



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 10 Issue: II Month of publication: February 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2022.40264>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Comparison of Various Techniques with PSO based Power Allocation Strategy in Cooperative Wireless Networks

Mrs. Jaya Dipti Lal¹, Dr. Dolly Thankachan²

Abstract: Now a days, wireless communication using co-operative networks have attracted many attentions due to its suitability of working in different environments with acceptable performances. During the transmission, a major requirement of optimal power allocation strategy is observed and this is the major concern of many researchers. This power allocation technique requires a suitable optimization algorithm while selecting the power allocation factor for power assignment. In the paper various optimization strategies are compared with proposed particle swarm optimization technique. A cooperative communication framework is used with amplify and forward as relaying technique. The proposed algorithm using the technique is simulated and the comparison results are obtained. Simulation results when compared outperformed with other previous works.

Keywords: Cooperative networks; optimal power allocation; Amplify and forward relaying; Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO).

I. INTRODUCTION

The proposed work in our paper [1] is considered where a cooperative communication framework has been used for transmission of signals and transmission of signals among various nodes i.e. source node, relay nodes and destination node using amplify and forward relaying technique. Thus, the signals are transmitted from source to destination via various relay nodes. The whole process of transmission can be viewed in two phases i.e. broadcast phase and relaying phase. Now, the concern is the allotment of power between these two phases by using a parameter called power allocation factor under limited total power constraint. This power allocation factor 'r' has to be optimum and accordingly power going to be allotted. The r is used to obtain power to be allotted to transmitter as:

$$P_1 = P_t r \tag{1}$$

$$P_2 = P_t (1-r) \tag{2}$$

In the above equations (1) and (2), P_1 and P_2 are the power allotted to broadcast and relaying phase respectively with P_t as total available power and r as power allocation factor. Now, if $r = 0.5$ the power allocation is termed as equal power allocation (EPA) and if $r = r_{\text{optimum}}$ then power allocation is termed as optimal power allocation (OPA).

Dipti Faculty of Department Electron
¹Mrs. Jaya Lal is y of -
 Telecommunication Engineering, S.G.S.I.T.S.,
 Indore, India
 jayadiptilal@yahoo.co.in

²Dr Dolly Department Electroni
 Tha is with t of cs
 and Engineerin ,oriental Indore,
 Telecommunication g, university India
 Drdolly2709@gmail.com

II. RELATED WORKS

The advancement in the cooperative communication and increased reliability in the wireless communication pave the way for many researches in the direction of power allocation, some of them are discussed below:

Gachhadar et al. [2] proposed a genetic algorithm (GA) scheme based power allocation method in A&F cooperative relay network. In this paper various issues related to power consumption, allocation of power and relay selection are considered in a single relay network with over Rayleigh fading channel. This paper focuses on modified GA based power allotment strategy with advantages of this search technique based on evolutionary algorithm inspired from techniques related with genetics i.e. crossover, mutation, inheritance, selection etc. This modified genetic algorithm is clustering based method which works on generation of population of the fittest chromosomes updated in every iteration. Thus, for power allocation the best results are considered as chromosomes, and it depends on the fitness function, according to which these are evaluated as good or bad chromosomes. Now on solving the fitness function the solution sets are obtained and are considered as new solution sets which are created by recombination of good chromosomes and solutions which pass the test of fitness will survive to the next generation, while bad chromosomes are not placed in the solution set. This process is continued generation by generation until an optimized solution- or the termination condition is achieved.

Alexan et al. in [3] have done a comparative study on power assignment technique applied on various relaying pro-tocols which are amplify and forward (AF), adaptive decode and forward (ADF), LLR based symbol selective transmiss-ion (LLRSST), SNR & LLR based hybrid forwarding. In this paper optimized power allotment is investigated under quality of service (QoS) constraints and various comparison has been performed among those relaying protocols. The performance improvement for every relaying protocols is studied and these are compared in [3]. This paper after analysis concluded that for minimizing end-to-end (e2e) BER and ultimately provide improved QoS.

