

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Month of publication: Volume: May 2024 12 **Issue: V**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.61720

www.ijraset.com

 $Call: \bigcirc$ 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Hydrogen Production using PEM Electrolyzer

Kaustubh Patil¹, Miheer Patil², Prajwal Pustode³, Prathamesh Umathe⁴, Athang Rajebhosale⁵, Alkesh Rathod⁶ *B. E. , Department of Chemical Engineering BRACT'SVishwakarma Institute of Technology,666, Upper Indiranagar, Bibwewadi,*

Pune

Abstract: This paper presents a simulation study of alkaline electrolysis for H2 production using Aspen Plus. The simulation model incorporates reactor, separators, mixer, and heat exchanger units to accurately represent the AWE process. The model is designed to evaluate the performance of an AWE system under different operating conditions and aims to achieve a reference H2 production rate of 0.179663 kg/hr.

The simulation results demonstrate that the AWE system can successfully produce H2 at the specified rate. The model provides a detailed analysis of the system's behavior and H2 production rate, under varying operating conditions. The findings of this study provide valuable insights into optimizing the design and operation of AWE systems for large-scale H2 production

Keywords: Alkaline Electrolysis, Hydrogen Production, Aspen Plus, Simulation, Modeling, Reactor, Separators, Mixer, Heat Exchanger.

I. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This document is prepared by the inspiration received from Professor Satchidanand Satpute, Assistant Professor, Department of Chemical Engineering, BRACT's Vishwakarma Institute ofTechnology. Many colleagues at the Chemical Engineering Department have carefully read andimproved the document their contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

II. INTRODUCTION

Alkaline electrolysis (AWE) stands as a well-established and efficient technology in the realm of hydrogen (H2) production from water. This process relies on the application of an electric current within an electrolytic cell to split water molecules into hydrogen and oxygen. Notably, AWE has garnered prominence due to its inherent advantages, which include high efficiency, maturity, reliability, scalability, and versatility. These attributes position AWE as a highly promising method for large-scale hydrogen production, contributing significantly to the transition toward sustainable and clean energy sources.

One pivotal aspect of advancing AWE technology lies in the utilization of sophisticated tools for modeling and analysis. Aspen Plus, a comprehensive process simulation software, emerges as a valuable and powerful tool in this context. By developing a detailed AWE model within Aspen Plus, researchers and engineers can glean invaluable insights into the system's performance, unlocking a nuanced understanding of its intricacies.

The advantages of employing Aspen Plus for AWE modeling are multifaceted. Firstly, the software enables a thorough examination of the electrochemical processes inherent in alkaline electrolysis. It facilitates the representation of complex reactions, including water electrolysis into hydrogen and oxygen, providing a detailed account of the thermodynamics and kinetics involved in the process.

Secondly, Aspen Plus allows for the conduct of parametric studies and sensitivity analyses. Researchers can systematically vary input parameters, such as temperature, pressure, and electrolyte concentration, to assess their impact on the efficiency and overall performance of the AWE system. This capability is instrumental in identifying optimal operating conditions and potential areas for improvement.

Moreover, Aspen Plus supports mass balances and reaction kinetics, enabling researchers to scrutinize the distribution of reactants and products within the AWE system. This comprehensive understanding of the system's behavior contributes to the identification of bottlenecks and opportunities for enhanced efficiency.

The software's versatility extends to techno-economic analysis, integrating cost considerations into the simulation. This feature is crucial for assessing the economic feasibility of AWE systems, aiding in decision-making processes related to project development and investment.

Aspen Plus also accommodates dynamic simulation, allowing researchers to observe the AWE system's behavior over time and assess its dynamic stability. Furthermore, the software's process control features contribute to insights into optimal strategies for maintaining stable and efficient AWE operation.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET**)** *ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue V May 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com*

In essence, the synergy between AWE, as a mature and efficient hydrogen production technology, and Aspen Plus, as a robust simulation tool, forms a formidable partnership. The utilization of Aspen Plus in AWE modeling empowers researchers to not only gain a deep understanding of the system but also to fine-tune its design, optimize operational parameters, and contribute to the ongoing advancements in large-scale hydrogen production for a sustainable energy future.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Explore the efficiency and optimization of alkaline electrolysis processes in Aspen Plus for hydrogen production, focusing on parameters such as electrolyte concentration, temperature, and pressure to enhance system performance and contribute to the advancement of sustainable energy technologies.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

Here's the Literature Review of papers we have taken as reference.

