



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 Issue: IV Month of publication: April 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.59781>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Comparative Study of G+6 Irregular Multistoreyed Building of L Shape of Diaphragm Discontinuity

Ritik Saxena¹, Kavita Golghate²

¹PG Scholar, ²Professor, Civil Engineering Department, SDBCE College, Indore, India

Abstract: This study investigates the effectiveness of incorporating roof openings in re-entrant corner plan irregular buildings at various locations under earthquake loads. Three models L-shaped diaphragm discontinuity were analyzed using STAAD Pro software. Node displacement and storey shear in both the X and Z directions were evaluated to assess structural performance. The results indicate that models with square diaphragm discontinuity exhibit increased node displacements and storey shear compared to those with L-shaped diaphragm discontinuity. The model with L-shaped diaphragm discontinuity consistently demonstrates superior performance in terms of lower displacements and shear stress values. However, the final model selection should take into account specific project requirements and design considerations.

Keywords: Plan irregularities, Diaphragm Discontinuity, Re-entrant Corner, Seismic Analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

Irregularities in structures are a common characteristic in urban areas. Often, buildings become irregular during the planning phase due to architectural and functional considerations. However, such irregularities have shown increased vulnerability in past earthquakes. Consequently, extensive research has been conducted in this field, primarily in the deterministic domain. The focus of the present study is to evaluate the relative performance of vertically irregular buildings within a probabilistic framework.

Vertical irregularities in buildings can result from a sudden decrease in stiffness or strength in a specific storey. In regions with high seismic activity, these irregularities pose significant challenges for structural engineers. Numerous irregular structures can be found in urban infrastructures today, with open ground storeys and stepped buildings being particularly prevalent in urban areas of India. Figure X illustrates a typical open ground storey and a stepped irregular framed building.

Plan irregularities in building structures pertain to deviations from a regular or symmetrical floor plan. These irregularities can have a significant impact on a building's structural performance during seismic events.

Plan irregularities can compromise the overall seismic performance of a building, making it more susceptible to damage or failure during an earthquake. Engineers and architects need to address these irregularities by applying appropriate design and structural measures. Building codes and seismic standards often include provisions to mitigate the impact of plan irregularities and enhance the safety of structures in earthquake-prone regions.

II. OBJECTIVE

The objectives of this study are as follows:

- 1) Assess the Impact of Roof Openings: Investigate how the inclusion of roof openings affects the structural behavior of re-entrant corner plan irregular buildings under earthquake loads.
- 2) Compare Diaphragm Discontinuity Shapes: Analyze the performance of L-shaped diaphragm discontinuity configurations in the context of node displacement and storey shear.
- 3) Evaluate Structural Performance: Use node displacement and storey shear in both the X and Z directions as indicators to evaluate the structural performance of the analyzed models.
- 4) Identify Superior Design: Determine which diaphragm discontinuity of L-shaped, offers better structural performance in terms of mitigating displacements and shear stresses.
- 5) Provide Design Recommendations: Offer insights to designers and engineers regarding the selection of diaphragm discontinuity shapes based on the structural response observed in the analysis.
- 6) Inform Project-Specific Decisions: Recognize that while one diaphragm discontinuity shape may demonstrate superior performance overall, the final model selection should consider project-specific requirements and design considerations.

METHODOLOGY

The objective of this thesis is to investigate the effectiveness of opening in roof in re-entrant corner plan irregular building at different locations when subjected to earthquake loads. The earthquake loads are determined based on the guidelines provided in IS 1893 (Part-1). To analyze the structural response, the STAAD Pro software program is selected as the analysis tool.

In this work, the focus is on seismic analysis using the STAAD Pro program to investigate a specific validation problem. The objective is to analyze the behavior of a G+6-story unconventional residential building during an earthquake event. The building is characterized as multi-story, reinforced concrete-framed, and irregular in design. The analysis is performed using the response spectra method, considering the earthquake intensity of Zone III.

Table no. 1 Structural Data

S.no	Model ID	Value
1	No. of Story	G + 6 Stories
2	Plan Area	36 Meters X 36 Meters
3	Story Height	3 Meters
4	Beam Size	500 millimeters X 500 millimeter
5	Column Size	600 millimeters X 600 millimeters
6	Column Spacing	4 Meters
7	Slab Thickness	150 millimeters
8	Grade of Concrete	M-25
9	Grade of Steel	Fe-550
10	Zone	Zone III
11	Shear Wall Thickness	150 millimeters

Table no. 2 Model Description

S.no	Model ID	Model Description
1	M1	Re-entrant Plan Irregular building without Diaphragm Discontinuity
2	M2	Re-entrant Plan Irregular Building with L Shape of Diaphragm Discontinuity




Fig. 1 Re-entrant Plan Irregular building without Diaphragm Discontinuity






Fig.2 Re-entrant Plan Irregular Building with L Shape of Diaphragm Discontinuity


III. RESULT



Graph No.1 Resultant Node Displacement for All Model



Graph No.2 Peak Storey Shear in all model in X direction



Graph No.3 Peak Storey Shear in all model in Z direction

IV. CONCLUSION

Considering the data available, it is evident that -

- 1) Model M2, (Re-entrant Plan irregular L Shape of Diaphragm Discontinuity) consistently displays better overall performance in terms of lower node displacements and stress values.
- 2) It's important to note that the selection of the best model may depend on specific project requirements, safety standards, and design considerations. Engineers would typically conduct a more comprehensive analysis, taking into account additional factors, such as cost, construction feasibility, and project objectives, to make a final decision on the most suitable model for a particular application.

