



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 1 Issue: IV Month of publication: November 2013 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Evaluating Failure of a Refrigeration cycle using Triangular Intuitionstic Fuzzy Approach

Neeraj Lata

Dept.of Mathematics, TMU, Moradabad, (U.P.)

sirohimaths@gmail.com

Abstract: In real life systems, the information may be inaccurate or might have linguistic representation. In such cases the estimation of precise values of probability becomes very difficult. In order to handle this situation, triangular fuzzy approach is used to evaluate the failure rate status. In this paper we introduced triangular fuzzy fault tree analysis for evaluating failure range of the refrigeration cycle system.

Key Words: triangular Intuitionstic fuzzy approach, fuzzy fault tree, failure rate, refrigeration cycle etc.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of fuzzy sets (FSs), proposed by Zadeh (1965) [6] has gained successful applications in various fields. However, the membership function of the fuzzy set is a single value between zero and one, which combines the favouring evidence and the opposing evidence. Due to fuzzy boundaries, this single value for the membership grade is the result of the combined effect of evidences in favour and against the inclusion of the element in the set the utility of the application of fuzzy sets depends on the capability of the user to construct appropriate membership functions, which are often very precise. In many contexts it is difficult to assign a particular

real number as a membership grade and in such cases it may be useful to identify meaningful lower and upper bounds for the membership grade. In 1986, Atanassov [7] introduced

Intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs) which have been found to be very useful to deal with uncertainty information.

The concept of the IFSs is a generalization of that of the FSs. IFS's are being studied and used in different fields of science. Among the research works on these sets we can mention Atanassov [2,3,4]; Atanassov and Gargov [1]; Szmidt and Kacprzk (2001) [7] proposed the definition of Intuitionistic Fuzzy numbers (IFN) and studied the perturbation of IFN.

2. FUZZY SETS

A set is a well-defined collection of objects. It has a sharp boundary to distinguish which element of the universe of discourse belongs to the set. In real life applications situations, it is not possible to distinct these elements by such a sharp layer due to the uncertainty involved. A fuzzy set is a set that consists of the elements having varying degrees of belongingness in the sets. So these situations may be better explained by the fuzzy sets, the set which contains all the elements of the universe but with different degrees of membership.

A crisp set A may be defined over a universe X and may be characterized by its characteristic function t_A as $A = \{(x, t_A(x))\}$

Where $t_A: X \to \{0,1\}$ is defined by

$$\mathsf{t}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{ if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{ if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

In analogy to the characteristic function, we can define a function called membership function $\sim_{\widetilde{A}} : X \to [0, 1]$ to characterize a fuzzy set defined over the universe X as follows.

$$\widetilde{A} = \left\{ (x_i, \sim_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X \right\}$$

Where $\sim_{\widetilde{A}}(x) \in [0, 1]$

2.1 Definition of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFS_s):-

Fuzzy set theory was first introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [6]. Let X be universe of discourse defined by $X = \{x_1, x_2,...,x_n\}$. The grade of membership of an element $x_i \in X$ in a fuzzy set is represented by real value between 0 and 1. It does indicate the evidence for $x_i \in X$, but does not indicate the evidence against $x_i \in X$. Atanassov in 1984 [4] presented the concept of IFS, and pointed out that this single value combines the evidence for $x_i \in X$ and the evidence against $x_i \in X$. An IFS \tilde{A} in X is characterized by a membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ and a non membership function $v_{\tilde{A}}(x)$.

2.2 Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set: - Let E be a fixed set. An Intuitionistic fuzzy set \tilde{A} of E is an object having the form $\tilde{A} = \{ \langle x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x), \nu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in E \}$

Where the functions $\mu_A: E \to [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A: E \to [0, 1]$ define respectively, the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element $x \in E$ to the set A, which is a subset of E and for every $x \in E, 0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1.$

When the universe of discourse E is discrete, an IFS \overline{A} can be written as



www.ijraset.com

Ó

Fig.1 Membership and non-membership functions of A

2.3 Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers (TIFN):-

The TIFN \tilde{A} is an Intuitionistic Fuzzy number (\tilde{A}) is an Intuitionistic Fuzzy set in R with five real numbers (a_1, a_2, a_3, a', a'') with $(a' \le a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \le a'')$ and two triangular functions

$$\mu_{\bar{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a_1}{a_2-a_1}, & \text{for } a_1 \le x \le a_2\\ \frac{a_3-z}{a_3-a_2}, & \text{for } a_2 \le x \le a_3\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $v_{\bar{A}}(x) =$



