        return N
    screen \(=[0] * \mathrm{~N}\)
    for n in range( 1,7 ):
        screen \([\mathrm{n}]=\mathrm{n}\)
    for n in range \((7, \mathrm{~N}+1\) ):
        \(\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{screen}[\mathrm{n}-5] * 3\)
        \(y=\operatorname{screen}[n-6] * 4\)
        if( \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{y}\) ):
            \(\operatorname{maxi}=x\)
        else:
            maxi \(=y\)
            \(\operatorname{screen}[\mathrm{n}-1]=\operatorname{maxi}\)
    return screen[ \(\mathrm{N}-1\) ]
if __name__== "_main__":
    \(\mathrm{N}=\operatorname{int}(\) input("Input \(\mathrm{N}: ~ ")\) )
    print("Result : " \(+\operatorname{str}(\) specialkey(N)))
```


## IV. CONSTANT TIME APPROACH O(1)

We found a pattern in the result set which can be generalized to get the output in a constant time worst case complexity. Let's observe the results that produce the maximum number of A's using the four keys available, to find a pattern.

TABLE VI
RESULT VALUES AND \% INCREASE

| Key Strokes(N) | Result | \% Increase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.5 |
| 4 | 4 | 0.33 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.25 |
| 6 | 9 | 0.2 |
| 7 | 12 | 0.5 |
| 8 | 16 | 0.33 |
| 9 | 20 | 0.33 |
| 10 | 36 | 0.25 |
| 11 | 6 | 0.35 |
| 12 | 5 |  |


| 13 | 48 | 0.33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 64 | 0.33 |
| 15 | 81 | 0.26 |
| 16 | 108 | 0.33 |
| 17 | 194 | 0.33 |
| 18 | 256 | 0.33 |
| 19 | 324 | 0.33 |
| 20 | 576 | 0.26 |
| 21 | 768 | 0.33 |
| 22 | 1024 | 0.33 |
| 23 | 1296 | 0.33 |
| 24 | 143 |  |
| 25 | 14 |  |

By carefully observing the results data, we found a pattern after a breakpoint. If we multiply each result value after that breakpoint by 4 , we would get the value, skipping 4 . This is supported by the observations made from Table VI above, which shows a clear pattern in the subsequent percentage increase of result values.
We are capable of finding the result values for all N , using only a limited set of result values after a breakpoint $(\mathrm{N}=11,12,13,14$, 15).

TABLE VII
LIMITED SET OF VALUES TO BE STORED AS BREAKPOINT

| N | Output |
| :--- | :---: |
| 11 | 27 |
| 12 | 36 |
| 13 | 48 |
| 14 | 64 |
| 15 | 81 |

## A. Example

Each result value after the breakpoint is calculated by skipping 4 values behind and multiplying the 5 th value by 4 . Through this, we were able to devise a formula which would eventually produce result values for any given input N no of keystrokes.
For $\mathrm{N}=16$, result $=\operatorname{result}[\mathrm{N}=11] * 4=\operatorname{result}\left[10^{\text {th }}\right.$ index $] * 2^{2}=108$
For $\mathrm{N}=21$, result $=\operatorname{result}[\mathrm{N}=11] * 4 * 4=\operatorname{result}\left[10^{\text {th }}\right.$ index $] * 2^{4}=432$
For $\mathrm{N}=26$, result $=\operatorname{result}[\mathrm{N}=11] * 4 * 4 * 4=\operatorname{result}\left[10^{\text {th }}\right.$ index $] * 2^{6}=1728$

Let's define the above logic programmatically,
offset: denotes index of the value from the limited set of breakpoints
exp: represents the multiplication of the offset values in powers of two
For $\mathrm{N}=16$,
offset $=10$
$\exp =2$
result $=\operatorname{result}\left[10^{\text {th }}\right.$ index $] * 2^{2}=108$
For $\mathrm{N}=17$,
offset $=11$
$\exp =2$
result $=\operatorname{result}\left[11^{\text {th }}\right.$ index $] * 2^{2}=144$
General Formula:
Given,
offset $=(11+(\mathrm{N}-11) \% 5)-1$
$\exp =\operatorname{int}((\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{offset}) / 5) \ll 1$
Define Result,
Result $=\operatorname{refer}[\mathrm{N}-1]$
for $\mathrm{N}<=15$
Result $=$ refer[offset] <<exp for $\mathrm{N}>15$
We conclude that using the above formula, every result value can be produced, using only a limited set of result values.
The Dynamic programming approach solves the problem in $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$, the current approach further pushes the complexity down to $\mathrm{O}(1)$. We can observe that, by storing only a limited set of values as a reference, we can calculate result values for all values of N .

TABLE VIII
The O(1) Solution

```
def findoptimal(N):
    if (N <= 6):
        return N
    refer = [1,2,3,4,5,6,9,12,16,20,27,36,48,64,81]
    if(N<=15):
        return refer[N-1]
    offset =(11+(N-11)% 5)-1
    exp = int((N-offset)/5) << 1
    result = refer[offset] << exp
    return result
if __name__== "__main__":
    N = int(input("Input N : "))
    print(findoptimal(N))
```

Explanation: In the above approach, we define a refer array which stores be pre-calculated results up to 15 keystrokes (in line with the observation that the result values of $11,12,13,14,15$ keystrokes can produce all other results). If the input value N is less than or equal to 15, we would return the result from our refer array, otherwise, we would use the formula below.
Given,
offset $=(11+(\mathrm{N}-11) \% 5)-1$
$\exp =\operatorname{int}((N-$ offset $) / 5) \ll 1$
Define Result,
Result $=$ refer $[\mathrm{N}-1] \quad$ for $\mathrm{N}<=15$
Result $=$ refer [offset] <<exp for $\mathrm{N}>15$
Where,
N : input keystrokes
offset: denotes index of the value from the limited set of breakpoints
exp: represents the multiplication of the offset values in powers of two
Result: result of input N keystrokes
refer: the array storing pre-calculated results up to 15 keystrokes

## V. FAILED ATTEMPTS

Initially, we tried observing a pattern for a GP with the result values being the elements of a GP, such that we can directly input the value of $n$ to calculate a particular element of GP, and thus finding the result value.

## VI. RESULTS

The performance comparison of the existing solution and our solution reflects a drastic improvisation. Using the techniques discussed above, we came up with a better linear solution $O(n)$. After that, we managed to push the complexity further down to $O(1)$ by using the understanding of the pattern in the result values.
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