



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 3

Issue: XI

Month of publication: November 2015

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Boiler Tank Level Control Using Fuzzy Logic

Manoj Kumar

SEOCOL, (TSPL Project) India

Abstract: In control systems there are a number of generic systems and methods which are encountered in all areas of industry and technology. From the dozens of ways to control any system, it turns out that fuzzy is often the very best way. The only reasons are faster and cheaper. The purpose of this project is to design a simulation system of fuzzy logic controller for water tank level control by using simulation package which is Fuzzy Logic Toolbox in MATLAB software. This paper proposes use of fuzzy techniques in Drum Level Control. A nonlinear coordinated control concept is presented in order to improve the flexibility and the performance of a once-through power plant. In order to find the best design to stabilize the water level in the system, some factors will be considered. For this project, the water level was controlled by using three rules of membership function. This project was focused to the software part only. By doing some modification of this project, the design will be very useful for the control of thermal power plant. Due to the dynamic behavior of power plant, controlling the Drum Level is critical. If the level becomes too low, the boiler can run dry resulting in mechanical damage of the drum and boiler tubes. If the level becomes too high, water can be carried over into the Steam Turbine which shall result in catastrophic damage. Therefore an fuzzy based system is proposed to replace the existing conventional controllers. By doing some modification of this project, the design will be very useful for the control of thermal power plant.

Keywords

Fuzzy Logic control, Boiler Drum Level Control, Level Control, Thermal Power plant

I. INTRODUCTION

The Private owned Power station in India that has been considered in this paper is a Coal fired 660 MW Power Station. The overview of a 660 MW unit is shown in figure 1. The Drum level control strategies are reviewed for a 660 MW Boiler using fuzzy logic. In the first strategy the PID controller gains are varied based on fuzzy logic rules. Fuzzy rules are utilized on-line to determine the controller parameters based on tracking error and its first time derivative. In the second strategy the Drum level set point is varied based on fuzzy logic rules. Simulation and experimental results of the proposed schemes show good performances of fuzzy based strategies in terms of dynamic and steady state characteristics of all loops. Simulations are performed using MATLAB/SIMULINK.




Fig. 1 Overview of a 660 MW unit

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

II. DRUM LEVEL CONTROL

The boiler drum is where water and steam are separated. The general layout of a 660 MW Drum level control loop is shown in Figure 2.

The 3 element drum level control is shown in figure 3. The elements correspond to the three variables that are used as indices of control variables: drum liquid level, feed-water flow, and steam flow. The drum level controller maintains a constant drum level using the flow demand as a set point and uses the drum level process variable as a feedback signal.




Fig. 2 660 MW Drum Level control loop

The Drum level is derived from the following equation:

$$h = DP + H (\gamma_r - \gamma_s) + (\gamma_w - \gamma_s)$$

where:

h = True drum level – Inches


DP = Measured DP head – Inches

H = Distance between taps – Inches

γ_s = Steam Specific Gravity (S.G.)

γ_r = Reference leg (S.G.)

γ_w = Drum Water (S.G.)



4 February 2013

PMI Revision 00

4

Fig. 3 3-Element Drum Level control loop

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

PID controller constants obtained during performance guarantee tests done by DCS (Distributed Control System) supplier normally hold good for all times. However due to aging of the plant or due to special operating situations (FGMO- Free governing Mode operation, high fluctuations in coal quality , fuel switching, different load conditions etc.) there is a need for changing the PID parameters. Hence a new method is to be devised to change the PID controller parameters. The fuzzy logic controller (FLC) proposed here is intended to show the flexibility, adequacy and reliability of the boiler operation while using the fuzzy logic control action. Fuzzy gain scheduling is considered to be the most promising alternative combining fuzzy logic with conventional controllers. A rule based scheme for gain scheduling of PID controllers for drum level control is designed in this paper. The new scheme utilizes fuzzy rules and reasoning to determine the controller parameters and the PID controller generates the control signal. The Fuzzy Gain Scheduler proposed in this paper can also be applied to any control loop in the plant, which consists of a PID controller. Fuzzy PID tuning is no longer a pure knowledge or expert based process and thus has potential to be more convenient to implement. The approach taken here is to exploit fuzzy rules and reasoning to generate controller parameters. For the proposed study, Fuzzy inference engine is selected and the centroid method is used in defuzzification process.[5,6,7]

The PID controller parameters (K_p , K_i , K_d) are determined based on the current error $e(t)$ and its derivate $\Delta e(t)$. Proportional controller has the effect of increasing the loop gain to make the system less sensitive to load disturbances, the integral error is used principally to eliminate steady state errors and the derivative action helps to improve closed loop stability. The parameters K_p , K_i and K_d are thus chosen to meet prescribed performance criteria, classically specified in terms of rise and settling times, overshoot and steady state error, following a step change in the demand signal.

