



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 Issue: VII Month of publication: July 2017

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

A Survey on Different Hybrid Meta Heuristics Algorithms for Vehicle Routing Problem

Harshdeep Kaur¹, Mr. Harmandeep Singh²

¹Student, ²Assistant Professor

¹ Department of Computer Engineering, Punjab University, Patiala, India

Abstract: Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) is a basic and most critical part in broadcast communications. The vehicle routing problem is variation of TSP. The vehicle routing fundamentally centers to upgrade the proficient usage of vehicles and henceforth decrease in all out cost. Vehicle steering is utilized to allot certain courses to specific vehicles at a specific time case. Numerous diverse procedures have been proposed to take care of the issues of vehicle directing. Vehicle directing enhances the proficient usage of vehicles and enhances the aggregate separation that fulfills the greater part of the imperatives. This paper talks about the investigation of different steering hybrid calculations in an assignment situation.

Index Terms: Networking, Cost, Routing Algorithms, Vehicle Routing Problem

I. INTRODUCTION

Several problems are considered as combinatorial optimization problems, including the routing problems as one of the most popular and difficult to solve. The most known problems and the most used of routing problems are the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) and the Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP). In TSP, Salesman has to reach each nodes one and only once and come back to starting position whereas VRP concerns the transport of things amongst stations and clients by methods for a fleet of vehicles. All in all, comprehending a VRP intends to locate the best path to benefit all clients utilizing a fleet of vehicles. The arrangement must guarantee that all clients are served, regarding the operational requirements, for example, vehicle limit and the driver's greatest working time, and limit the aggregate transportation cost. A VRP can be figured as a numerical programming issue, characterized by a goal work, and an arrangement of limitations. Misusing the attributes of the scientific plan of the problem, we need to outline an algorithm ready to effectively discover an answer.

Graphical representation of VRP is given by G where $G = (V, A)$ is a complete graph, V is vertex set (clients, and the station, generally marked with 0) and A is the arc set (the ways associating all clients and the station). A nonnegative request q_i is related with every vertex, and a cost c_{ij} is related to each edge in A . Figure 1 exhibits how a solution to a 3-vehicle and 11-customer VRP looks like. The square stands for the depot, and circles represent customers.




Figure 1: example of solution to a Vehicle routing Problem

Part II of this paper explains the existing approaches for VRP. The most generally utilized techniques for taking care of Vehicle Routing Problems are classified in three categories as shown in Figure 2. Close to every one of them are heuristics and metaheuristics in light of the fact that no exact algorithm can be ensured to discover ideal visits inside sensible processing time when the number of cities is large. This is because of the NP-Hardness of the problem. Results of various researches have proven that Hybrid meta-heuristic approaches are more effective and efficient for routing problems than heuristics approaches.




Figure 2: Classification of Routing approaches

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

There are diverse Meta heuristics algorithms that are utilized as a part of improvement of routing issue. Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) having mostly means to accomplish least distance, least travelling time and slightest number of vehicles utilized.

Eric Hsueh-Chan Lu et.al [1] proposed an approach in view of Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) to discover high-quality logistic routes by taking pick-up and delivery prerequisites. In this Greedy Randomizes Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP) to produce the underlying arrangement and pheromone dispersion of a strategic chart and Exploitation and Exploration operations to seek better arrangements by an ordering of ants and allocate products to every vehicle and additionally embraced NEH calculation to refine the produced routes. The study comes about based on a semi-real logistic dataset from KERRY TJ Logistics demonstrate that this approach performs well regarding route quality and arranging proficiency. For the future work, plan more complex solutions for enhancing the nature of calculated path by considering transportation time and activity conditions.

This paper [2] shows a novel ACO algorithm (called AMR) to explain the VRP. The proposed algorithm enables ants to go in and out the stops more than once until the point that they have gone to all clients, which rearranges the methodology of developing doable arrangements. To additionally improve AMR, we propose two expansions (AMR-SA and AMR-SA-II) by coordinating AMR with other sparing calculations. This paper chiefly concentrates on rearranging the way toward developing attainable arrangements, yet not on enhancing the arrangement quality. Another future arrangement is to join neighborhood seeking plans and the proposed AMR to conceivably acquire heuristically better arrangements.