REFERENCES

- [1] Raagavi and Sidhardhan "A Study on Seismic Performance of Various Irregular Structures.", International Journal of Research in Engineering and Science (IJRES), Volume-9 (Issue-5), pp 12-19. (2021).
- [2] Khazaei et al. "Optimal Location of Multiple Tuned Mass Dampers in Regular and Irregular Tall Steel Buildings Plan. Shock and Vibration", Volume 2020 (2020).
- [3] Prasad et al. "Seismic Response Analysis of Symmetrical and Asymmetrical High-Rise Structures in Seismic Zone II", International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, Volume-10 (Issue-10) (Series-III), pp 36-49. (2020).
- [4] Ilerisoy "Discussion of the Structural Irregularities in the Plan for Architectural Design within the Scope of Earthquake Codes", Periodica Polytechnica Architecture, Volume-50 (Issue-1), pp 50-62. (2019).
- [5] Krishna and Sankar "Seismic Analysis of Multi Storied Buildings with Floating Columns", International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Volume-8 (Issue-5), pp-6063. (2019).
- [6] Ahirwal, Gupta, and Singh "Effect of Irregular Plan on Seismic Vulnerability of Reinforced Concrete Buildings", In Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Materials and Structures for Civil Infrastructures (AIP Conf. Proc. 2158, AIP Publishing. (2019).
- [7] Sagliyan and Yon "Assessment of Earthquake Behaviour of Reinforced Concrete Buildings with Slab Discontinuity", Turkish Journal of Science & Technology, Volume-13 (Issue-1), pp 87-92. (2018).
- [8] Sanjay and Rao "Effect of Diaphragm Discontinuity in the Seismic Response of Multi-Storeyed Building", International Journal of Research Sciences and Advanced Engineering (IJRSAE), Volume-2 (Issue-20), pp 182-187. (2018).
- [9] Sarojini and Kumar "Diaphragm Discontinuity Consequence with The Seismic Performance of RC Building", International Journal of Innovative Technology and Research, Volume-6 (Issue-3), pp 8159-8161. (2018).
- [10] Sahu and Dwivedi "Seismic Analysis of RC Frame with Diaphragm Discontinuity", IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE), Volume-14 (Issue-4) (2017).
- [11] Chacko and Eliyas "Seismic Analysis of Fixed Base and Base Isolated RC Buildings Having Diaphragm Discontinuity", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume-04 (Issue-06), pp-1966. (2017).
- [12] Soni, Rawat, and Kushwah "Wind Analysis of Tall Building with Floor Diaphragm", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume-04 (Issue-03), pp-1095. (2017).
- [13] Bagawan and Patel "Seismic Performance Study of RC Framed Building with Diaphragm Discontinuity", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume-04 (Issue-09), pp 103. (2017).
- [14] Kusuma "Seismic Analysis of a High-rise RC Framed Structure with Irregularities", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume-04 (Issue-07), pp-1338. (2017).



- [15] Kadiyala and Kota “Effect of Diaphragm Discontinuity of the Building”, International Journal of Research in Engineering, IT and Social Sciences, Volume-06 (Issue-09), pp 33-40. (2016).
- [16] Habib et al. “Effect of Plan Irregularity on RC Buildings due to BNBC-2006 Earthquake Load”, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume-7 (Issue-1), pp-761. (2016).
- [17] Sawarkar and Nandurkar “Critical Analysis of Column Displacement and Forces in Selected Column for a Structure with Diaphragm at Different Levels”, International Journal of Science Technology & Engineering (IJSTE), Volume-2 (Issue-10), pp-806. (2016).
- [18] Abdlebasset et al. “Seismic Analysis of High-Rise Buildings with Transfer Slabs”, State-of-the-Art Review. Electronic Journal of Structural Engineering, Volume-16 (Issue-1). (2016).
- [19] Scarry “Floor Diaphragms and a Truss Method for Their Analysis. Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering”, Volume-48, No. 1, March 2015. Structural Engineer, Auckland. (Submitted May 2014; Reviewed August 2014; Accepted December 2014). (2015).
- [20] Monish and Karuna “A Study on Seismic Performance of High-Rise Irregular RC Framed Buildings”, International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology (IJRET), Volume-04 (Issue-05), pp 340. (2015).
- [21] Divyashree, M., et al. (2014). Comparison Of Bracings and Shear Walls as Seismic Strengthening Methods to Buildings with Plan Irregularities: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, 3(6), 205-210.
- [22] Ahmed, J. A. K., & Sreevalli, Y. (2014). Influence of bracing location on the fundamental time period of high-rise buildings under seismic and wind loads. Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 18(4), 589-603.
- [23] Suresh, M. R., & Badami, S. (2014). Structural configuration suitability for buildings of different heights under gravity and wind loads. Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 94, 46-56.
- [24] Ozturk “A study of the effects of slab gaps in buildings on seismic response according to three different codes”, Scientific Research and Essays, Volume-6 (Issue-19), pp 3930-3941. (2011).
- [25] Gonzalez Herrera and Gomez Soberon “Influence of Plan Irregularity of Buildings”, In Proceedings of the 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, October 12-17, 2008, Beijing, China. (2008).



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)