



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 Issue: X Month of publication: October 2024
DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.64778

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



# Site Suitability Analysis for Farmlands in Agro-Climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh using Geo-Spatial Techniques

### Sukanya De

M.Sc. Geoinformatics Symbiosis Institute of Geoinformatics Symbiosis International (Deemed University)Pune

#### Preface

The most significant development in interpreting thematic maps and improving the finding analysis was due to the site suitability of agricultural lands. Satellite imagery has helped with different parameters considering elevation, slope, and rainfall, soil Ph, distance from rivers, roads, and land surface temperature, resulting in suitable landscapes. Studies have been done to see how the parameters influenced agricultural lands and helped in better land management. The analysis was performed with satellite imagery, divided and analysed according to parameters chosen for understanding. Weighted overlay analysis and Analytic hierarchical process have been covered to give each factor a proper weightage to choose suitable agricultural areas. It helpsto manage land use practices.

Abstract: The Anantapur region has moderately healthy vegetation, which produces impressive biodiversity, climate variations, and a variety of wildlife and flora. As a result, the southern areas have a lot of room for agricultural growth to support rural economies. The Northern part's potential for agriculture in this region has been limited by several physical obstacles, including ahigh slope and elevation, built-up area, a lack of irrigation infrastructure, dry soil, poor rainfall, and socio-economic problems. Planning the expansion of agricultural output can benefit from researching a location's suitability for a particular purpose. Using a GIS-based multi-criteria decision-making technique based on DEM and Landsat 8 satellite data, AHP will be utilised to evaluate site suitability for agricultural production in unused areas. A pairwise comparison matrix will be used to set the weights. It will help to determine whether hilly terrain is suitable for farming using the study's techniques, approaches, and findings.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Climate change is a significant issue in the twenty-first century, affecting agricultural productivity and food security in many parts of the globe. Using agricultural land for a more extended period, regardless of land appropriateness, is bound to do more harm than good. As a result, it is necessary to analyze the site's suitability for long-term crop production with minimalenvironmental impact. Land suitability evaluation is a method of determining land resources' underlying and potential capabilities and their suitability for various goals. Land suitability studies recommend potential crops that could be produced in a specific soil unit to maximize agricultural yield per unit of land, labour, and inputs. Farmers, on the other hand, frequently plant a variety of crops without giving any thought to soil compatibility (Ramamurthy et al., 2020). To achieve agricultural self-sufficiency, developing countries must assess the suitability of their land for various farm types and develop product-based evaluation (Dedeoğlu&Dengiz, 2019). One of the challenges to policymakers is creating agricultural area diversification and crop intensification plans for farmers in areas where there is a lack of knowledge on the spatial distribution of soil property and soil site appropriateness for growing location-specific crops. Inrecent years, modern geographic information systems (GIS) that are moduled with a wide range of geostatistical tools have provided innovative dimensions to handle site suitability analysis challenges. The GIS interface provides mathematical choices for assigning weights to the criterion (rating, pair-wise comparison), overlay analysis (Logical or Boolean, Weighted and Fuzzy), and comparing hierarchical analytic process (AHP) for decision-makers. Even though GIS techniques make it possible to compile, store, edit, and analyze thematic layers of geo- referenced spatial information, they also provide more advanced model-building tools for suitability analysis (Mandal et al., 2020). Organic farming is a system developed and maintained to generate agricultural products using methods and substances that retain the integrity of organic farm products until they reach the consumer. Using an ecological production management system, this farming practice supports and enhances biodiversity, biological cycles, and soil biological activity.



It is based on using as few off-farm inputs as possible and management approaches that restore maintain and improve ecological integrity (Jadhav, 2010). Agriculture in Andhra Pradesh is the mainstay of livelihood for over 60% of the population. The state's organic farming policy is a declaration of purpose tocreate, support, and improve organic farm production's integrated value chains, which will include end-to-end solutions for both primary farmers and consumers.

### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Global change of climate is a significant issue in the twenty-first century, affecting agriculture productivity and security of food in many parts of the globe (IPCC, 2007). To address this unavoidable dilemma, many developing countries have recently prioritized optimal land resource utilization and food security as priority agricultural strategies (FAO and TIPS, 2015). Human existence and development are dependent on agricultural output. With a growing global population and dwindling cultivable land, efficient production requires logical land management and planning. Climate change worsens the problem of producing enough wholesome food products to feed an expanding population by deteriorating land and water supplies (Seyedmohammadi et al., 2019).

