



# IJRASET

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**Volume:** 10    **Issue:** VII    **Month of publication:** July 2022

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2022.45373>

[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)

# Comparing the Efficiency of Malware Detection in Android System

Badal Sharma, Sanjeev Rao

**Abstract:** *Personal Digital Assistants are becoming fundamental in our lives. Android is perhaps the most well-known working framework. Android OS is far-reaching in the portable business today due to its easy and comprehensive engineering. Application clients will generally trust the Android Operating System to get information; however, it is being demonstrated that Android is more defenseless and eccentric. of concern. As a result of its open-source design, the Android OS is endless in the versatile business today. It is a wide assortment of uses and essential highlights. Application clients generally prefer Android OS to get information.*

*It has been accounted that the increasing number of android devices will increase the number of malware threats and the research work on malware is the trending topic in the security field. This paper plans to break down the different qualities associated with malware identification. It also addresses malware location strategies. The ongoing identification system uses Bayesian calculation, Ada graduate calculation, Naïve Bayes calculation, Hybrid calculation, and other calculation methods for AI to prepare the sets and find the malware.*

**Keywords:** *Android system; malware detection; dynamic analysis; static analysis; hybrid analysis; Android Architecture.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

This working framework (OS) called Android for cell phones has been sought-after since it was launched in 2008. In 2019, nearly 87 % of overall advanced cell deals were dependent on Android. The most well-known versatile working framework and its increasing popularity make it on the hit list of malware attacks. Android OS is open source, the main reason behind most of the attacks on android devices. Predominantly, Trojan Horse malware is considered, which steals confidential data from the user's device. Toward the finish starting of the covid pandemic, more than 40 lakh applications were on the android play store like google play, which is authentic for the market of android applications. Because of varied reasons, such as Android applications' accessible natural technique, the execution of its imprecise consent control, and its potential to gather code from the outsider, make few surfaces accessible for security assaults that genuinely compromise Android application's respectability. Figures show that just in the survey in 2016, more than 40 lakh android-based applications were made, and application attacks happened every 10 seconds. Numerous advances, including stage reinforcing, malware counteraction, engineer input, and weakness acknowledgment, guarantee the soundness of the Android biological system.

The principal concern in the Android stage development is malware recognition. There are two primary malware identification approaches which are AI-based and non-machine learning-based approaches. Malware recognition frameworks such as Machine Learning utilize different AI calculations in the location cycle, whereas the non-AI-based malware indicators use marks, authorizations, or dynamic pollutant investigation to identify noxious records. With the enormous malware creation these days, non-AI-based Android malware location approaches are consuming a chance to recognize it as well as its powerlessness to identify inconspicuous malware. Consequently, Android gadgets should be given the capacity to distinguish malware utilizing AI calculations. AI calculations have been progressively applied in security, considering present-day malware's rising unconventionality and refinement. Among the different existing methodologies in identifying malware, Machine Learning calculations and procedures accomplished a high precision in distinguishing malware. Various specialists proposed various systems to distinguish malware. These systems are created considering various AI calculations like SVM, Naive Bayes, Perceptron, and Deep Neural Network calculations.

Mobile virus detection is becoming increasingly important. Analysis methods are classified into two types: static and dynamic-based analysis. Dynamic analysis is more accurate and time-consuming than static analysis, but it has a high computing overhead that prevents it from being used on mobile devices with limited resources. This problem has been addressed in the past by transferring virus detection to the cloud. During both detection model training and malware detection, however, the privacy of mobile app runtime data sent to the cloud is not adequately protected. Encryption, which has a high processing cost and limited flexibility, has been used in numerous attempts to maintain privacy.

In the absence of an Android app, the survey of suspect code is centred on a static location. It can achieve high code inclusion, but it must contend with various countermeasures, such as dynamic code stacking and code muddling, which uncover potential threats that are difficult to detect using static analysis but are detectable using dynamic recognition at a cost of processing resources and time. Mixture location is a technique for achieving a balance between discovery execution and viability that combines dynamic identification and static recognition. Unlike traditional methods such as signature-based malware detection, AI-based recognition, which is based on the location of uncommon attributes in recognized malware, can detect even the most obscure types of malwares and continue with Android malware detection. Whether using static, dynamic, or crossing handling methodologies, the AI hypothesis has been widely used to identify Android malware.