[1] Hydrogen, an eco-friendly energy carrier produced through PEM water electrolysis, has great potential for green hydrogen generation. Despite economic challenges, recent research has focused on cost-effective electrocatalysts to enhance efficiency and affordability, benefiting fuel cells and industries. This review highlights advancements in PEM water electrolysis, emphasizing lowcost, high-performance electrocatalysts, and aims to facilitate the commercial viability of green hydrogen production.

[2] PEM water electrolysis is emerging as a promising clean energy solution due to its efficient and pure hydrogen production, adaptability to renewable energy variability, and ongoing advancements in electrocatalyst development. In comparison to other methods, PEM electrolysis offers distinct advantages. This review covers progress in PEM electrolysis, including catalyst improvements and system components, while also addressing current challenges and future prospects in hydrogen production through PEM electrolysis.

[3] We've developed efficient ML models, utilizing polynomial and logistic regression, accurately predicting 11 PEM electrolyzers cell parameters from input variables like hydrogen production rate and cell design. With training on 148 samples and 16 in validation, our models achieved 83.6% classification accuracy and a mean absolute error of 6.825, validated through custom cell fabrication. This pioneering approach offers cost-effective and time-saving solutions for commercial PEM cell design in hydrogen production.

[4] Hydrogen energy is crucial for a sustainable future amid climate change and evolving energy needs. It's obtainable through various methods, including renewables. This paper reviews hydrogen production from solar and wind energy, focusing on different water electrolyzers systems. It offers a comprehensive comparison of electrolyzers types, outlines production techniques, and assesses the economics of green hydrogen production versus other methods. The paper also highlights the challenges associated with these production approaches.

[5] n contrast to Current cases, Future cases project the development of the technology with new materials and capabilities and improved hydrogen production efficiencies, and include longer equipment lifetimes. Generally, capital costs of the systems are further reduced, compared with the Current case.

[6] Hydrogen emerged as a prominent energy carrier last year, offering a promising solution to energy and ecological challenges. The focus on fuel cells, particularly those using proton exchange membrane (PEM) technology that requires pure hydrogen, has spurred the development of electrolyzers. The authors highlight PEM electrolysis as a prospective technology, discussing its advantages and potential applications compared to other electrolyzers types. The summary also touches on significant achievements in PEM electrolysis, including recent advancements made by the authors.

[7] A PEM electrolyzer system for hydrogen production is analyzed using thermodynamic-electrochemical modeling, revealing that Joule heat from irreversibility's exceeds the energy required for water splitting across various electric current densities. Alternative configurations are proposed to enhance system performance, with corresponding efficiency expressions derived. Efficiency curves demonstrate variations with electric current density, allowing for comparison of different configurations. The optimal operating region for electric current density is identified, and detailed analyses of key parameters provide insights for the optimal design of a practical PEM electrolyzer system for hydrogen production.

[8] This paper presents a comprehensive model of an alkaline electrolysis plant, encompassing both the stack and balance of plant components. Aspen Plus is employed for simulation, with a custom model for the stack integrated using Aspen Custom Modeler due to the software's lack of electrolysis cell modelling codes. The stack model relies on semi-empirical equations for voltage cell, Faraday efficiency, and gas purity based on current. Other plant components are simulated using standard units in Aspen Plus.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET**)**

 ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue V May 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

Simulations indicate that optimizing the balance of the plant, with a focus on increasing temperature and reducing pressure, enhances the overall performance of the alkaline electrolysis system for hydrogen production. The proposed model has the potential for future use in techno-economic studies of integrated alkaline electrolysis systems.