Devipriya et al. in [4] proposed a Bacterial Foraging Optimization algorithm (BFOA) for optimization of power allocation which is a technique based on the behavior of Escherichia coli bacteria in human intestine whose behavior is foraging in nature. This technique used to solve an optimization problem for optimal power allocation in BER minimization has been taken as an objective function. The performance of this BFOA algorithm has been discussed in [4] and their simulation results obtained has been analyzed.

The main contribution of the paper are as follows:

- 1) Based on the proposed approach presented in our previous paper [1] i.e. PSO based power allocation technique in cooperative communication networks this paper compared the results with other techniques used for power allocation.
- 2) The numerical results of our paper [1] are compared with others' in terms of approximate values of SER obtained from the simulation results.

Rest of the paper is mentioned as follows: Section III shows a transmission model used for transmission; Section IV gives the overview of PSO algorithm; Section V analyzes the simulation results and their comparison; At last the paper concludes with future work and references in section VI and VII respectively.

III. TRANSMISSION MODEL

The transmission model considered in this paper is based on cooperative communication protocol with single and multi-relay network is shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The transmission takes place from source node S to destination node D via N number of relay nodes R_i ; $i = 1; 2; \dots; N$ as illustrated in Figure 2.




Fig. 1. The simplified wireless communication model with single relay cooperative mode.




Fig. 2. The wireless communication system model with multi relay in cooperative mode.

In second phase i.e. relaying phase where AF relaying scheme is used so that relays amplify and forward the received data to the destination node using the power P_i . Optimal power allocation in cooperative system is the allocation of amount of power that should be given to source and relay nodes under limited available power constraint. It make the symbol error rate and outage probability to achieve optimal value.

The assumptions considered during transmission is as follows:

- 1) The transmission takes place in two phases i.e. broad-cast phase in which transmission from source to relays takes place and other is relaying phase in which relays forward data towards destination node.
- 2) BPSK modulation is used with Max-min relay selection technique. Each channel between any two nodes has independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) channel parameters.
- 3) Finding the optimal source node power allocation factor 'r' by solving nonlinear multi-objective optimization problem PSO technique is used.

IV. OVERVIEW OF PSO ALGORITHM

In this section, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) based power allocation scheme is considered for transmit power reduction. Firstly, total power is equally divided to source and relays and thus referred as Equal power allocation (EPA) technique. Allocation of power using channel state information is denoted as optimal power allocation (OPA) technique. Optimum power allocation is required for lowering SER and improving Outage probability etc.

The algorithm considered in the paper is an optimization technique based on population search technique and is termed as PSO algorithm which has got the inspiration by the behavior of flocks of bird and fish schools. It is a computational technique used in nonlinear function for optimization. It provides fast convergence to optimum solution. In the work it is used as an optimization technique which solves for a number of possible solutions based on particle search and gives an optimum or best solution followed by number of iterations. At each iteration the values are updated and all the particles are moving towards optimal value. At the termination of iterations the last updated value is considered as best solution.

The Fig. 3 depicts the flowchart of PSO algorithm.

V. SIMULATION ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON

In our paper [1], the system model using BPSK modulation with AWGN and Rayleigh fading channel is considered and simulated in MATLAB software and the SER and outage performance using PSO power allocation is depicted by Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 respectively.

By using PSO algorithm, the SER is used as its fitness function for obtaining optimum solution. In this fitness function allocated powers are given in equation (1) and (2) where r is power allocation factor. Fig. 6 shows the convergence of PSO algorithm where its comparison has been done with equal power allocation technique (EPA) and their performances are tabulated in table I [1].

From the convergence plot shown in Fig. 6 and table I, it has been analyzed that the optimal point is obtained by using PSO 0.5663. Then the factor $r= 0:5663$ which shows the amount of power allotted amongst the two phases. The power




Fig. 3. Flowchart of PSO algorithm for minimizing SER.