[3] is composed SMPNACO algorithm by utilizing the single inlet multi-outlet Physarum Network (SMPN) model's attributes that key pipe concentrate on preparing. The SMPNACO algorithm enhances ant looking capacity by reinforcing the key pipeline pheromone concentration. The VRP Web data set are utilized to trial confirmation, we found that the SMPNACO algorithm can adequately tackle the CVRP issue that in small scale, it can get great outcomes as well as has a higher strength. In any case, when the data scale winds up noticeably bigger, the SMPNACO algorithm processing tedious will increment, contrasted and customary ACS algorithm, which advantage will be debilitated.

Geng Wang et.al [4] plans another hybrid mosquito host-seeking algorithm (HMHS) for multi-depot vehicle routing problem (MDVRP). For proposed HMHS, the 3-opt local optimization method is utilized to enhance the execution of standard MHS. A simulation illustration is acquainted with check the significance of proposed technique. Comparative studies of GA, TS, ACO and proposed HMHS technique have demonstrated that faster convergence and shorter route can be acquired with our proposed strategy.

Ilker Kucukog̃lu et.al [5] builds up a scientific model for finding ideal answers for the VRPBTW as indicated by backhaul limit and time imperatives. To fathom the VRPBTW a meta-heuristic strategy is proposed by combining simulated annealing and tabu search. To enhance the viability of the calculation, an improved nearest neighbour method is utilized for beginning arrangement

era and the k-interchange local search method is embraced as the area era system. The calculation is tested on a benchmark datasets for VRPTW, VRPBTW, and VRPMBTW. The numerical studies performed for the VRPTW demonstrate that proposed algorithm acquires closed outcomes to ideal arrangements and furthermore superior to the SA and TS arrangements. The calculation time required for the HMA is focused on other heuristic techniques.

Abel Garcia-Najera et.al [6] was proposed the utilization of recently created meta-heuristic approach Similarity-based Selection Multi-objective Evolutionary approach (SSMOEA) for multi-target vehicle routing problems with backhauls (VRPB). The fundamental VRPB includes finding a gathering of courses with least cost, to such an extent that all linehaul and backhaul clients are overhauled. This paper learns about normal variation are the VRP with selective backhauls (VRPSB), where the gathering from backhaul clients is discretionary. The proposed approach has been appeared to give execution improvements over the broadly utilized universally useful swarming methodology of NSGA-II and deterioration approach of MOEA/D.

The point of this examination [7] is to propose another calculation based on fuzzy multi-target programming (FMOP-VRPB calculation) to tackle the VRPB. The FMOP-VRPB calculation has three stages; clustering, routing and local search. Clients are allowed to vehicles by the proposed MOP demonstrate in the clustering stage by considering two targets, and every vehicle is directed by the TSPB show in the routing stage. Addition and exchange operations are utilized to enhance the courses in the local search stage. The proposed MOP show is tackled by fuzzy administrators. Moreover, the FMO-VRPB calculation can be enhanced with extra properties to the VRPB, for example, travel separation and time imperatives for vehicles, heterogeneous vehicles with settled number or a boundless number of each of them et cetera.

ACO method for solving the capacitated vehicle routing problem with stochastic demand was purposed by Udom Janjarassuk [8]. The results when contrasted with the ideal expenses from the deterministic variations, by and large the transportation cost increments 6:57% when the request deviation is 10%, and increments 9:69% when the request deviation is 20%.the future scope is by considering other stochastic parameters such as traveling time or the absence of customers and to improve the quality of solutions, where statistical methods could be applied for reliability analysis by using other metaheuristic methods or local improvement heuristics.

In this paper [9], The author exhibited the modified cluster first -route second for the heterogeneous vehicle routing problem, which is fit for dealing with an assortment of genuine necessities. GAMS enable the client to vehicle routing on the displaying problem by making the setup straightforward. In our research, a streamlining model for deciding the everyday routes for genuine clients is built. The outcomes demonstrated that aggregate expenses for all vehicles are \$138.04, comprising of 9 routes with 9 vehicles.

This paper [10] has proposed a local search-based multi objective optimization strategy, i.e., LSMOVRPTW, to tackle this present reality MOVRPTW. LSMOVRPTW keeps a chronicle that is utilized to store all non dominated arrangements and enhances a solution chosen from document each time. In particular, every goal is advanced by a specific local search methodology, and all objectives are upgraded in parallel; in this way, more problem particular learning can be utilized to direct the inquiry toward the Pareto front. Experimental results about demonstrate that LSMOVRPTW can acquire preferred non dominated arrangements over NSGA-II.