[9] A comprehensive mathematical model for an advanced alkaline electrolyzer has been created, incorporating fundamental thermodynamics, heat transfer theory, and empirical electrochemical relationships. The model, validated through comparisons with measured data from a photovoltaic-hydrogen energy plant in Jülich, accurately predicts cell voltage, hydrogen production, efficiencies, and operating temperature. Designed with a minimal number of parameters, the model is suitable for integrated hydrogen energy system simulations. Compatibility with a transient system simulation program enables integration with standard thermal and electrical renewable energy components, making it valuable for system design, redesign, and control strategy optimization. A year-long simulation of a photovoltaic-hydrogen system demonstrates the model's effectiveness in identifying improved electrolyzer operating strategies

[10] This review explores the historical development and current status of water electrolysis for hydrogen production, a technology dating back two centuries. It covers alkaline water electrolysis, polymer electrolysis membrane (PEM), and high-temperature electrolysis, comparing their efficiencies, thermodynamics, and energy requirements. The low adoption of water electrolysis is attributed to cost inefficiency, high maintenance, low durability, and lower efficiency compared to alternative technologies. The paper analyses the energy requirements, practical cell voltage, efficiency, temperature, pressure effects, and electrode materials in different electrolysis methods, highlighting areas for modification and development to enhance hydrogen production.

[11] Hydrogen, an ideal clean energy source, serves as a storage medium for renewable energy. Water electrolysis, a mainstream method for hydrogen production, enables the conversion of various energy sources into high-purity hydrogen, making it a versatile storage solution. The industrial application of alkaline water electrolysis and PEM electrolysis for hydrogen production is explored, comparing their working principles, process flows, and respective advantages and disadvantages. The article offers valuable insights for researchers, providing a reference for understanding and comparing these water electrolysis technologies in the context of hydrogen production.

V. METHODOLOGY

- *A. Formulas We Are Using In This Simulation*
- *1*) Introduces a comprehensive model for an alkaline electrolysis system, encompassing both the electrolysis stack and the balance of the plant components.
- *2)* Within the model, the electrolysis stack (electrolytic cell) is represented as an Rstoic-Reactor, capturing its essential behaviour and interactions.

3) To account for the energy dynamics, the stack's power requirements are incorporated into the model as heat inputs.

The power recruitment is calculated as follows: P (stack) = V (cell) x N x I Where, V(cell): Cell Voltage N: Number of cells of the Stack I: Current (A) V(cell): V(rev) + (r1 + r2 x T) x i + s x log[$(t_1 + \frac{t_2}{r})$ $\frac{t_2}{T} + \frac{t_3}{T^2}$ $\frac{i_3}{T^2}$ $\times i$] Where, $V(rev)$ = Reversible Cell Voltage (V) $T=$ Temperature $(0C)$ i= Current Density (A/m2) r1, r2, s, t_1, t_2, t_3: Constants (Determined Experimentally)

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET**)** *ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538*

 Volume 12 Issue V May 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

Hydrogen Production is Computed with:

$$
n(H_2) = \frac{P(Stack)}{V(Cell) \times Z \times F}
$$

z: Electrons Transferred per H2-Molecule

F: Faraday Constant, 96485 C/mol

B. Stepwise Process for the Simulation

Create the new file with Electrolytes with Metric Units function. Feed the components in the table H2O, H2, O2, KOH. And in electrolyte wizard which is used to generate the components and reactions for electrolyte systems in quicker way. Select the H2O and KOH to form the reaction, and according to that components will automatically feed into the table. Then moving to the simulation option.

Firstly, take the RStoic from the Reactors pallet with input material stream (S1) after that the separator is connected to it with material stream (S2) giving input to the Separator. Then 2 different streams coming from separator in opposite direction (S3) and (S4). This setup is named as Stack. In (S1) select the unit sets and copy the METCBAR and paste it. After that copy the units from MET and edit it into METCBAR.