Agriculture, industry, and services are the three main sectors that provide goods and services necessary for economic and sociocultural growth.

Among these spheres of operation, the agricultural sector occupies a unique position and importance, particularly regarding human sustenance and survival (Orhan, 2021). It provides human and livestock populations with 'food' and 'fodder.' Agriculture has a multifaceted role in improving rural India's socioeconomic position. Agricultural production in India increased dramatically during the 'Green Revolution.' However, due to a lack of expertise and the widespread use of 'fertilizers' and 'pesticides,' soil productivity is deteriorating, and soil erosion is a typical occurrence in Indian agriculture. Agricultural changes have been implemented over time to avoid such problems, and organic farming is seen as a lifesaver in the agricultural sector (Saha et al., 2020). Different climatic, topological, and geophysical constraints must be considered when identifying ideal places for organic farming or agriculture. For assessing environmental risks, accurate and recent land use/land cover (LULC) and other geophysical data should be evaluated (Mishra et al., 2015).

It isnecessary to conduct scientific land evaluations to limit human impact on natural resources and define appropriate land use. This study enables decision-makers to identify the significant constraints to agricultural production and devise crop management strategies to boost landproductivity (Abdelrahman et al., 2016). Using agricultural land for a more extended period, regardless of land appropriateness, is bound to cause more harm than good (FAO, 1976). As a result, it is necessary to analyze the site's suitability for long-term crop production with minimal environmental impact. Land suitability evaluation is a method of determining the intrinsic and potential capabilities of land resources and their suitability for various goals(Ramamurthy et al., 2020). The implementation of Land assessment's primary purpose is to establish the optimal land use for each specific land unit while simultaneously strengthening environmental resource protection for future usage (Hossein et al., 2021).

In the last 20 years (till 2020), this district in Andhra Pradesh has seen 18 droughts, making it a living illustration of creeping desertification and widespread migration (TOI). Organic farming offers much promise for preserving climatic and environmental conditions in the agro-climatic zone.

It is an environment friendly source who does not use synthetic fertilizers or pesticides from outside. Environmentally and environmentally friendly farming requires inputs susceptible to various climatic, topological, and geophysical restrictions (Mangan et al., 2022). Organic farming adoption in the state will increase the rural economy and promote rural tourism and farmer diversification, preventing people from leaving the region (Mishra et al., 2015).

To evaluate and understand the linked ecological, environmental, and geographical information, an effective decision support system is required for agricultural land suitability study. GIS and geographic analytic tools and techniques are integrated with Multi-Criteria Choice Analysis (MCDA) approaches to produce a better spatial decision by combining many criteria from various spatial data sources (Kazemi& Akinci, 2018). The AHP-matter element GIS-based procedure integrates expert knowledge, combines qualitative and quantitative information, and provides an excellent framework for managing data and knowledge capture, storage, synthesis, measurement, and analysis, all of which are critical for the land suitability assessment process. Information about the spatiotemporal variations of these resources and the factors that support them is required for optimal agricultural management and planning processes at the farm, local, and regional levels. To meet the primary goal, land suitability assessment allows decision-makers to consider land for other crops, thereby increasing production (Seyedmohammadi et al., 2019).