The paper comprises these areas.

Segment 1 creates presentation and malware location tended to be in the area


2. Android working framework is made sense of in segment 3. Subtleties of past works delivered in writing survey at segment 4.

Segment 5 connected with the conversation and correlation of evaluated works in area 5. At long last, the end is created in segment 6.

## II. DETECTION OF MALWARE IN ANDROID

Malware is any program with a wicked plan (noxious programming). It may be composed to hinder standard movement, accumulate private data, control the gadget without the client's mindfulness, evade access controls, or show improper promotion. Besides, malware and inadvertently harming applications are alluded to altogether as malware. The critical classifications wherein malware can be arranged are infections, Trojans, deliver a product, worms, botnets, root packs, and so forth. It is clearly seen that the development of the malware in the android application is at its boost. Starting from the very first infection, different approaches in malware analysis are used for the detection of malware.

## III. ARCHITECTURAL APPROACH



1) *Static Analysis*: In this type of technique, we do not execute the code of the application. The code tells us the structure and what it will perform. In this, we investigate the code of the given application. One more benefit of static examination is that it reveals vindictive goals without addressing the cost of being recognized and confronting misfortunes and mistaken execution. Because of this element, code obscurity is the fundamental drawback of this strategy, so design matching is easy to recognize the way of behaving of the application's malignant demonstrations. This procedure will recognize consistent irregularities, runtime mistakes, and booked security breaks. Programming interface calls and consents are the most ordinarily utilized static capacities. Be that as it may, this strategy is exceptionally incapable within the sight of code jumbling and dynamic stacking of code, with numerous applications experiencing difficulties.

- 2) *Dynamic Analysis*: It is used when we investigate through our source code, and we must further investigate because it is dangerous. In this, we execute the application on the device to check its behavior. In this investigation, we find out the behavior of the application and its execution on the device. It is basically used in the Anomaly-based Detection.
- 3) *Hybrid Analysis*: It is an investigation that incorporates static as well as dynamic examination. A combination of both techniques results in the discovery or understanding in the best way. This technique is also used to deal with tests incorporating Andrus and Mobile Sandbox.

#### IV. ANDROID ARCHITECTURE AND ITS COMPONENTS

The market for Android is progressively rising and because of this, its gamble is likewise at its top for more than 10 years. The quantity of Android gadgets has represented in excess of 81% of the absolute mobile phone market up until this point. Because it is serious and in demand by many PC clients and designers, the Android Operating System also become a hub for noxious hoodlums. First, Android-based malware was recognized in September 2010. After this incident, the number increased gradually and rise consistently without any hurdles. Numerous malware applications were recognized by security specialists throughout the accompanying two or three years. According to G data 2, The amount of malware for Android gadgets appeared at 3.19 million in the second quarter of 2018, up 40% year on year. As per Google Play, Android applications fall into the class of being "imminent, indeed," because the amount of Android clients is expanding faster than that of iOS clients. The quantity of Android applications was basically 2.6 million in September 2018. Nonetheless, there are different malicious applications that sneak into the Android market and address a threat to clients. Without the underwriting of buyers, Android malware has started to set up without assistance from any other individual and debilitate cell exercises. This malware can do various things such as incorporating program seizing and assuming control over the designated machine, catching individual data about the proprietor, taking information from the machine, adjusting settings on the gadget, and downloading other non-expected programs. These actions would severely infringe on an individual's right to control their territory resulting in a lack of curiosity and enthusiasm among the users. The four groups of Android malware that can be classified based on these conduct and etiquettes are malware installation, malware activation, malicious payloads, and permission misuse. Professionals and scientists recommend different methodologies, essentially static and dynamic detection techniques, to overcome these dangers, as referenced prior. The Android Application Package for malware recognition is implemented and authorized through dynamic detection techniques.

