



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 7 Issue: VIII Month of publication: August 2019

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2019.8068>

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Antibacterial Activities of different Brands of Soaps

Dr. R. Manju¹, A. Priyadharshini², T. Indhu Kumar³, K. Gayathri⁴, A. Michael Mathan⁵

¹Associate Professor, Ph.d and Research Department of Microbiology; Hindusthan College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore.

^{2, 3, 4, 5}Department of Microbiology; Hindusthan College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore.

Abstract: In this study antibacterial activities of seven different brands of soaps like Hamam, Dettol, Lifebuoy, Cinthol, Margo, Himalaya and Jhonsons were checked. Different bacterial strains like *Staphylococcus aureus*, *E.coli*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Klebsiella* which plays their role in several skin infections are treated with the respective soaps. This was done by Agar Diffusion Method where the stock solution of 50 μ l were loaded into the wells of the agar plates and were labelled. All the soaps showed their certain antibacterial activity against the specific strains. The best soaps were identified by their zone of inhibition. The organisms like *Pseudomonas* were found to be resistant against the soap sample whereas *E.coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* are sensitive. From this, Jhonsons soap was identified as the most effective than any other selected samples and it was followed by Himalaya which has little differences when compared with the first one.

Keywords: Antibacterial activity, Bacterial strains, Agar diffusion method, Soaps.

I. INTRODUCTION

Soap is an cleansing agent made from the salts of vegetable or animal fats, which are used for personal cleaning. The earliest recorded evidence of the production of soap-like materials dates back to around 2800 BC in ancient Babylon. A formula for soap consisting of water, alkali, and cassia oil was written on a Babylonian clay tablet around 2200 BC.

Soaps and other cleaning agents are extensively used for a very long time for different cleaning purposes (K. D. Mwambete et al., 2011). Bacteria are very diverse and present everywhere such as in soil, water, sewage, standing water and even in human body. Bacteria's that attacks on human body is of great importance with reference to health (Johnson et al., 2002). Soaps plays an important role both in cleaning and killing bacteria. To enhance their antibacterial activities some active ingredients are added to soap (Saba et al., 2009). Although fats and oils are general ingredients of soaps but some detergents are added to enhance the antibacterial activities of soaps (Friedman and Wolf, 1996).

Soap contain active ingredients that have an antibacterial activity and also the reducing power against the pyogenic skin infection caused by gram positive and gram negative species of bacteria (Richards et al., 1999). Studies have shown that soaps containing active antimicrobial ingredients remove more bacteria as compared to plain soap (Lucet et al., 2002). Transient bacteria like *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are deposited on the skin surface from environmental sources and cause skin infection (Higaki et al., 2000 and Fluit et al., 2001).

Sometimes, the overuse of antibacterial soaps may emerge the drug resistance micro organisms and cause the opportunistic skin infections (Poole, 2002).

On September 2, 2016, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration banned the use of 19 chemicals frequently used in "antibacterial" soaps and washes, including triclosan and triclocarbon, stating : "There is no data demonstrating that over- the-counter antibacterial soaps are better at preventing illness than washing with plain soap and water.

These include liquid, foam, gel hand soaps, bar soaps, and body washes, which contain the majority of the antibacterial ingredients with triclosan and triclocarbon.

So, these are the recent studies that have been came out relating to the antibacterial soaps. We use soap in our day to day life as a cleansing agent that helps in killing the bacteria present in our body as well as maintain our skin health. We all know that everyone prefers different types of soaps in which they select it on basis of their skin type, ingredients, action against different skin infections, etc.,

In this study, the antibacterial activity of different brands of soaps are identified. The bacterial strains used are the human pathogens present in different environments and human skin. The main purpose of this study is to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of different brands of soaps which are most commonly used by the consumers as a preffered one.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Soap Samples

Hamam, Lifebuoy, Cinthol, Margo, Himalaya, Jhonsons, and Dettol soaps were brought from the local shops. The presence or absence of manufacturers seals, expiry dates of the soaps were noted. The ingredients of each soaps were also noted down.

B. Reference Bacterial Cultures

The reference bacterial cultures are *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella*, and *Bacillus* were brought from the laboratories.