Fig.2 Membership and non-membership functions

of TIFN

AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY (IJRASET)

2.4 Arithmetic operations on IFNs:-

The arithmetic operations denoted generally by *, of two IFNs is a mapping of an input subset of R x R (with elements $x = (x_1, x_2)$) onto an output subset of R (with elements denoted by y). Let A_1 and A_2 be two IFNs, and $(A_1 * A_2)$ the resultant of operations then:

$$A_{1} * A_{2}(y) = \begin{cases} y, \\ \forall_{y} = x_{1} * x_{2}[A_{1}(x_{1}) \land A_{2}(x_{2})], \\ \wedge_{y} = x_{1} * x_{2}[A_{1}(x_{1}) \lor A_{2}(x_{2})] \end{cases} \mathcal{T}, \forall x_{1}, x_{2}, y \in R \end{cases}$$

With

A2x2

$$\mu_{(A_{1*A_{2}})}(y) = y = x_{1} * x_{2} [A_{1}(x_{1}) /$$

and
$$v_{(A_1,A_2)}(y) = y = x_1 * x_2 [A_1(x_1) \vee$$

A2x2

3. NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS

Here we have a simple fault tree structure of failure of refrigeration cycle system. The failure of the system depends on different factors like –electric supply ,hot cooling factor ,condenser failure , compressor failure etc .There are two major factors one is failure power supply and the second one be heat capacity exceeds. For both of these there are two sub factors .The following notation has been used to get failures $\frac{2e^{-x}}{4e^{-x}} = R \pounds \beta f c S \tanh \delta$ fililure of refrigeration system

 $\frac{a_{2}}{a_{2}}$, for $a_{2} \le x \le a^{"}$ $\frac{a_{2}}{a_{2}}$, for $a_{2} \le x \le a^{"}$ $\frac{a_{2}}{a_{2}}$, Represents the failure of electric supply 1, otherwise

- \bar{C}_f = Represents the failure of cooling system.
- \widetilde{D}_{f} = Represents the failure of the condenser
- $\tilde{E}_I = \text{Represents the failure of the compressor}$
- \vec{F}_{f} = Represents the excess of commodity
- G_f = Represents the failure of refrigerant.

 $\vec{E}_{f} = (0.03, 0.03, 0.05; 0.010, 03, 0.07)$

 $\tilde{F}_{r} = (0.02, 0.04, 0.06; 0.03, 0.04, 0.05)$

 $\tilde{G}_{f} = (0.03, 0.04, 0.07; 0.02, 0.04, 0.05)$

Step I Failure of electric supply =
$$\vec{D}_f \times \vec{E}_f$$

 $\tilde{B}_{F} = (0.0006, 0.0009, 0.002; 0.0001, 0.0009, 0.0035)$

Step 2 failure of cooling system=1- (1- \tilde{E}_f)(1- G_f)

 $\tilde{C}_f =$ 1-(0.98,0.96,0.94,;0.97,0.96,0.95) (0.97,0.96,0.93;0.98,0.96,0.95)

than the fuzzy fault tree analysis because it have more sharp



Let the reliability of events are

$$\widetilde{D}_f = (0.02, 0.03, 0.04; 0.01, 0.03, 0.05)$$

boundary.

REFERENCES

- Atanassov K.T., Gargov G., Interval-valued Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 31 (3) (1989) 343-349.
- Atanassov K.T., Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, *f J/Kevi* York, (1999).
- 3. Atanassov K.T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol 20, No. 1, (1986) pp. 87-96.
- 4. Atanassov K.T., More on Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 33 (1) (1989) 37-46.
- 5. Atanassov K.T., Two theorems for Intuitionistic fuzzy sets., Fuzzy *Sets* and Systems, (2000) 110: 267-269.
- 6. Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy sets. Information Control, 8 (1965), pp. 338-353.
- 7. Szmidt E., Kacprzyk J., Entropy for Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 118 (2001) 467-477.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)