



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 **Issue:** VIII **Month of publication:** August 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.63823>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Automated Restoration of Damaged Character Photographs Using a Novel GAN Architecture: A Comprehensive Three-Step Pipeline for Enhanced Image Quality and Efficiency

Reyansh Pallikonda¹, Nathan Aye²

Mission San Jose High School

Abstract: Restoring damaged character photographs (DCPs) is essential for preserving cultural and personal memories, yet traditional methods are labor-intensive and often require significant manual intervention. The degradation of photographs due to factors such as creases, spots, water damage, and exposure to light poses a considerable challenge to conventional restoration techniques. Manual restoration, typically performed using software like Photoshop, is not only time-consuming but also demands a high level of expertise and meticulous effort, making it impractical for large-scale applications. In this study, we propose an innovative solution to automate the restoration process by employing a practical generative adversarial network (GAN) architecture.

Our approach addresses the limitations of traditional methods and aims to significantly reduce the time and effort required for photo restoration while improving the quality of the restored images. The proposed method comprises a novel three-step pipeline designed to tackle the complexities of DCPs effectively. First, we initiate the process by collecting a diverse dataset, including clear character photographs (CCPs), real DCPs, and dirty masks that illustrate common damage patterns. This comprehensive dataset forms the foundation for training our models. Second, we utilize a Residual U-Net GAN (RUGAN) to learn the spoilage patterns of DCPs and generate realistic fake DCPs from the CCPs and dirty masks. RUGAN leverages the structural and textural information from the clear images and dirty masks to produce fake damaged images that closely mimic real-world damage. Finally, we train a restoration model known as the Residual U-Net conditional GAN (RUCGAN) using the paired fake DCPs and CCPs.

The RUCGAN is specifically designed to learn the mapping from damaged to clear images, allowing it to effectively restore real DCPs during inference. Our method incorporates a weighted multi-feature loss function that integrates various perceptual and pixel-wise loss components to enhance the visual quality and fidelity of the restored images. This multi-faceted approach ensures that the restored photographs not only appear visually accurate but also retain the intricate details of the original images. In summary, our study presents a robust and practical solution for automating the restoration of damaged character photographs. By leveraging the power of GANs, we provide a scalable and efficient alternative to manual restoration methods, with the potential to preserve invaluable cultural and personal memories on a large scale. Future work will focus on refining the models, expanding the dataset to include more diverse damage patterns, and exploring the applicability of our approach to a broader range of image restoration tasks.

I. METHODOLOGIES

Our proposed methodology for restoring damaged character photographs (DCPs) using a generative adversarial network (GAN) architecture is meticulously designed to overcome the challenges posed by the lack of extensive paired training datasets and the complex nature of image damage. The methodology is divided into three main phases: data collection, damage generation, and restoration model training. Each phase is crucial for developing a robust and effective restoration system.

1) Step 1: Collecting Clear Character Photographs (CCPs)

We initiated our methodology by assembling a comprehensive dataset of clear character photographs (CCPs). This dataset, comprising $N=10,000$ high-resolution images, serves as the ground truth for our restoration model. The CCPs are selected to cover a wide range of characters, including variations in pose, lighting, and background, ensuring the model's ability to generalize across different scenarios.

2) Step 2: Gathering Real Damaged Character Photographs (DCPs)

To capture the real-world damage, we collected a dataset of real DCPs, consisting of $M=5,000$ images. These images exhibit various types of damage, such as creases, spots, watermarks, and light exposure. This dataset assists in identifying common damage patterns necessary for the model to learn.

3) Step 3: Creating Dirty Masks

Dirty masks (DDD) are generated to simulate different types of damage on the CCPs. These masks represent the areas of the image affected by damage. We created $K=20,000$ dirty masks using a combination of manual annotations and automated techniques to ensure a diverse set of damage patterns.

4) Step 4: Training the Residual U-Net GAN (RUGAN)

The next phase involves training the Residual U-Net GAN (RUGAN) to generate fake DCPs from CCPs using the dirty masks. RUGAN consists of a generator (GGG) and a discriminator (DDD) network.

- Generator (GGG): The generator network takes a CCP and a dirty mask as input and produces a fake DCP. The generator uses a Residual U-Net architecture, which combines the strengths of U-Net's skip connections and residual learning to preserve image details while introducing realistic damage.
- Discriminator (DDD): The discriminator network evaluates the authenticity of the generated DCPs, ensuring they closely resemble real DCPs. It is trained to distinguish between real and fake DCPs, guiding the generator to improve its outputs.

The objective function for RUGAN is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{RUGAN} = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)} [\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$$

where x represents the real DCPs and z represents the noise vector.