##### A. Architecture

Google's Android OS is an open-source operating system built on Linux for the mobile platform. Android is now being upgraded at a quick pace, despite the fact that the core architecture of the Android OS has stayed constant. Android's architecture is separated into the Modified Linux Kernel Layer, Libraries, System Runtime Library Layer, and Application Framework Layer.

- 1) *Linux Kernel*: Android's fundamental framework capabilities, including security, power the executives, and drivers, depending on the Linux working framework. To decrease coupling, the refreshed Linux piece goes about as a reflection layer among equipment and programming, concealing data in the equipment layer and offering types of assistance to the upper levels.
- 2) *Libraries*: The Dalvik virtual machine is made with enhancement to effectively work various occasions of virtual machines all the while in restricted memory, and every Android application executes as a Linux cycle with an example of the Dalvik virtual PC.
- 3) *System Runtime*: From the standpoint of the overall architecture, the developer only has active control over the System Runtime Layer and the structures above it, so Android malware detection should likewise concentrate on the same region. It is partitioned into two classes: framework libraries and Android runtime. The Android runtime's center library contains most of the APIs, including Android OS, Android.net, and Android.media.
- 4) *API*: Android incorporates an application establishment layer that incorporates various APIs for Android improvement. Designers are allowed to use these APIs to create their own applications, dependent upon the system's security limits

#### V. LITERATURE REVIEW

In this, we explore the papers on the date of new malware detected and sum up into one.

Paolo Palumbo and colleagues proposed using a precise malware localization method to detect malware using the Naive Bayes model. As a contribution to assisting vector machines on several Android Application Packages(apk), they developed a collaborative technique to detect malware on Android phones, which employs the programmed Naive Bayes classifier. It only cares about malware families' coordinated mining and schematic designs. As a result of this methodology, 1,19,99 examples were produced.

Shahid Alam et al. use Automatic marking to cover all the analyses. When the information is delivered, the label begins. It operates since semantic-based markings of certain control stream designs and allows the Malware identification framework to run in local or byte code. The Angular Ahead of Time compiler was used to convert byte code to machine code. This job scores 94.47 percent. A drawback of this method is the use of parallelization to increase run time.

Venkatesh Gowri Shankar et al. proposed an androTaint model that takes into account dynamic impurity analysis. It organizes highlights and applications. They use four different methods to recognize malware which are automatic fragmentation and labelling and anatomical delineation, fundamental structure, and using Dynamic Dalvik Instrumentation toolkit and identifying taint labels, it deals with all client events and contributions to decide the vulnerabilities, the outcomes of this model have distinguished 85 percent of malignant applications, 93 percent of forceful applications, 89 percent of harmless applications, and 94 percent of unsafe applications. The disadvantage of this strategy is that it takes a long time to evaluate the malware.

Dongfanghi et al. advocated the Fg finder location of Fine-Grained Android Malware method. It separates the application's highlights, translates vector highlights into low-magnitude attributes and determines whether it is harmful. Order calculations such as a decision tree and credulous Bayes are similar. They also employ modification procedures to separate the multi-binary issues from the multi-class issues. To overcome class concerns, the variation approach has been employed to broaden. As a result, 89 percent of the malware has been identified. The problem isn't so much with precision as it is with having too many models because AI might have been used to detect more infections.

Gurdit Singh et al. suggested a zero-day malware finding approach based on AI. It distinguishes binary malware in both dynamic and static analysis. The machine learning algorithm is utilised to construct the Waikato knowledge analysis environment (WEKAA). Static & dynamic data incorporates the segment count used by the document size packer and the request made to the organization's exercises and administration register. JavaScript object documentation was used to build up and develop a framework report audit. As a result of this model, 3130 versatile executable documents containing 1410 innocuous and 1720 detrimental records are executed. The dangerous and harmless applications are not distinguished in this method. They have only shown hostile applications.

Fariba Ghaffar et al. introduced the AMDEC Anomaly location malware discovery of the Android model, where equips classifier chips away at consolidating Merge classifiers to spot malware, the group's classifiers comprise of several types

This focuses mostly on data from the organization that the application uses. It will be split into two sections. These are discovered as a result of entropy. Thus, it employs elements such as equipment which require doubtful consent from the API91. This cycle accounts for 73% of the discovery rate. The disadvantage of this method is that it has a lower exactness rate because it sets off extra deceptions to identify only zero-day malware.

