



# IJRASET

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**Volume:** 12    **Issue:** XII    **Month of publication:** December 2024

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.66035>

[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)

# An Investigation on the Laser Abrasive Jet Machining of glass using Taguchi L27 arrays

D. Parameswara Murthy<sup>1</sup>, Meghani Molies Reddy<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Jagannatha N<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Mechanical Engineering Department, Sri Siddhartha Institute of Technology, Tumkur, Karnataka

<sup>3</sup>Professor and HOD Mechanical Engineering Department, SJMIT Chitradurga, Karnataka

**Abstract:** Nowadays, it might be challenging to machine materials that are difficult to cut. The main issues with machinability are high cutting powers, poor surface quality, and short tool lives. Traditional machining techniques have been shown to be less efficient and time-consuming. Nowadays, it might be challenging to machine materials that are difficult to cut. Nowadays, researchers are choosing hybrid machining techniques to solve these issues. The laser-assisted machining approach has drawn attention recently among other techniques. The cutting of difficult-to-cut materials with the aid of lasers can improve the machinability of those materials. Heat assistance is provided by a low power CO<sub>2</sub> laser, and a HYBRID non-conventional machining technique is created by combining CO<sub>2</sub> laser machining with an abrasive jet approach. In the aforementioned study, two unconventional machining techniques are used to evaluate the process variables, performance traits for a better material removal rate, and surface roughness. This work summarises the machining process for the machining of challenging materials using laser assistance.

**Keywords:** Hybrid machining, CO<sub>2</sub> laser, Abrasive jet machining, hybrid non conventional machining

## I. INTRODUCTION

The laser assisted machining (LAM) method have various specific conditions, machining input and out variables, input parameters of laser, work substrate material properties. So, realizing and examine such properties and its influence over each process parameter is essential for the optimization to get a better output response. Prominent behavior has been noticed in the contortion nature on surface of the work and resistance offered in between tool and chip interface but there is a need to investigation contortion surface nature when heated at higher temperature and rise in strain rate[1].The significance of laser process parameters using ANOVA it is noticed as, working distance that is stand off distance will influence on top kerf surface deviation as it has maximum F-value among the other power and feed of laser process parameters [2]. Heating the workpiece with a CO<sub>2</sub> laser is a significant factor that can be utilized to heat borosilicate glass it reduces surface roughness and cutting forces. With a relatively low power laser, a small portion of the work piece can be immediately heated helping to increase the rate at which fragile materials like glass are removed from milling operations to the micron level [3]. The amount of material removal by machining to certain depth were studied, laser is used as assistance with conventional machining. 900°C the preheating temperature of inconel metal, a heat shield is provided in between the conventional machining and laser machining tools, the high temperature strength Inference drawn from the results compared with conventional machining, input and output parameters measurements, assisted machining with laser protected with heat shield while machining can be used to obtain a better product[4]. Multiple machining optimizations for abrasive air jets(AAJM) Response surface methodology is used to examine non-traditional machining for machining hybrid fibre reinforced polymer composites. [5]. Through the investigation process parameters of machining by laser. Micro-channels were established with small average values of surface roughness can be attained at the proper laser power when the workpiece is at proper tool location and heat assisted to certain strain point, at low feed rate, and at high axial depth of cut, only if the tool withstands the cutting forces[6]. Traditional machining techniques for spherical optics are typically time-consuming and expensive. One potential step forward is to use CO<sub>2</sub> laser radiation in unusual machining processes like laser ablation to achieve greater efficiencies and faster speeds. The majority of the laser energy used in the flight was used to burn through the material. According to the findings of the experiments, pulse stability had a significant impact on how quickly surface roughness increased in the future. [7]. Edge chipping was improved when the preheating temperature, spindle speed, and inclination perspective of the workpiece were increased in laser aided milling (LAMill). the preheating temperature inversely related the cutting energy, the strength of silicon nitride inversely related to pre heating temperature. as a result, the surface finish is superior, cutting tool will have better tool life as there is minimum damage [8]. Materials surface behavior have the same chemical composition does not change even after machining with laser.

Crystal structure of the base materials remains crystalline and that of laser radiated surface if found to be amorphous structure, continuous CO<sub>2</sub> laser and fibre lasers appear to be the most reasonable options to process natural granite, Complex geometrical shapes without cracks on the cutting edge on natural stones can be machined with having 150µm width solidified layer, [9] a threshold energy level called line energy was studied for drilling of laminates made of Ti/CFRP/Ti for higher CO<sub>2</sub> laser frequency smaller heat affected zone width and MCI damage factor was observed [10]. Variation of the process parameters, behavior of output parameters is studied which shows improvement in kerf width size and selection of suitable work materials for laser machining will play a major role, process parameters determination is essential for machining brittle materials to study environmental impact and novel manufacturing techniques. [11]. In the present work laser is being used as an assistance for machining brittle materials glass as one of the sources, other source is being abrasive jet another mechanical approach for machining brittle material glass both are focused at same location sequentially, hence it is a combination of two non-conventional machining processes which are non-contact type of material removal mechanism, so it can be stated as hybrid machining process. It is on a CNC controller X-Y router table. The material removal rate is by impact and erosion in abrasive jet machining, melting and evaporation by low power continuous CO<sub>2</sub> laser, partially laser removes the material by ablation, it