Hiba Bederina [11] proposed a hybrid multi-objective evolutionary based way to deal with manage the hearty vehicle routing problem with uncertain travel cost. The proposed approach tries to improve the number of vehicles to utilize and the min-max rule at the same time. The provided results demonstrate that the proposed approach can coordinate ideal arrangements and it rules one of the later strategies accessible in the literature.

This paper [12] has presented a multi-objective variation of VRPSDPTW and an arrangement of realistic benchmark cases. At that point, two algorithms have been intended for the MO-VRPSDPTW. Broad experiments have demonstrated the viability of the proposed algorithms. The proposed algorithms can be viewed as benchmark algorithms for certifiable MO-VRPSDPTW examples, which can be utilized for correlation by future research.

In this paper [13], another vehicle routing problem (WVRP) model is produced that consolidates a variable weight in the routing into the aggregate expenses for functional transportation and coordination administration. The computational tests on VRP benchmarking cases demonstrate that the WVRP can be utilized to define the vehicle routing problem all the more sensibly and precisely and gives higher cost savings than the VRP definition. This demonstrating methodology will be reached out to VRP variations, e.g., SDVRP, later on.

III. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

TABLE I

Comparison Of Various Routing Algorithms Based On Parameters

ROUTING PROBLEM DISCUSSED IN PAPERS	Fleet size		Fleet type		Number of depots		Number of routes per vehicle		Type of demand		Vehicle capacity		Type of service			
	One	Multiple	Homogenous	Heterogeneous	Single	Multiple	One	Multiple	Deterministic	Stochastic	Finite	Infinite	Delivery	pickup	Mixed	Split
Vehicle Routing Problem with Backhauls (VRPB) [1] [7]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>	
Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem (CVRP) [2] [3]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
Multi Depot Vehicle Routing Problem (MDVRP) [4]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
VRPB with Time Windows (VRPBTW) [5] [10]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>	
VRP with Mixed and Selective Backhauls (VRPMSB) [6]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CVRP with Stochastic Demands (CVRPSD) [8] [11]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Heterogeneous Fleet VRP (HFVRP) [9]		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			
VRP with Simultaneous Delivery and Pickup and Time Windows (VRPSDPTW) [12]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>	
Weighted VRP (WVRP) [13]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			

TABLE II
Summarization Of Various Routing Meta-Heuristics Approaches

Paper	Objectives	Routing category	Algorithm Used	strengths	Tool	Future Work
[1]	Total routing cost as low as possible and provide quality of routes	VRPB	GRASP+ACO+N EH	solution performs well in terms of route quality and planning efficiency	Java JDK 1.8	by taking transportation time and traffic conditions quality of route can be enhanced
[2]	Minimize total cost of vehicles while taking capacity constraints	CVRP	AMR-SA and AMR-SA-II	Ants go in and out more than once until all nodes visit thus set covering problem is avoided	C++	Use of local search technique to improve the solution quality and combine with AMR
[3]	For improving ant searching ability	CVRP	SMPNACO	improve convergence speed and not easy to fall in local optima	--	for large instances computational time increases
[4]	To organize routes acc. to minimum cost, shortest distance and largest customer satisfaction	MDVRP	Hybrid Mosquito Host-Seeking algorithm (MHS+3-opt LS)	compare with GA, TS, ACO gives faster convergence and shorter route	Matlab R2012a	---
[5]	Minimize total Distance	VRPBTW	Improved NNS+ λ -Interchange LS method	results are closed to optimal results	--	---
[6]	minimize cost and no. of vehicles and uncollected backhauls	VRPMSB	SSMOEA	provides performance enhancement over single-objective approaches	--	better optimization of EA parameters for VRPB variants
[7]	Minimum total distance and maximize total saving values	VRPB	FMOP-VRPB: Clustering+Routing+Local search	generates feasible solutions always and solved real world problem for VRPB	GAMS	use more objective functions and constraints
[8]	Improve qualities of routes and to estimate cost under stochastic demands	CVRPSD	NNS+ACO+2-opt	from the uniform distribution it generates customer demands	C++	by considering other stochastic parameters and improve quality of routes by using other metaheuristics
[9]	Minimum total cost and no. of vehicle of each type limited	HFVRP	Clustering+CPLEX solver	capable of handling a variety of real-life requirements	GAMS	use algorithm for HFVRP variants like HFVRP with add packing problem
[10]	Number of vehicle routes minimized by fixing the no. of vehicles	MOVPTW	Local Search based multi-objective optimization method	gives performance based on these three metrics: Inverted generational distance (IGD), Hyper-volume, Coverage metric	C	improve the performance by using other local search strategies
[11]	Optimize both the number of vehicles to use and the worst total travel cost needed	RVRP	HMOEA: NSGA-II+LS	it deals with VRP with uncertain travel cost by using different scenarios and gives Empowering results	C++	Use some local search procedures to explore the search space efficiently
[12]	Minimize no. of vehicles and total distance	VRPSDPW	MOLS+MOMA	analysis of complexity	C	used purposed algorithm for Green-VRP and MOVPTW like VRPB and VRPSB etc.
[13]	Acquire shortest distance whereas route has least cost	WVRP	ACO+MMAS	provides high cost savings than VRP	C#	purposed algorithm can be used for SDVRP