And in heat section change the units as shown in Fig.2:

In concentration section as shown in Fig.3:

(Fig.3)

Now set the specifications for S1 as follows: Temp.= 70 0C Pressure= 7 bar Total Flow Rate= 900 kg/hr And Mass-Frac composition of KOH= 0.35

Now, edit the specifications of RStoic as follows:

Pressure= 7 Bar

Duty= 9725 watt

In reactions section, create the new reaction with reactant component H2O with coefficients -1 and product component H2 and O2 with coefficient 1 and 0.5 respectively. Then put the fractional conversion value of 0.063 of component H2O. Change the name of (S3) and (S4) to (H2-OUT) and (O2-OUT) respectively. And also change (S1) to (STACL-IN) Edit the specifications of Separator as shown in Fig.4:

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET**)** *ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue V May 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com*

Specifications Feed Flash		Outlet Flash	Utility	Comments		
Outlet stream conditions						
Outlet stream H2-OUT		۰				
Substream	MIXED	٠				
O ₂		Split fraction			$\mathbf{0}$	
KOH		Split fraction			0.5	
$H+$		Split fraction			0.5	
$K +$		Split fraction			0.5	
OH-		Split fraction			0.5	

(Fig.4)

And in Feed Flash change the pressure to 6.7 bar.

Here we are done with our first part. Reference screenshot is added below for better understanding. Fig.5

From Separators section add 2 Flash in the direction of H2-OUT and O2-OUT and named as H2 Separator and O2 Separator accordingly. And connect it with the material stream. 2 streams come out from H2 Separator and O2 Separator.

Now edit the specifications of H2 Separator and O2 Separator as follows shown in fig 6:

Pressure= 6.7 bar

Duty= 0 watt

Now, add 2 more Flash at S5(stream coming out of O2 Separator) and S7(stream coming out of H2 Separator). And hide the ID of all Flash added in the flowsheet.

Those both the Flash was named as H2O TRAP. And both Flash specifications are as follows:

Temp.= 250C

Pressure= 6.7 bar

Here also 2 streams coming out from each Flash 1 named H2 and other O2 as per the direction of flow. Below is the screenshot of the work till now overview for a reference in fig 7.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET**)**

 ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue V May 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

From Pressure Changers pallete select the Pump and place it at S6 and S8. And a Heat Exchanger from Exchangers pallete at STACK-IN. Then connect a Mixer which is connected with the pumps. Below is the reference fig 8.

(Fig.8)

After that change specifications of pump as follows:

Discharge Pressure= 7 bar

Pump Efficiency= 0.7

Change the specifications of Heat Exchanger:

Temp= 700C

Pressure= 7 bar

The new stream is directly connected to the O2 Separator for Water Dificit and its specifications are as follows:

Temp= 250C

Pressure= 1 bar

And a Mass-Flow composition of H2O= 1.67 kg/hr

A new Pump is also added with this stream which is connected with the O2 Separator and its specifications are same as the last 2 pumps we added. Reference image is below fig 9.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET**)** *ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue V May 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com*

From the All Items --> Property Sets--> New. Create New Id: HHV. In the properties section select the Higher Heating Value (molar basis) at 0C, HHVML-0 physical property fig 10.

	Enter a search string	Limit
hhy		Exclud IF.
	Select Property to include	
	Property name	Alias
\Box	Higher heating value(volume basis) at 15	HHV-15
П	Higher heating value(volume basis) at 00	$HHV-0$
E	Higher heating value(molar basis) at 15C	HHVML-15
	Higher heating value(molar basis) at OC,	HHVML-0
	Higher heating value(mass basis) at 15C,	HHVMS-15
	Higher heating value(mass basis) at OC, r	HHVMS-0

⁽Fig.10)

In Report options--> Stream--> Property sets. Select the HHV. In the stream of H2O TRAP add the properties of HHV0 and HHVML0. Chemical Energy H2= HHVML0 Value*Mole flow of H2/3600 W

C. Final Flowsheet

Final Flowsheet

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET**)**

 ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue V May 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

VI. RESULT ANDDISCUSSION

A. Result

The alkaline electrolysis plant produces 4.11774 kg/hr of hydrogen per hour. This is a relatively small amount of hydrogen, but it is still a significant achievement. Alkaline electrolysis is a mature and well-established technology, and it is one of the most promising methods for large-scale hydrogen production.

B. Discussion

Alkaline electrolysis is a process that uses electricity to split water into hydrogen and oxygen. The process is carried out in an electrolytic cell, which consists of two electrodes (an anode and a cathode) that are separated by an electrolyte. The electrolyte is typically a solution of potassium hydroxide (KOH) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH).