Using an electronic balance with a resolution of 0.0001mg and a digital readout, the samples were meticulously weighed both before and after the machining process. The machining process was carried out on with a 1mm diameter hole of material E38 having hardness number 58 is abrasive jet nozzle tool was used as the cutting tool. According to Patel and Tandon (2015), MRR was calculated as the weight loss in the work material per unit time and stated in Eq.1


$$MRR = \frac{w_1 - w_2}{w_1} \quad \text{g/min, ...} \quad \text{Eq.1}$$

Table:1 Chemical composition of sodalime glass

Chemical Composition	wt. %	Formula	Melting point	Density in g/cm <sup>3</sup>	Thermal conductivity in W/mK	Refractive index
Silicon dioxide	71–74	SiO <sub>2</sub>	1710	2.65	6.8	1.544
Sodium dioxide	12–16	Na <sub>2</sub> O	1132	.27	***	***
Calcium oxide	6–8	CaO	2713	3.34	****	**
Magnesium Oxide	3–5	MgO	2852	3.6	45-60	1.735 5
Aluminium dioxide	0.5–1.5	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2072	3.937	30	1.77

## II. EXPERIMENTATION

In the present work an attempt has been made to machining with a low power CO<sub>2</sub> laser which is non-contact type non-conventional machining process with another non-conventional machining process like Abrasive jet machining having an abrasive size of the



Fig(1): Experimental setup

The abrasive jet machining conducted M sand as abrasive particle, M-Sand of 300 micron size was used as a tool assisted with compressed air media as abrasive jet tool, the CO<sub>2</sub> laser continuous source which is of low power usually utilized for engraving purpose commercially available with computer controlled router, is used for machining the work material, the work material is of soda-lime glass. The jet of air coming out of the compressor is circulated to mixing chamber containing the abrasives and mixture, abrasive irregular shaped sharp edged M-sand micron size is directed towards the workpiece by the abrasive jet nozzle. The workpiece is mounted and is stationary placed on the table with wise, the speed, power can be controlled by the software. Chemical composition of sodalime glass will show the refractive index 1.5 to 1.77 range indicates the incident light having laser power will effect the material surface there by inducing the plastic deformation over the surface by melting and evaporation as the temperature is around nearly 320 degree for 30 watt power.

Table 2: Process parameter and levels.

Slno	Symbol	Process parameter	Unit	levels		
				1	2	3
1	A	Speed	mm/sec	2	4	6
2	B	Laserpower	watt	10	20	30
3	C	Standof Distance	mm	1	2	3
4	D	Speedof Abrasivejet	mm/sec	2	4	6

Process parameters speed of the laser, laser power, stand of distance from the nozzle tip to work piece distance are selected for the laser test setup and out of various process parameters of AJM the abrasive size taken as constant, flow of the abrasive jet 6 bar pressure maintained constant, the flow of air is maintained constant

Table 3: Taguchi L27 array with test results of MRR

Sl no	Speed of CO <sub>2</sub> laser	Power of CO <sub>2</sub> laser	SoD of CO <sub>2</sub> Laser headnozzle	SoD of abrasive jet machining nozzle	MRR
1	2	10	1	2	9.44E-03
2	2	10	2	4	8.13E-03
3	2	10	3	6	7.92E-03
4	2	20	1	4	9.52E-03
5	2	20	2	6	9.47E-03
6	2	20	3	2	9.69E-03
7	2	30	1	6	9.65E-03
8	2	30	2	2	1.27E-02
9	2	30	3	4	9.66E-03
10	4	10	1	2	8.97E-03
11	4	10	2	4	7.95E-03
12	4	10	3	6	6.08E-03
13	4	20	1	4	8.73E-03
14	4	20	2	6	6.70E-03
15	4	20	3	2	8.97E-03
16	4	30	1	6	8.93E-03
17	4	30	2	2	8.96E-03
18	4	30	3	4	8.69E-03



19	6	10	1	2	7.59E-03
20	6	10	2	4	7.27E-03
21	6	10	3	6	6.99E-03
22	6	20	1	4	7.92E-03
23	6	20	2	6	7.09E-03
24	6	20	3	2	7.70E-03
25	6	30	1	6	7.86E-03
26	6	30	2	2	8.87E-03
27	6	30	3	4	7.96E-03

Taguchi is DOE technique which will give array of experiments to be conducted for optimizing the put response, taghuchi we taken array  $L_{27}$  as shown in Table 3 and results are analyzed by ANOVA, one way ANOVA technique is used for the study. By the regression analysis it is found that MRR for soda lime considering various process parameters of both non conventional machining set up laser speed(A), laser power(B), stand of distance of laser (C) and stand of distance of AJM (D). the percentage contribution of laser speed is more 38% as the laser traversing speed will give initial surface deformation by thermal effect and the laser power will contribute 22% as the power will also melt the material surface, The next speed of the abrasive jet machining was maintained while the CO2 laser heated the workpiece.