IV. CONCLUSION

As the media communications is changing day by day a great deal of new difficulties are developing. One of them is the vehicle routing in transportation coordination. The principle target of the directing is to limit add up to cost and to lessen the quantity of vehicles utilized as a part of along these lines all the delivery clients are served. A considerable measure of hybrid calculations are proposed to accomplish compelling routing, yet since the vehicle routing is heuristic issue the more research should be possible in this field and more advanced arrangements can be accomplished.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eric Hsueh-Chan Lu, Ya-Wen Yang, and Zeal Su, "Ant Colony Optimization solutions for logistic route planning with pick-up and delivery," in 2016 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), Budapest, Hungary, 2016, pp. 000808--000813.
- [2] Xinyu Wang, Tsan-Ming Choi, Haikuo Liu, and Xiaohang Yue, "Novel Ant Colony Optimization Methods for Simplifying Solution Construction in Vehicle Routing Problems," IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 3132--3141, 2016.
- [3] Qianguo Chen, Tao Qian, and Kui Liu, "A Logistic Distribution Routes Solving Strategy Based on the Physarum Network and Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm," in 2015 IEEE 17th International Conference on High Performance Computing and Communications (HPCC), 2015 IEEE 7th, 2015, pp. 1743-1748.
- [4] Geng Wang and Zhong Lin, "Hybrid Mosquito Host-Seeking Algorithm for Multi-depot Vehicle Routing Problem," in Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation (ICMTMA), 2017 9th International Conference on, 2017, pp. 182--186.
- [5] Küçükog̃lu _Ilker and Öztürk Nursel, "An advanced hybrid meta-heuristic algorithm for the vehicle routing problem with backhauls and time windows," Computers & Industrial Engineering, vol. 86, pp. 60-68, 2015.
- [6] Abel Garcia-Najera and John Bullnaria, "An evolutionary approach for multi-objective vehicle routing problems," Computers & Industrial Engineering, vol. 81, pp. 90--108, 2015.
- [7] Gulcin Dinc Yalcin and Nihal Erginel, "Fuzzy multi-objective programming algorithm for vehicle routing," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 42, no. 13, pp. 5632-5644, 2015.
- [8] Udom Janjarassuk and Ruedee Masuchun, "An ant colony optimization method for the capacitated vehicle routing problem with stochastic demands," in Computer Science and Engineering Conference (ICSEC), 2016 International, 2016, pp. 1--5.
- [9] Pavee Sinruk and Yuttakm Tangmo, "Heterogeneous fleet vehicle routing problem for retail construction material stores," in Industrial Engineering and Applications (ICIEA), 2017 4th International Conference on, 2017, pp. 234--238.
- [10] Ying Zhou and Jiahai Wang, "A Local Search-Based Multiobjective Optimization algorithm for multiobjective vehicle routing problem with time windows," IEEE Systems Journal, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1100--1113, 2015.
- [11] Hiba Bederina and Mhand Hifi, "Evolutionary multi-objective optimization approach for the vehicle routing problem with uncertain travel time," in Control Engineering & Information Technology (CEIT), 2016 4th International Conference on, 2016, pp. 1--6.
- [12] Jiahai Wang et al., "Multiobjective vehicle routing problems with simultaneous delivery and pickup and time windows: formulation, instances, and algorithms," IEEE transactions on cybernetics, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 582--594, 2016.
- [13] Jiafu Tang, Jing Guan, Yang Yu, and Jinyu Chen, "Beam Search Combined With MAX-MIN Ant Systems and Benchmarking Data Tests for Weighted Vehicle Routing Problem," IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, vol. 11, pp. 1097-1109, OCT 2014.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)