Tong and the team suggested a model that performs static and dynamic analysis using a hybrid technique rather than a machine learning procedure. This method fabricated a standard arrangement of standard patterns and negative patterns and afterward contrasted these with recognized malware and harmless applications. This hybrid approach has been used to generate regular malware designs and to identify malware. Without the client's mindfulness, the information stream analyzer safeguarded the client's delicate information move to the third individual. It then, at that point, utilized the centroid gadget and lightweight joining procedure to arrange malevolent applications. The extent of this work is interesting to explicit portable working frameworks.

Hanging Liang et al. proposed the Android start-to-finish location approach malware. It works on running apps to recover information from them and makes decisions with little to no manual intervention assuming the setup is vindictive. They recognise and prepare malware designs using the ADA GRAD calculating streamlining. After deleting the list of API calls, they chose a few convolution layers. They use an android virtual device to plan the structure known as a chain. They monitor the execution process. In the application step, the SoftMax Layer is used to finish the characterization work. As a result, the calculation is 93% accurate. The disadvantage of this estimate is that toxic parts of the call chain architecture have not been removed.

Ali feizollah et al. suggested an approach for Andros assessment in their analysis of android expectation viability in malware recognition. This methodology puts Android's viability to the test. When compared to other application highlights, a part of the powerful highlights is semantically rich and has unmistakable strength utilizes the Bayesian association estimation to get more familiar with the malware plan, and a twofold communication computation has commonly been utilized to learn both association engineering and likelihood tables. The Hereditary Search Algorithm was actualized to expand the Bayesian organization result. The Mill Climber search calculation was used to evaluate the Bayesian organizational structure. This approach yielded an accurate rate of 90.3 percent. The exactness rate is thought to be lower.

Mathur et al. put forward the novel Android malware ID framework NATICUSdroid, in which innocuous malware were analyzed and categorized using local and modified Android authorizations which were chosen to highlight distinct AI (ML) orders. The critical consents considering the example are reviewed in over 29,000 harmless or malware obtained between 2010 and 2019. These distinguished licenses, which include both native and customary grants, are then assembled. Finally, the designer employs select utilitarian strategies and 8 ML NATICUSdroid calculations to distinguish between benign & malicious programs. Exploratory conclusions reveal that Random Forest characterization models performed better in terms of approximately 96 percent precision, 3.3 percent false positive, and 0.96 f-estimating.

Gao et al. investigated malware layout using the graphical brain structure. The inventor is developing a GDroid-style device. According to tests, GDroid effectively identifies 98.99 percent of Android malware with a low false-positive rate of less than 1%, outperforming current approaches. By exceeding the direction, the author achieves a general precision of almost 97 percent in the Malware family arranging mission and provides excellent results.

Wang and colleagues began, a multi-layered, bit weight-based identification (WBD) which is expected to categorize and comprehend the attributes of Android malware and benign applications. Furthermore, our program specialist naturally organizes and executes a plethora of harmful & liberal applications for information handling and storage. In a sequence of datasets of varying dimensions, the investigation focussed on the implementation of 112-bit features of the Android task data framework maintaining precision. Memory and sign highlights, lead to more precise positioning than plan and other undertaking state descriptors mentioned in our study. Memory-related attributes employ fine-grain grade characterization methodologies to maintain superior grade precision over those associated with signs and others. We also study and test 80 lately polluted ascribes in the Android piece's project design, focusing on 70 basic aspects considering layered lower to improve high-layered grouping execution. Our subsequent goal is to show how, when contrasted with current strategies, our system can accomplish 94-98 percent precision and 1-6% deceiving favorable outcomes.

Sahin and colleagues A malware distinguishing proof method based on artificial intelligence has proposed distinguishing Android from innocuous applications. The objective is to utilize a straight component determination method that considers backsliding during the part choice phase of the proposed Malware Detection Scheme to try not to rehash value. This reduces the capacity vector's size, speeds up preparation, and can be used indefinitely with malware placement frameworks in the previous model. When the findings have been surveyed, the maximum elements drop proportion as (0.961) is achieved by relying on no less than 27 highlights because of the F-estimation metric.