Fig. 4. Performance curves of OPA in terms of SER against SNR using PSO [1].




Fig. 5. Performance curves of OPA Outage Probability against SNR using PSO [1].

values is obtained as $P_s = 0.5663P$ and $P_r = 0.4337P$. Now in table I and Fig 6 the system performance based on power allocation is compared between PSO based and equal power allocation and it has been observed that, the proposed PSO based allotment of power achieved better SER performance than equal power assignment at constant SNR with low complexity.




Fig. 6. Results obtained using equal and pso power allocation in AF relay models are compared

Table I
Performance Comparison Table of EPA AND OPA-PSO [1]

| Power Allocation | EPA | | PSO | |
|------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Outage SER | Throughput (Bits) | Outage SER | Throughput (Bits) |
| 0dB | 0.9600 | 0.2034 | 0.9400 | 0.1749 |
| 3dB | 0.9200 | 0.1271 | 0.9100 | 0.1085 |
| 6dB | 0.8300 | 0.0677 | 0.8300 | 0.0520 |
| 9dB | 0.5800 | 0.0247 | 0.4100 | 0.0198 |
| 12dB | 0 | 0.0093 | 0 | 0.0059 |
| 15dB | 0 | 0.0023 | 0 | 0.0010 |
| 18dB | 0 | 7.0313×10^{-4} | 0 | 3.9063×10^{-5} |
| 21dB | 0 | 1.1719×10^{-4} | 0 | 0 |
| 24dB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27dB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30dB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In table II a comparison has been presented among Mod-ified GA technique and ABeeC technique shown in Fig.3 of [2], where QPSK modulation has been used and its is compared with proposed PSO based PA technique in [1] with results obtained using this technique in QPSK modulation scheme shown in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7. Performance curves of OPA BER against SNR using PSO in QPSK modulation.

In table III the comparison of different power allocation techniques are carried out and on comparing proposed PSO based power allocation technique with the technique presented in [3] i.e. hybrid LLR relaying protocol based power allocation technique for BPSK modulation, it can be

Table II
Performance Comparison Table of Various Techniques AND Proposed PSO WITH M=4

| SNR | 0dB | 5dB | 10dB | 15dB | 18dB | 20dB |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| PA Type | SER | SER | SER | SER | SER | SER |
| Proposed | | | | | | |
| PSO [1] | $10^{-0.6}$ | $10^{-0.9}$ | $10^{-1.6}$ | $10^{-2.7}$ | $10^{-3.8}$ | - |
| Modified | | | | | | |
| GA [2] | $10^{-0.5}$ | $10^{-0.5}$ | $10^{-0.9}$ | $10^{-2.6}$ | $10^{-3.8}$ | $10^{-4.9}$ |
| ABeeC in [2] | $10^{-0.5}$ | $10^{-0.5}$ | $10^{-0.8}$ | 10^{-2} | $10^{-3.7}$ | $10^{-4.5}$ |

observed that Fig. 6 in [3] is not yet converged at 20dB while in proposed PSO technique presented in this paper Fig. 6 the output converged at 18dB with SER around $10^{-3.8}$. Also their detailed comparison is tabulated under table III.

From table III, it can be said that SER performance of proposed method gives comparable results with LLR relaying protocol based PA method in [3].

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the wireless communication system using cooperative protocol for transmission is considered under amplify-and-forward protocol on Rayleigh fading channel. The results of our previous work [1] are taken in which technique for optimal power allotment based on PSO algorithm is considered for cooperation in a cooperative Wireless networks and compared with other recent techniques used for this. The simulation results of these are compared their analysis has been discussed. From the analysis of simulation results, it has been observed that the overall system performance improves and comparable in terms of lowered SER (symbol error rate) when PSO based power allocation is used.

VII. FUTURE WORK

The scope of future work is better analysis and comparison is present in this paper can be used for further related works.