5) Step 5: Training the Residual U-Net Conditional GAN (RUCGAN)

Once the RUGAN is trained, we proceed to the final phase: training the Residual U-Net conditional GAN (RUCGAN) for restoration. RUCGAN is designed to map damaged images (fake DCPs) back to their original clear form (CCPs).

- Generator (GGG): The generator in RUCGAN takes a fake DCP as input and outputs a restored image. This network also uses a Residual U-Net architecture to effectively handle the intricate details of the damage and restoration process.
- Discriminator (DDD): Similar to RUGAN, the discriminator in RUCGAN evaluates the restored images to ensure they are indistinguishable from the original CCPs.

The loss function for RUCGAN incorporates a weighted multi-feature loss function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{RUCGAN} = \mathcal{L}_{adv}(G, D) + \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{pixel}(G) + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{perc}(G)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{adv} is the adversarial loss, \mathcal{L}_{pixel} is the pixel-wise loss, \mathcal{L}_{perc} is the perceptual loss, and λ_1, λ_2 are weighting factors.

6) Step 6: Training Process

RUCGAN is trained on paired fake DCPs and CCPs generated by RUGAN. The training process involves iterating over the dataset, optimizing the generator and discriminator networks to minimize the combined loss functions. We use a learning rate schedule and early stopping criteria to prevent overfitting and ensure stable training. The learning rates are set as follows:

$$\text{Learning rate for generator and discriminator: } \alpha_G = 1 \times 10^{-4}, \quad \alpha_D = 2 \times 10^{-4}$$

7) Step 7: Quantitative and Qualitative Assessment

The performance of the trained RUCGAN model is evaluated using standard metrics such as peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and structural similarity index (SSIM):

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\text{MAX}_I^2}{\text{MSE}} \right), \quad SSIM = \frac{(2\mu_x\mu_y + C_1)(2\sigma_{xy} + C_2)}{(\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + C_1)(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + C_2)}$$

where μ_x and μ_y are the means, σ_x and σ_y are the variances, and σ_{xy} is the covariance of the images.

8) *Step 8: Comparison with Traditional Methods*

To validate the effectiveness of our approach, we compare the results of RUCGAN with traditional restoration methods. This comparison highlights the improvements in efficiency and quality achieved by our GAN-based architecture.

II. RESULTS

The effectiveness of our proposed GAN architecture for restoring damaged character photographs (DCPs) was evaluated through a series of quantitative and qualitative assessments. Our results demonstrate significant improvements in restoration quality and efficiency compared to traditional methods.

A. Quantitative Evaluation

1) *Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR)*

The PSNR values were calculated for the restored images to measure the reconstruction quality. Our model, RUCGAN, achieved an average PSNR of 34.7 dB, significantly higher than traditional methods such as Photoshop restoration (28.3 dB) and other deep learning approaches like Autoencoders (30.1 dB). This indicates a substantial improvement in the overall quality of the restored images.

PSNR Comparison:

Method	Average PSNR (dB)
Traditional Methods (Photoshop)	28.3
Autoencoders	30.1
RUCGAN	34.7

2) *Structural Similarity Index (SSIM)*

The SSIM values were used to assess the perceived quality of the restored images. RUCGAN achieved an average SSIM of 0.923, outperforming traditional methods (0.825) and other deep learning techniques (0.874). This high SSIM value indicates that the restored images are not only accurate but also visually similar to the original, undamaged photographs.

SSIM Comparison:

Method	Average SSIM
Traditional Methods (Photoshop)	0.825
Autoencoders	0.874
RUCGAN	0.923

III. QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION

To further validate the performance of our model, we conducted a qualitative assessment by visually comparing the restored images. We used two pictures to illustrate examples of DCPs restored using RUCGAN, traditional methods, and Autoencoders.

1) *Picture 1: Comparison of restoration results for a heavily creased and spotted photograph.* RUCGAN successfully removes the creases and spots, preserving the fine details of the character's face, while traditional methods leave visible artifacts, and Autoencoders partially restore the image but lose some details.

2) *Picture 2*: Comparison of restoration results for a water-damaged photograph. RUCGAN effectively reconstructs the missing and blurred areas, providing a seamless and natural restoration, whereas traditional methods struggle with the extensive damage, and Autoencoders show moderate improvement but still lack some fine details.

A. Efficiency and Computational Performance

Our proposed RUCGAN model also demonstrated impressive computational efficiency. The training process converged within 50 epochs, significantly faster than other deep learning methods that typically require over 100 epochs to achieve comparable results. The inference time for restoring a single image was reduced to 0.03 seconds, making it feasible for real-time applications.

B. User Study

We conducted a user study involving 50 participants to assess the subjective quality of the restored images. Participants were asked to rate the restored images on a scale of 1 to 10 based on visual appeal and resemblance to the original undamaged photographs. RUCGAN received an average rating of 9.2, significantly higher than traditional methods (7.1) and Autoencoders (8.3).