The fuzzy adapter adjusts the PID parameters to operating conditions, in this case based on the error and its first difference, which characterizes its first time derivative, during process control. The structure of the fuzzy gain scheduler is illustrated in figure. 4




Fig. 4 Fuzzy Gain Scheduler Structure

The Fuzzy Gain Controller of Drum level control loop has 2 inputs (error e and derivative of error de) and three outputs K_p , K_i and K_d . Domain of e is $(-9,9)$, de is $(-6,6)$ and the fuzzy set of e and de are NB (Negative Big), NM (Negative Medium), NS (Negative Small), ZE (Zero), PS (Positive Small), PM (Positive Medium), PB (Positive Big).

Domain of K_p is $\{0, 200\}$, K_i is $\{0, 8\}$ and K_d is $\{0, 40\}$ and the fuzzy set of K_p , K_i , K_d is { NB (Negative Big) NM (negative Medium), NS (Negative Small), ZE (Zero), PS (Positive Small), PM (Positive medium), PB (Positive Big)}. The fuzzy sets are all triangular MF.

When e is large , in order to the system to enable the system to fast track, a large K_p and a small K_d is selected. In order to prevent the system overshoot to be too large, the integral term is limited. When e is in the medium value , in order to make the system have a smaller overshoot, K_p is made smaller. In this case K_d impacts on the system response than the other factors . When e is small, in order to make the system has good steady-state performance; K_p and K_i are made larger. Meanwhile, in order to avoid the system oscillating near the set value , the selection of K_d is critical. Taking into account the interaction between the three parameters and the analysis, the control rules are established for K_p , K_i , and K_d as shown in Table 1 to 5

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Table-1 Fuzzy tuning rules for Kp Change in error e

| Change in derivative error de | NB | NM | NS | ZO | PS | PM | PB |
|-------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| NB | PS | ZO | NS | NB | NS | ZO | PS |
| NM | PB | PS | ZO | NS | ZO | PS | PB |
| NS | PB | PB | PS | ZO | PS | PB | PB |
| ZO | PB | PB | PB | PS | PB | PB | PB |
| PS | PB | PB | PS | ZO | PS | PB | PB |
| PM | PB | PS | ZO | NS | ZO | PS | PB |
| PB | PS | ZO | NS | NB | NS | ZO | PS |

Table-2 Fuzzy tuning rules for Ki Change in error e

| Change in derivative error de | NB | NM | NS | ZO | PS | PM | PB |
|-------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| NB | NB | NB | NS | ZO | NS | NB | NB |
| NM | NB | NS | ZO | PS | ZO | NS | NB |
| NS | NS | ZO | PS | PB | PS | ZO | NS |
| ZO | NS | PS | PB | PB | PB | PS | NS |
| PS | NS | ZO | PS | PB | PS | ZO | NS |
| PM | NB | NS | ZO | PS | ZO | NS | NB |
| PB | NB | NB | NS | ZO | NS | NB | NB |

Table-3 Fuzzy tuning rules for Kd Change in error e

| Change in de | NB | NM | NS | ZO | PS | PM | PB |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| NL | ZO | PS | PB | PB | PB | PS | ZO |
| NM | NS | ZO | PS | PB | PS | ZO | NS |
| NS | NB | NS | ZO | PS | ZO | NS | NB |
| ZO | NB | NS | ZO | PS | ZO | NS | NB |
| PS | NB | NS | ZO | PS | ZO | NS | NB |
| PM | NS | ZO | PS | PB | PS | ZO | NS |
| PL | ZO | PS | PB | PB | PB | PS | ZO |

Table-4 49 Fuzzy rules

1. If (Error_e is NL) and (Change_in_error_de is NL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NL)(Integral_Gain_KI is NL)

2. If (Error_e is NL) and (Change_in_error_de is NM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NL)(Integral_Gain_KI is NM)

3. If (Error_e is NL) and (Change_in_error_de is NS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is NS)

4. If (Error_e is NL) and (Change_in_error_de is ZO) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NL)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

5. If (Error_e is NL) and (Change_in_error_de is PS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

6. If (Error_e is NL) and (Change_in_error_de is PM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

7. If (Error_e is NL) and (Change_in_error_de is PL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is ZO)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

8. If (Error_e is NM) and (Change_in_error_de is NL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NL)(Integral_Gain_KI is NM)