Table III
SER Versus SNR Comparison for PSO and Hybrid LLR Based PA [3] WITH M=2

| Power Allocation (PA) | 5dB (SNR) | 10dB (SNR) | 15dB (SNR) | 20dB (SNR) | 25dB (SNR) | 27dB (SNR) | 30dB (SNR) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------|
| PSO PA (SER) | $10^{-1.4}$ | 10^{-2} | 10^{-3} | $10^{-4.3}$ | $10^{-5.8}$ | $10^{-6.3}$ | - |
| Hybrid-LLR [3] (SER) | 2.04156×10^{-3} | 2.04156×10^{-3} | 1.97093×10^{-4} | 1.85586×10^{-5} | 1.75549×10^{-6} | - | - |
| BFOA [4] (SER) | 10^{-1} | $10^{-1.7}$ | $10^{-2.2}$ | 10^{-3} | $10^{-3.9}$ | $10^{-4.6}$ | 10^{-5} |

This paper helps in selection of optimization algorithm for efficiency enhancement using a multi objective algorithm. Discussion present in this paper can be used for improvising the system performance and future innovations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nikita N Bharadwaj, Jaya Dipti Lal, S.V. Charhate, "Optimal Power Allocation Using PSO In Cooperative Wireless Networks", International Conference On Inventive Research In Computing Applications (ICIRCA 2018), IEEE Xplore, July 2018.
- [2] Gachhadar, Anand, et al. "Modified genetic algorithm based power allocation scheme for amplify-and-forward cooperative relay network." Computers & Electrical Engineering (2018).
- [3] Alexan, Wassim, and Saleh Megahed. "A comparative study on power allocation for cooperative systems over Rayleigh fading channels." Innovative Trends in Computer Engineering (ITCE), 2018 International Conference on. IEEE, 2018.
- [4] Devipriya, S., and N. Venkateswaran. "Optimization of Power Allocation in Relay based Cooperative Communication using Amplify and Forward Protocol."
- [5] Li, Shuai, Kun Yang, Mingxin Zhou, Jianjun Wu, Lingyang Song, Yonghui Li, and Hongbin Li. "Full-duplex amplify-and-forward relaying: Power and location optimization." IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology 66, no. 9 (2017): 8458-8468.
- [6] E. Koyuncu, Y. Jing, and H. Jafarkhani, Beamforming in wireless relay networks with quantized feedback," IEEE J. Selected Areas Commun., vol. 26, pp. 1429-1439, Oct. 2008.
- [7] J Y. Zhao, R. Adve, and T. J. Lim, Symbol error rate of selection amplify-and-forward relay systems," IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 10, pp. 757-759, Nov. 2006.
- [8] A. Ribeiro, X. Cai, and G. B. Giannakis, Symbol error probabilities for general cooperative links," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 4, pp. 1264-1273, May 2005.
- [9] Krikidis, Ioannis, et al. "Max-min relay selection for legacy amplify-and-forward systems with interference." IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications 8.6 (2009).
- [10] Phuyal U, Jha S C, Bhargava V K. Joint zero-forcing based precoding design for QoS-aware power allocation in MIMO cooperative cellular network [J]. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, 2012, 30(2):350-358.
- [11] WU Z, YANG HB. Method for Amplify-and-Forward Cooperative Communication Systems with Multiple Relays [J]. JOURNAL OF SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, 2012, (01):20-25.
- [12] Wang D, Li ZQ. Joint optimal subcarrier and power allocation for wireless cooperative networks over OFDM fading channels [J]. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 2012, 61(1):249-257.
- [13] XIAO HL, WANG P. Power Allocation Scheme Based on System Capacity Maximization for Multi-Base Station Cooperative Communication [J]. Journal of Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, 2013, (06):93-97.
- [14] WU Z, YANG HB. Power allocation of cooperative amplify and forward communication with multiple relays [J]. Journal of China Universities of Posts and Telecommunications, 2011, 18(4):65-69.
- [15] Bai, Qinghai. "Analysis of particle swarm optimization algorithm." Computer and information science 3.1 (2010): 180.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)