Table4: Regression analysis test result of MRR

Source	DF	SeqSS	AdjSS	AdjMS	F	P	% Contribution
A	2	16.36	16.36	8.1799	21.96	0	38.10145
B	2	9.46	9.46	4.7299	12.7	0	22.03177
C	2	1.74	1.74	0.8702	2.34	0.125	4.052355
D	2	8.674	8.674	4.337	11.64	0.01	20.20122
MRR Residual Error	18	6.704	6.704	0.3725			
Total	26	42.938					

For A1-B3-C1-D1 the optimum results were obtained from regression analysis result is as shown in figure for low speed 30 watt power minimum stand off distance that is 2mm we will get the optimum results as shown in Fig 2.



Fig 2: S/N ratio of Mean for MRR values

### III. CONCLUSION

- 1) The largest value of the output response S/N ratio is found at the combination A1-B3-C1-D1 ratio for the factors A, B,C and D, respectively. Therefore, A1- B3-C1-D1 is the optimal parameter combination
- 2) By ANOVA, the results of % contribution for groove machining by the CO<sub>2</sub> laser feed is more as that of other process parameters

### REFERENCES

- [1] [XueyeChen1&TiechuanLi1etal...—Using orthogonal experimental method optimizing surface quality of CO2 laser cutting process forPMMA microchannels Int J Adv Manuf Technol 9 May 2016#Springer-VerlagLondon2016,...DOI10.1007/s00170-016-8887-7
- [2] Sagar Hiwale, B. Rajivetal... —Experimental investigations of laser machining process parameters using response surface methodology MaterialsToday:Proceedings44(2021)3939–3945, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.09.2952214-7853/2020>
- [3] Guangchao Yea, Wei Wang,etal.. —Effects of femtosecond lasermicromachining on the surface and substrate properties of polylacticacid(PLA)AppliedSurfaceScience538(2021)148117, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.148117> 12October 2020
- [4] Ho-In Jeong a, Choon-Man Lee —A study on improvement of tool life using a heat shield in laser assisted machining to Inconel 718 Optics and Laser Technology
- [5] Pankaj Sonia —Introduction of hybrid machining: Fabrication andexperimental investigation of electrochemical grinding on surfaceroughnessMaterialsToday:Proceedings, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.09.470>
- [6] A. Temmler et al. “Investigation on laser beam figuring of fused silica using micro second pulsed CO2 laser radiation—Applied SurfaceScience 555 (2021) 149609 , <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.149609> [7]
- [7] H.Xia etal—Improved machinability of TiB2–TiC ceramic composites via laser-induced oxidation assisted micro-millingJ Ceramics International 47 (2021) 11514–11525, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.12.280>
- [8] M.Zhangetal—Experimental study on the rock erosion performance of a pulsed abrasive supercritical CO2 jetJ Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering201(2021)108489,
- [9] K.VijayKumar, T.S.A.Suryakumari and V.Mohanavel— “A Review on methods used to optimize Abrasive Jet Machining Parameters” Materials Today: Proceedings, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.09.778>
- [10] N.BharatandP.S.C.Bose—”An overview on machinability of hard to cut materials using laser assisted machining” Materials Today:Proceedings 43(2021)665–672, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.12.587>
- [11] A. El Hassanin et al —”CO2 laser polishing of laser-powder bed fusion produced AlSi10Mg parts” Surface & Coatings Technology 419 (2021) 127291, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2021.127291>
- [12] D. Kumar and S. Gururaja—Investigation of hole quality in drilled Ti/CFRP/Ti laminates using CO2 laser — Optics and Laser Technology126 (2020) 106130, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2020.106130>
- [13] A Parthibana, M Chandrasekaran, V Muthuraman, S Sathish AParthibana, “Optimization of CO2 Laser Cutting of Stainless SteelSheet for Curved Profil”
- [14] Parvesh Alia, SachinDhullb, R.S.Waliac,Q. Murtazad, MohitTyagie—Hybrid Abrasive Flow Machining for Nano Finishing -AReview
- [15] Rahul Vaishyaa, R.S. Waliab, P. Kalra—Design and Development of hybrid electrochemical and centrifugal force assisted abrasive flow machiningJ, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2015.07.158



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)