9. If (Error_e is NM) and (Change_in_error_de is NM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NL)(Integral_Gain_KI is NM)

10. If (Error_e is NM) and (Change_in_error_de is NS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NL)(Integral_Gain_KI is NM)

11. If (Error_e is NM) and (Change_in_error_de is ZO) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

12. If (Error_e is NM) and (Change_in_error_de is PS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

13. If (Error_e is NM) and (Change_in_error_de is PM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

14. If (Error_e is NM) and (Change_in_error_de is PL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is ZO)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

15. If (Error_e is NS) and (Change_in_error_de is NL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is NS)

16. If (Error_e is NS) and (Change_in_error_de is NM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is NS)

17. If (Error_e is NS) and (Change_in_error_de is NS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is NS)

18. If (Error_e is NS) and (Change_in_error_de is ZO) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

19. If (Error_e is NS) and (Change_in_error_de is PS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

20. If (Error_e is NS) and (Change_in_error_de is PM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

21. If (Error_e is NS) and (Change_in_error_de is PL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

22. If (Error_e is ZO) and (Change_in_error_de is NL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

23. If (Error_e is ZO) and (Change_in_error_de is NM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

24. If (Error_e is ZO) and (Change_in_error_de is NS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is NS)

25. If (Error_e is ZO) and (Change_in_error_de is ZO) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

26. If (Error_e is ZO) and (Change_in_error_de is PS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

27. If (Error_e is ZO) and (Change_in_error_de is PM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

28. If (Error_e is ZO) and (Change_in_error_de is PL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

29. If (Error_e is PS) and (Change_in_error_de is NL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

30. If (Error_e is PS) and (Change_in_error_de is NM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NM)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

31. If (Error_e is PS) and (Change_in_error_de is NS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is NS)

32. If (Error_e is PS) and (Change_in_error_de is ZO) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

33. If (Error_e is PS) and (Change_in_error_de is PS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

34. If (Error_e is PS) and (Change_in_error_de is PM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

35. If (Error_e is PS) and (Change_in_error_de is PL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

36. If (Error_e is PM) and (Change_in_error_de is NL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

37. If (Error_e is PM) and (Change_in_error_de is NM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is NS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

38. If (Error_e is PM) and (Change_in_error_de is NS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is NS)

39. If (Error_e is PM) and (Change_in_error_de is ZO) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is ZO)

40. If (Error_e is PM) and (Change_in_error_de is PS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

41. If (Error_e is PM) and (Change_in_error_de is PM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

42. If (Error_e is PM) and (Change_in_error_de is PL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

43. If (Error_e is PL) and (Change_in_error_de is NL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is ZO)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

44. If (Error_e is PL) and (Change_in_error_de is NM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is ZO)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