When an electric current is applied to the cell, water molecules are split into hydrogen ions (H+) and hydroxide ions (OH-). The hydrogen ions migrate to the cathode, where they are reduced to hydrogen gas (H2). The hydroxide ions migrate to the anode, where they are oxidized to oxygen gas (O2).

Alkaline electrolysis is a relatively efficient process, with an efficiency of up to 70-80%. However, the efficiency of the process can be affected by a number of factors, including the operating temperature, the current density, and the purity of the electrolyte.

VII. CONCLUSION

Alkaline electrolysis has emerged as a highly promising method for large-scale hydrogen production, offering a mature, efficient, and scalable solution. The technology's maturity is evidenced by its long history of use and the wealth of operational data available. With high efficiency in converting electrical energy into hydrogen, alkaline electrolysis holds great potential for addressing the growing global demand for clean and sustainable hydrogen. Its scalability is a significant advantage, allowing for the adaptation of the technology to various production capacities, from small-scale applications to industrial-level hydrogen generation. This scalability aligns with the diverse needs of industries and facilitates the integration of alkaline electrolysis into existing infrastructures. Despite its considerable promise, several challenges impede the widespread adoption of alkaline electrolysis. The cost of electrocatalysts, which play a crucial role in facilitating the electrochemical reactions, is a primary concern. Developing costeffective catalysts that maintain high efficiency over extended periods is essential for enhancing the economic viability of alkaline electrolysis. Additionally, the stability of electrolytes is another critical challenge. The harsh operating conditions, such as high alkalinity and elevated temperatures, can lead to degradation of electrolyte materials, impacting the overall durability and longevity of the electrolysis system. Addressing these challenges through ongoing research and technological innovations is imperative for unlocking the full potential of alkaline electrolysis and realizing its role in the global transition to a hydrogen-based economy.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shiva Kumar, S., & Himabindu, V. (2019). Hydrogen Production by PEM Water Electrolysis A Review. Materials Science for Energy Technologies. doi:10.1016/j.mset.2019.03.002
- [2] Wang, T., Cao, X. & Jiao, L. PEM water electrolysis for hydrogen production: fundamentals, advances, and prospects. Carb Neutrality 1, 21 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43979-022-00022-8
- [3] Mohamed, A.; Ibrahem, H.; Yang, R.; Kim, K. Optimization of Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolyzer Cell Design Using Machine Learning. Energies 2022, 15, 6657. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15186657
- [4] Nasser, M., Megahed, T.F., Ookawara, S. et al. A review of water electrolysis–based systems for hydrogen production using hybrid/solar/wind energy systems. Environ Sci Pollut Res 29, 86994–87018 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-23323-y
- [5] Brian James, Whitney Colella and Jennie Moton et al. (2013) "PEM Electrolysis H2A Production Case Study Documentation", Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Fuel Cell Technologies Office
- [6] Grigoriev, S., Porembsky, V., & Fateev, V. (2006). Pure hydrogen production by PEM electrolysis for hydrogen energy. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 31(2), 171/175. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2005.04.038
- [7] Houcheng Zhang, Shanhe Su, Guoxing Lin, Jincan Chen et al. (2012) "Efficiency Calculation and Configuration Design of a PEM Electrolyzer System for Hydrogen Production," Department of Physics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, People's Republic of China
- [8] Sánchez, M., Amores, E., Abad, D., Rodríguez, L., & Clemente-Jul, C. (2019). Aspen Plus model of an alkaline electrolysis system for hydrogen production. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.12.02
- [9] Ulleberg, O. (2003). Modeling of advanced alkaline electrolyzers: a system simulation approach. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 28(1), 21–33. doi:10.1016/s0360-3199(02)00033-2
- [10] Rashid, Mamoon & Al Mesfer, Mohammed & Naseem, Hamid & Danish, Mohd. (2015). Hydrogen Production by Water Electrolysis: A Review of Alkaline Water Electrolysis, PEM Water Electrolysis and High Temperature Water Electrolysis. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology. ISSN. 2249-8958.
- [11] Guo, Y., Li, G., Zhou, J., & Liu, Y. (2019). Comparison between hydrogen production by alkaline water electrolysis and hydrogen production by PEM electrolysis. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 371, 042022. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/371/4/042022

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)