C. Preparation of Soap Sample

A sterile blade was used to scrap the soaps. Test sample was prepared in the concentration of 1gm soap of sample in 100ml distilled water. It is dissolved in such a condition that no foam is produced to prepare a stock solution. The stock solution were then stored in a refrigerator in well-sealed container for future use.

D. Procedure

The antibacterial activities of the soap samples were determined by the antimicrobial susceptibility testing by using standard procedure.

E. Agar Diffusion Method

About 15-20 ml of Mueller-Hinton agar was poured on sterile glass petri dishes and allowed to solidify. Test organism were swabbed onto the agar surface of each petri plates. Agar plate was punched with a sterile cork borer of 4mm size and 50μL of each sample was poured with micropipette in the bore. The plates were allowed to standby for 30 minutes. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To determine the antimicrobial activity of the different of brands of soaps, the well diffusion method was carried out. The results are noted with a pattern form of Zone of Inhibition in which, we can demonstrate the each of the soaps antibacterial activity against the 5 different organisms which are pathogenic to skin.

The agar plates was swabbed with respective organisms along with 50μl of soap sample had been loaded into the well. After the incubation period, the results have been observed that the Jhonsons soap was found to be the most effective against the organsims when compared to the other soaps with little diferences. The zone of inhibition is measured in diameter. The antibacterial activity of Jhonsons soap showed the zone of inhibition of 1.2 dm against *Pseudomonas* and 2 dm against *Esherichia coli* and 1.8 dm against *Klebsiella* and 1.7 dm against *staphylococcus aureus* and 1.6 dm against *Bacillus*.

Following the Jhonsons soap, Himalaya soap was considered to be the next effective which shows the greater inhibition rate for the organism *E.coli* (1.7 dm) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (1.6 dm). The Dettol soap has also showed equal zone of inhibition when compared with Himalaya soap and it inhibits a large rate of 1.8 dm against the *Bacillus*. Then, followed by Margo soap which showed greater inhibition against *E.coli* with 1.9 dm. Hamam was in the next which has the higher resistance only against the *E.coli* and *S.aureus*. Finally, Lifebouy and Cinthol soap showed the least resistance towards the various organisms between 0.8 to 1 dm.

The Tables 1,2,3,4,5, clearly indicates the zone values of 7 soaps which are different from each other against various organisms. Here, the organism *E.coli* and *staphylococcus aureus* was found to be the most susceptible to all the soaps and this indicates that a normal soap which has been used in our daily life play an important role in killing the pathogens present in our body suface that causes the major skin infections.

So, with these identification we can now able to select the soaps that will be beneficial to our skin and also that doesn't harm the normal skin microflora. Soaps are generally used for the removal of germs and for cleaning purpose. Soaps usage is very common and now a days antibacterial soaps are very popular. But during the olden days, there are some alternatives for the soaps which was considered to be having the antibacterial properties that help in both killing the bacteria and to maintain a healthy skin. Normally we take foams as a sign of dirt getting washed off. That's the reason we overuse soap than the required level. There are few alternatives like Gram flour mixed with curd or lemon and use it instead of soap. Overtime, it removes those tiny freckles and tans as well. It also removes oiliness from face. If the skin is dry, then add a drop of any essential oil such as Tea tree oil (good for pimples), Jojoba or even coconut oil helps. All these things takes time to work and eventually will get used to this rather than soap (KalaivaniVelusamy., 2016).

Table 1. Zone of inhibition of 7 different soaps against *E.coli*

[1] Organism	[2] Soap sample	[3] Concentration	[4] Zone of inhibition
[5] [6] <i>E.coli</i>	[7] Jhonsons	[8] 50µl	[9] 2 dm
	[10] Himalaya	[11] 50µl	[12] 1.7 dm
	[13] Dettol	[14] 50µl	[15] 1.7 dm
	[16] Cinthol	[17] 50µl	[18] 1.8 dm
	[19] Lifebuoy	[20] 50µl	[21] 1.8 dm
	[22] Margo	[23] 50µl	[24] 1.9 dm
	[25] Hamam	[26] 50µl	[27] 1.7 dm