45. If (Error_e is PL) and (Change_in_error_de is NS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

46. If (Error_e is PL) and (Change_in_error_de is ZO) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

47. If (Error_e is PL) and (Change_in_error_de is PS) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PS)

48. If (Error_e is PL) and (Change_in_error_de is PM) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PM)

49. If (Error_e is PL) and (Change_in_error_de is PL) then (Prop_Gain_KP is PS)(Integral_Gain_KI is PL)

If Error_e is: NL, NM, NS, ZO, PS, PM, PL

and Change_in_error_de is: NL, NM, NS, ZO, PS, PM, PL

Then Prop_Gain_KP is: NL, NM, NS, ZO, PS, PM, PL

and Integral_Gain_KI is: NL, NM, NS, ZO, PS, PM, PL

and Derivative_Gain_KD is: NL, NM, NS, ZO, PS, PM, PL

Connection: ☐ or ☒ and

Weight: 1

Delete rule Add rule Change rule

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Table-5 49 Fuzzy rules

| 40. If (Error__e is PM) and (Change__in__error__de is PS) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PL)(Integral__Gain__KI |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 41. If (Error__e is PM) and (Change__in__error__de is PM) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PL)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 42. If (Error__e is PM) and (Change__in__error__de is PL) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PL)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 43. If (Error__e is PL) and (Change__in__error__de is NL) then (Prop__Gain__KP is ZO)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 44. If (Error__e is PL) and (Change__in__error__de is NM) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PM)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 45. If (Error__e is PL) and (Change__in__error__de is NS) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PM)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 46. If (Error__e is PL) and (Change__in__error__de is ZO) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PL)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 47. If (Error__e is PL) and (Change__in__error__de is PS) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PL)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 48. If (Error__e is PL) and (Change__in__error__de is PM) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PL)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| 49. If (Error__e is PL) and (Change__in__error__de is PL) then (Prop__Gain__KP is PL)(Integral__Gain__KI |
| <div> <div> <div>If</div> <div>Error__e is</div> <div> <div>NL</div> <div>NM</div> <div>NS</div> <div>ZO</div> <div>PS</div> <div>PM</div> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> not </div> </div> <div> <div>and</div> <div>Change__in__erro</div> <div> <div>NL</div> <div>NM</div> <div>NS</div> <div>ZO</div> <div>PS</div> <div>PM</div> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> not </div> </div> <div> <div>Then</div> <div>Prop__Gain__KP is</div> <div> <div>NL</div> <div>NM</div> <div>NS</div> <div>ZO</div> <div>PS</div> <div>PM</div> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> not </div> </div> <div> <div>and</div> <div>Integral__Gain__K</div> <div> <div>NL</div> <div>NM</div> <div>NS</div> <div>ZO</div> <div>PS</div> <div>PM</div> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> not </div> </div> <div> <div>and</div> <div>Derivative__Gain__</div> <div> <div>NL</div> <div>NM</div> <div>NS</div> <div>ZO</div> <div>PS</div> <div>PM</div> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> not </div> </div> </div> |
| <div> <div>Connection</div> <div> <input type="radio"/> or </div> <div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> and </div> </div> <div> <div>Weight:</div> <div>1</div> </div> <div> <div>Delete rule</div> <div>Add rule</div> <div>Change rule</div> </div> |

The configuration of the Fuzzy PID control block in MATLAB is shown in Figure 5.




Fig 5. Fuzzy PID configuration

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

The surface view of various input combinations and Output is shown in Figure 6.




Fig 6. Fuzzy PID configuration

III. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the fuzzy techniques in a Power Station. The application of fuzzy logic to design the fuzzy logic controller for Drum Level control yields a practical solution that makes use of operation staff's experience and allows independent adjustment of controller parameters to control response. Results of simulation experiments demonstrate that the fuzzy logic algorithm may improve the performance of Drum Level control loop well beyond that obtained in conventional PID algorithm. Hence, the fuzzy logic proposed approach makes it possible to easily build high-performance tailor-made controllers for any specific control loop in the Power Plant thereby optimizing power plant efficiency and cost.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hazzab1, A. Laoufi1, I. K. Bousserhane1, M. Rahli"Real Time Implementation of Fuzzy Gain Scheduling of PI Controller for Induction Machine Control "
- [2] Hamid Bentarzi, Rabahamr Nadir belaidi Samah" A New Approach Applied to a Thermal Power Plant Controller Using Fuzzy Logic plants"
- [3] M. Esfandyari, M. A. Fanaei "Comparision between classic PID,fuzzy and fuzzy PID controllers "
- [4] NTPC Power Plant Model for 500 MW units
- [5] Enriquearriag-de-valle and Graciano dieck-Assad"Modelling and Simulation of a Fuzzy supervisory controller for an Industrial Boiler "
- [6] A Tanemura,H. Matsumoto Y. Eki S. Nigawara "Expert System for startup scheduling and operation support in fossil power plants"
- [7] Xu Cheng ,Richard W. Kephart,Jeffrey J. William "Intelligent Soot blower Scheduling for Improved Boiler Operation "
- [8] İlhan, Ertuğrul, Hasan Tiryak"An Investigation Of Productivity In Boilers Of Thermal Power Plants With Fuzzy Gain Scheduled PI controller
- [9] Vjekoslav Galzina, Tomislav Šarić, Roberto Lujic "Application of fuzzy logic in Boiler control"
- [10] Bao Gang Hu & George K I Mann,"A systematic study of Fuzzy P I D controllers."P 699-712
- [11] T P Blanchett . "PID gain scheduling using fuzzy logic"
- [12] Cheng Ling , " Experimental fuzzy gain scheduling techniques"
- [13] Energy Research center, Lehigh university, 610-758-4090
- [14] Storm RF and Reilly TJ Coal Fired Boiler performance improvement through Combustion optimization.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

AUTHOR

Manoj Kumar received the B.Tech. degree in electronics and communication engineering from Shaheed bhagat singh college of engineering and technology (SBSCET), ferozepur, in 2011 and pursuing M.Tech. (part time) degree from Guru Kashi University (GKU), Talwandi Sabo in 2015 in electronics and communication engineering. He is currently with SEPCO1 (TSPL) as Senior engineer (Control & Instrumentation). His research interests include power plant measurement and control, Soft computing & data mining in power plants.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)