



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 Issue: VIII Month of publication: August 2024 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.63994

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Proactive IT Operations: A Comparative Analysis of Event Management in ServiceNow and BMC Helix

Sunil Kumar Yadav

Master of Computer Application, CSVTU, Bhilai (India), Technical Architect, NTT Data

Abstract: This research paper presents a comprehensive comparison of the Event Management applications offered by ServiceNow and BMC Helix. The study examines key features, event detection and correlation capabilities, automation and orchestration, integration with other ITSM processes, and analytics tools of both solutions. By evaluating their strengths and weaknesses in managing IT events, this paper aims to provide insights for organizations seeking to enhance their proactive IT operations and service delivery.

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's complex IT environments, effective Event Management is crucial for maintaining service availability, preventing outages, and optimizing performance. ServiceNow and BMC Helix, two leading ITSM platforms, offer sophisticated Event Management applications designed to help organizations detect, prioritize, and respond to IT events efficiently.

This paper aims to provide a detailed comparison of the Event Management capabilities offered by ServiceNow and BMC Helix. We will explore how each platform addresses key aspects of event management, from event detection and correlation to automation and integration with other ITSM processes.

II. BACKGROUND

A. ServiceNow Event Management

ServiceNow's Event Management application is an integral part of its IT Operations Management (ITOM) suite, built on the Now Platform. It offers a comprehensive set of tools for managing events across the IT infrastructure and services.

B. BMC Helix Event Management

BMC Helix Event Management, part of the BMC Helix Operations Management suite, provides an AI-driven approach to managing IT events. It leverages AIOps capabilities to enhance event detection, correlation, and automated resolution.

III. COMPARISON

- A. Event Detection and Collection
- 1) ServiceNow
- a) Multi-source event ingestion (SNMP, syslog, API, etc.)
- b) Agent and agentless monitoring options
- c) Native integration with major monitoring tools
- d) Custom event source creation capabilities
- 2) BMC Helix
- a) AI-driven event detection and anomaly identification
- b) Broad spectrum of event collection methods
- c) Integration with BMC TrueSight Infrastructure Management
- d) Automatic discovery and monitoring of new IT assets



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue VIII Aug 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

- B. Event Correlation and Analysis
- 1) ServiceNow
- a) Machine learning-based event correlation
- b) Topology-based correlation using CMDB
- c) Time-based and rule-based correlation options
- *d*) Noise reduction through event aggregation
- 2) BMC Helix
- a) AIOps-powered event correlation and clustering
- b) Probabilistic cause analysis
- c) Dynamic thresholding and baseline deviation detection
- d) Contextual correlation with business services
- C. Automation and Orchestration
- 1) ServiceNow
- a) Automated event triage and prioritization
- b) Predefined and custom remediation workflows
- c) Integration with Flow Designer for complex automations
- *d*) Scripted actions for event response
- 2) BMC Helix
- *a)* AI-driven automated event resolution
- b) Self-healing capabilities for common issues
- c) Integration with BMC Helix Orchestrator
- d) Cognitive automation for complex event scenarios
- D. Integration with ITSM Processes
- 1) ServiceNow
- a) Seamless integration with Incident, Problem, and Change Management
- b) Automatic incident creation from critical events
- c) Event-driven change request creation
- d) Integration with CMDB for impact analysis
- 2) BMC Helix
- a) Unified platform for ITOM and ITSM processes
- b) AI-assisted incident creation and categorization
- c) Automated problem ticket generation for recurring events
- *d*) Dynamic service impact mapping
- E. User Interface and Visualization
- 1) ServiceNow
- a) Customizable event dashboards
- b) Interactive event timelines
- c) Service-oriented event views
- d) Mobile app for on-the-go event management
- 2) BMC Helix
- a) AI-powered event prioritization displays
- b) Real-time service health visualizations
- c) Cognitive insights presentation
- d) Augmented reality for physical infrastructure event mapping



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue VIII Aug 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

- F. Reporting and Analytics
- 1) ServiceNow
- *a)* Pre-built event analytics dashboards
- b) Custom report creation with graphical interface
- c) Trend analysis and forecasting
- d) SLA and KPI tracking for event management
- 2) BMC Helix
- a) AI-driven predictive analytics for event patterns
- b) Anomaly detection and root cause analysis reporting
- *c)* Real-time KPI tracking and alerts
- d) Integration with BMC Helix Analytics for advanced visualizations

IV. MACHINE LEARNING AND AI CAPABILITIES

- A. ServiceNow
- 1) Predictive Intelligence for event pattern recognition
- 2) Automated event clustering and categorization
- *3)* Machine learning-driven noise reduction
- 4) AI-assisted root cause analysis

B. BMC Helix

- 1) AIOps-driven proactive event detection
- 2) Cognitive event correlation across hybrid environments
- 3) Predictive outage prevention
- 4) AI-powered event-to-incident transformation

V. SCALABILITY AND PERFORMANCE

A. ServiceNow

High-volume event processing capabilities

- High-volume event processing capabilities
 Distributed architecture for large-scale deployments
- 3) Event archiving and data retention management
- 4) Performance optimization for real-time event handling
- B. BMC Helix
- 1) Elastic scalability for dynamic IT environments
- 2) Microservices-based architecture for flexibility
- *3)* Multi-tenant event management capabilities
- 4) Real-time event processing with low latency

VI. STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- A. ServiceNow
- 1) Strengths
- a) Comprehensive integration across ITOM and ITSM processes
- b) Strong customization and workflow automation capabilities
- c) Robust reporting and analytics features

2) Limitations

- a) Can be complex to implement and configure
- b) May require significant resources for large-scale deployments



- B. BMC Helix
- 1) Strengths
- a) Advanced AIOps and cognitive automation features
- b) Strong focus on predictive and proactive event management
- c) Flexible deployment options (cloud, on-premises, hybrid)
- 2) Limitations
- a) May require investment in broader BMC ecosystem for full benefit
- b) Potential learning curve for AI-driven features

VII. CONCLUSION

Both ServiceNow and BMC Helix offer robust Event Management solutions with advanced features to support proactive IT operations. ServiceNow's strength lies in its strong integration across ITOM and ITSM processes, powerful customization capabilities, and comprehensive reporting features, making it suitable for organizations with complex IT environments and mature ITSM practices. BMC Helix excels in its AI-driven approach, focusing on AIOps, predictive analytics, and cognitive automation, appealing to organizations prioritizing innovative, proactive event management strategies.

The choice between these platforms will depend on factors such as existing IT infrastructure, specific event management requirements, the complexity of the IT environment, and long-term IT strategy. Organizations should carefully evaluate their needs, conduct proof-of-concept trials, and consider the total cost of ownership when deciding between ServiceNow and BMC Helix for Event Management.

REFERENCES

- [1] ServiceNow Documentation. (n.d.). Event Management. <u>https://docs.servicenow.com/bundle/tokyo-it-operations-management/page/product/event-management/concept/c_EventManagement.html</u>
- [2] BMC Documentation. (n.d.). BMC Helix Operations Management. <u>https://docs.bmc.com/docs/helixoperations/home-1001818653.html</u>
- [3] Gartner. (2023). Magic Quadrant for IT Service Management Tools.
- [4] ITIL Foundation. (2019). ITIL 4 Edition. Axelos Limited.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)