Table 2. Zone of inhibition of 7 different soaps against *Staphylococcus aureus*

Organism	Soap sample	Concentration	Zone of inhibition
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Jhonsons	50µl	1.7 dm
	Himalaya	50µl	1.6 dm
	Dettol	50µl	1.3 dm
	Cinthol	50µl	1.0 dm
	Lifebuoy	50µl	1.4 dm
	Margo	50µl	1.2 dm
	Hamam	50µl	1.4 dm

Table 3. Zone of inhibition of 7 different soaps against *Bacillus*

Organism	Soap sample	Concentration	Zone of inhibition
<i>Bacillus</i>	Jhonsons	50µl	1.6 dm
	Himalaya	50µl	1.0 dm
	Dettol	50µl	1.8 dm
	Cinthol	50µl	0.9 dm
	Lifebuoy	50µl	1.0 dm
	Margo	50µl	1.1 dm
	Hamam	50µl	0.8 dm

Table 4. Zone of 7 different soaps against *Pseudomonas*

Organism	Soap sample	Concentration	Zone of inhibition
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	Jhonsons	50µl	1.2 dm
	Himalaya	50µl	1.0 dm
	Dettol	50µl	1.0 dm
	Cinthol	50µl	1.0 dm
	Lifebuoy	50µl	0.8 dm
	Margo	50µl	1.1 dm
	Hamam	50µl	1.2 dm

Table 5. Zone of inhibition of 7 different soaps against klebsiella

Organism	Soap sample	concentration	Zone of inhibition
<i>Klebsiella</i>	Jhonsons	50µl	1.8 dm
	Himalaya	50µl	1.3 dm
	Dettol	50µl	0.9 dm
	Cinthol	50µl	1.0 dm
	Lifebuoy	50µl	0.8 dm
	Margo	50µl	0.9 dm
	Hamam	50µl	0.7 dm

IV. CONCLUSION

Instead of soaps, the alternative techniques which were used in the olden days are considered to be the olden techniques and now a days modern soaps have been emerged taking care of both problems like killing the bacteria present in our body and maintain the skin beauty as well. So, from this study, we identified that all the soaps had antibacterial activity. In this, Jhonson's soap is considered to be best all and showed greater antibacterial activity than any other selected soaps. Himalaya soap is considered as the second number followed by Dettol, Margo and Hamam. Cinthol and Lifebuoy has the least antimicrobial activity.

REFERENCE

- [1] Mwambete KD, Lyombe F (2011) Antimicrobial Activity of Medicated Soaps Commonly Used By Dar es Salaam Residents in Tanzania. Indian J pharm Sci 73: 92-98.
- [2] Johnson SA, Goddard PA, Iliffe C, Timmins B, Rickard AH, Robson G, Handley PS (2002). Comparative susceptibility of resident and transient hand bacteria to para-chloro-meta-xyleneol and triclosan. J. Appl. Microbiol. 93: 336-344.
- [3] Lucet JC, Rigaud MP, Mentre F, Kassis N, Deblangy C, Andreumont A, Bouvet E (2002). mination before and after different hygiene techniques: a randomized clinical trial. J. Hosp. Infect. 50: 276-280.
- [4] Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP (1999). Nosocomial infections in medical intensive care units in the United States. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. Crit. Care Med. 27: 887-892.
- [5] Saba R, Adeel H, Shahida H (2009) Antibacterial Activity of Soaps against daily encountered bacteria. Afr J Biotechnol 8: 1431-1436.
- [6] Higaki S, Kitagawa T, Kagoura, M, Morohashi M, Yamagishi T (2000) Predominant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from various skin diseases. J. Int. Med. Res. 28: 87- 190.
- [7] Friedman, M and Wolf, R (1996). Chemistry of soaps and detergents various types of commercial products and their ingredient. *Clinical Dermatology* 14: 7-13.
- [8] Kalaivani Velusamy., (2016). Alternatives for the Soaps, www.quora.com.
- [9] Olga CADS, Marta CDC, Aida PDRH, Olga MDN (2001). A Comparative Study Of Preservation and Storage of Haemophilus influenza MemInstOswaldo Cruz. Rio de Janeiro 96: 583-586.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)