User Study Ratings:

Method	Average Rating (1-10)
Traditional Methods (Photoshop)	7.1
Autoencoders	8.3
RUCGAN	9.2

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, we presented a novel generative adversarial network (GAN) architecture designed to restore damaged character photographs (DCPs). Our approach involves a comprehensive three-step pipeline: collecting clear character photographs (CCPs) and real DCPs, generating fake DCPs using a Residual U-Net GAN (RUGAN), and training a restoration model (RUCGAN) on these pairs to restore real DCPs. Our methodology effectively addresses the challenge of limited paired training datasets and demonstrates the potential of GANs in automating the restoration process.

The results indicate that our RUCGAN model significantly outperforms traditional methods and other deep learning techniques in both quantitative and qualitative metrics. The model achieved impressive PSNR and SSIM values, indicating superior image quality and perceptual similarity to the original undamaged photographs. Furthermore, the RUCGAN model exhibited remarkable computational efficiency, making it feasible for real-time applications.

The user study further validated the high subjective quality of the restored images, with participants rating RUCGAN restorations significantly higher than those produced by traditional methods and Autoencoders. These findings underscore the effectiveness and practicality of our proposed GAN-based restoration approach.

V. FURTHER STUDIES

While our proposed RUCGAN model has demonstrated significant advancements in the restoration of damaged character photographs, several avenues for further research and improvement remain:

A. Enhanced Data Augmentation

Explore advanced data augmentation techniques to generate a more diverse and extensive set of training data. This could include simulating a wider variety of damage types and intensities to improve the model's robustness and generalization capabilities.

B. Integration of Contextual Information

Investigate the integration of contextual information, such as scene understanding and semantic segmentation, to further enhance the restoration quality. Understanding the context within the photograph could help the model make more informed restoration decisions.

C. Extension to Other Types of Images

Extend the application of our GAN architecture to restore other types of damaged images, such as historical photographs, artwork, and documents. This would require adapting the model to handle different damage patterns and content types.

D. Real-World Deployment and User Feedback

Deploy the RUCGAN model in real-world scenarios and collect user feedback to continuously refine and improve the system. User interactions and feedback could provide valuable insights into practical challenges and areas for enhancement.

E. Multi-Scale and Multi-Resolution Approaches

Implement multi-scale and multi-resolution approaches to capture finer details and improve the restoration of high-resolution images. This could involve developing hierarchical models that process images at different scales and resolutions.

F. Exploring Other GAN Variants

Explore other GAN variants and architectures, such as StyleGAN and BigGAN, to further improve the quality and realism of the restored images. Experimenting with different GAN models could yield new insights and breakthroughs in image restoration.

G. Long-Term Preservation and Archival Solutions

Investigate the integration of our restoration approach with long-term preservation and archival solutions. Ensuring that restored images are preserved in optimal conditions will contribute to the enduring protection of cultural and personal memories.

By pursuing these directions, future research can build upon our current findings and continue to enhance the capabilities and applications of GAN-based image restoration. Our study lays a solid foundation for ongoing advancements in this field, ultimately contributing to the preservation of invaluable visual heritage.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anwar, M. (2019) Practical Techniques for Restoration of Architectural Formation Elements in Historical Buildings. *World Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7, 193-207
- [2] Bode, Andrei. "Methods of the Restoration of Wooden Architectural Monuments in Russia." Atlantis Press, Atlantis Press, 1 June 2019
- [3] Cao, J., Zhang, Z., Zhao, A. et al. Ancient mural restoration based on a modified generative adversarial network. *Herit Sci* 8, 7 (2020)
- [4] Hufei Yu, Yifeng Liu, Shiwen He, Pei Jiang, Jiang Xin, Jingxi Wen, A practical generative adversarial network architecture for restoring damaged character photographs, *Neurocomputing*, Volume 423, 2021
- [5] Nogales, Alberto & García-Tejedor, Alvaro José & Delgado-Martos, Emilio. (2021). ARQGAN: An evaluation of generative adversarial network approaches for automatic virtual inpainting restoration of Greek temples
- [6] Pons-Valladares, Oriol, and Jelena Nikolic. 2020. "Sustainable Design, Construction, Refurbishment and Restoration of Architecture: A Review" *Sustainability* 12, no. 22: 9741
- [7] Shuaiyu Bu, Yuanyuan Li, Wenting Ren, Guoqiang Liu. ARU-DGAN: A dual generative adversarial network based on attention residual U-Net for magneto-acousto-electrical image denoising[J]. *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*, 2023, 20(11): 19661-19685
- [8] Y. -H. Kwon and M. -G. Park, "Predicting Future Frames Using Retrospective Cycle GAN," 2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Long Beach, CA, USA, 2019, pp. 1811-182011



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)