Considering the weighting and classifier weighting capability, Cai et al. proposed JOWMDroid, a new malware distinguishing proof plan. First and foremost, highlights in eight kinds are eliminated and afterward, a scope of basic elements have decided for malware recognition with a data advantage. The principal weight for each picked highlight is then resolved to utilize three PC models, trailed by five weight guides to plan the primary loads to the end loads. The differentially progressed computation has at last shown up, and the classifier limits, as well as the weight arranging limit, have both moved along. The trial discoveries show that the recommended system surpasses four high-level methodologies for weighting highlights and permits weight-cognizant orders more cutthroat.

## VI. DISCUSSION

- 1) Many tests are performed manually to detect malware, and the filtering system should be launched on a regular basis as well. The identification apps close if fresh applications start.
- 2) All fresh types of malware have appeared on the Android stage, and the sophisticated mark is unable to detect example-based malware.
- 3) A portion of the techniques for location yield a more noteworthy misleading problem rate. The basic machine language methodology likewise perceives the harmless applications as an unfriendly way of behaving and doesn't recognize harming assault and mimicry.
- 4) Malevolent parts are frequently not removed from the device's call grouping strategy, resulting in a poorer accuracy rate for detecting malware.
- 5) To detect malware, the boundaries used are constrained. The study uses limited credits that aren't enough to distinguish malware, resulting in a more precise speed.
- 6) A few procedures could distinguish just zero-day malware, making more deceptions and a slower pace of precision.
- 7) Not many methodologies recognitions of the stage used in cell phones.
- 8) AI has been utilized at the beginning phase.

## VII. CONCLUSION

IoT joins many applications, with the enhancement in the field of IoT, we can control the IoT devices through our cell phones through which the increment of the size in the android application smart gadgets through which the devices are currently accessible. Due to this, there is also some platform-centered malware that is developed that takes into consideration of examination of Android. We can detect various android malware through AI because of the enhanced technology. Through this paper, we examined center boundaries and various kinds of android malware distinguishing proof strategies. Since this is open source and various malware concealed with applications that are in the play store or in the market. gate crasher approaches client information like notes, messages, bank means, areas, etc. It additionally remembered an update for late exploration work on established parameters aimed at malware discovery.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Martinelli, F. Mercaldo and A. Saracino, "BRIDESMAID: An Hybrid Tool for Accurate Detection of Android Malware", Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Asia Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pp. 899-901, 2017.
- [2] Mobile Operating System Market Share Worldwide, [online] Available: <https://gs.statcounter.com/os-market-share/mobile/worldwide>.
- [3] Liu K, Xu S, Xu G, Zhang M, Sun D, Liu H. A review of android malware detection approaches based on machine learning. IEEE Access. 2020;8:124579-124607.
- [4] Yasin HN, Hamid SHA, Yusof RJR, Hamzah M. An empirical analysis of test input generation tools for android apps through a sequence of events. Symmetry. 2020;12:1894.
- [5] Sadeeq MA, Zeebaree SR, Qashi R, Ahmed SH, Jacksi K. Internet of things security: A survey. In International Conference on Advanced Science and Engineering (ICOASE). 2018;162-166.
- [6] Li J, Sun L, Yan Q, Li Z, Srisa-An W, Ye H. Significant permission identification for machine-learning-based android malware detection. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics. 2018;14:3216-3225.
- [7] Tan DJ, Chua TW, Thing VL. Securing Android: a survey, taxonomy, and challenges. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR). 2015;47:1-45.
- [8] Sadeeq MJ, Zeebaree SR. Semantic search engine optimisation (sseo) for dynamic websites: A review. International Journal of Science and Business. 2021;5:148-158.
- [9] Lopes J, Serrão C, Nunes L, Almeida A, Oliveira J. Overview of machine learning methods for Android malware identification. In 2019 7th International Symposium on Digital Forensics and Security (ISDFS). 2019:1-6.
- [10] Choudhary M, Kishore B. HAAMD: hybrid analysis for Android malware detection. In International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI). 2018:1-4.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)