| Serialno. | Location                             | Focus  | Methodology   | Inference  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 1         | (Seyedmoha<br>mmadi et al.,<br>2019) | Geospatial technique<br>for computing soil<br>and topography<br>properties for barley<br>growth    | Information on soil was obtained from<br>analyses of soilprofiles as well as<br>details fromprocessed satellites and<br>Google Earth pictures. A land unit's<br>map was made by superimposing a<br>polygonal slope map over a map of soil<br>categorization units (mapping units).  | It significantly impacts<br>the availability of<br>nutrients, plant<br>development, and<br>production.<br>According to PH, apiece<br>of land is suitable for<br>growing a certain crop,<br>having accessto nutrients,<br>and being phytotoxic. |
| 2         | (Sarkar et al.,<br>2022)             | Geo statistical<br>technique for<br>Constructing<br>suitability maps                               | Weighted Linear CombinationMethod<br>(WLCM),based on a correlation matrix   | For soil fertility status<br>analysis of the study<br>block, theWLC method<br>has been used.   |
| 3         | (Ahmed etal.,<br>2016)               | Geo statistical<br>Analysis for thesite<br>suitability   | The weighted overlay is a technique for<br>creating an integrated analysis by<br>applying a common scale of values to<br>diverse and dissimilar input data. All of<br>the criteria maps were overlaid with a<br>suitability index after the criteria were<br>weighed in terms of their importance<br>for the land suitability analysis. | To have better data<br>visualization and proper<br>understanding of<br>distribution of suitable<br>land.   |
| 4         | (Akinci et al.,<br>2013)             | Geo spatialanalysis<br>forland-use capability  | Soils are classified into eightclasses in<br>the preparation of LUCCs (USDA,<br>2008) based<br>on the constraints they impose on the<br>agricultural products to be grown on<br>them.   | The capability class<br>(LUCC) of a given soil<br>indicates its suitability for<br>the cultivation of a wide<br>range of crops, except<br>those that require special<br>management.  |
| 5         | (Weerakoon,2014)                     | Geo statistical<br>analysis for<br>Relationship Among<br>Agricultural Types<br>and Driving Factors | Spatial sample has been developed<br>and the most influential driving factors<br>fordifferent agriculture practiceshave<br>been evaluated using a regression<br>model.  | It indicates that urban<br>agriculture practices are<br>primarily determined by<br>land uses in the urban<br>environment, and natural<br>factors have no bearing<br>onurban agriculture<br>practices.  |



| 6 | (Karimi etal.,<br>2018)      | Geospatial analysis<br>for soilcharacteristics<br>and qualities                   | In the soil survey data of Duplin<br>County, drainage has been classified<br>into four general classes: well-drained,<br>moderately well-drained, poorly-<br>drained and very poorly-drained   | It indicates the depth that<br>can be effectively used by<br>plant roots, and it is an<br>important criterion that<br>also influences the<br>hydrologic and erosional<br>properties of soils.  |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 7 | (Orhan, 2021)                | Geo spatial analysis<br>for Meteorological<br>factors                             | This climate data collection includes<br>monthly climatic data for<br>precipitation, vapourpressure, solar<br>radiation, wind speed, and temperature<br>(minimum, maximum, and<br>average).When looking at prior studies<br>on citrus in the literature, it is clear<br>that data from meteorological stations<br>was employed. These data were used<br>to generate geostatistical maps that<br>depicted the region's precipitation and<br>temperatureparameters. The data from<br>these stations were mapped using the<br>Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW)<br>interpolation method and included in<br>the AHP analysis | For efficient and high-<br>quality citrusagriculture,<br>minimum and maximum<br>temperature values,<br>temperature values<br>throughout the flowering<br>season, and precipitation<br>are crucial.                                       |
| 8 | (Yalew et al.,2016)          | Geo Statistical<br>analysis for the<br>Calculation of weight<br>for criteria maps | An AHP plugin tool for the ArcGIS<br>environment was used to compute<br>weights for the different criteria<br>layers. Using the pair-wise comparison<br>matrix, the analytic hierarchy process<br>calculates comparative weights for<br>individual criterion layers.   | Analyzing complex<br>decisions by dividing<br>them downinto two-by-<br>two pairwisepossibilitie<br>s. It implies breaking<br>down large, intangible<br>choice problems into<br>smaller sub- problems<br>that canbe compared in<br>pairs. |
| 9 | (Ramamurthy et<br>al., 2020) | Geospatial analysis<br>for theterrain<br>parameters                               | Slope analysis has been done   | For Identificationof land<br>suitability assessment<br>parameters  |



| _  |   |                            |  |   |   |
|----|---|----------------------------|--|---|---|
| 1  | 0 | (Abdellatif etal., 2021)   | An Approach Based<br>on GIS for<br>QuantitativeSoil<br>Quality and<br>Sustainable<br>Agriculture   | Using a sentential two image of the<br>research region, the NDVI was<br>determined by subtracting the values of<br>the Red (R) band from the Near-<br>infrared (NIR) band using theraster<br>calculator tool in Snap and then<br>dividing by the total of the R and NIR<br>bands by theEquation.                      | The maximum NDVI<br>readingsrepresent the<br>highest level of<br>agriculture.   |
| 1  | 1 | (Mohammedet al.,<br>2022)  | Assessment ofsoil<br>micronutrient level   | Inverse distance weighting (IDW)<br>interpolation algorithm   | Spatial distribution of soil<br>micronutrient level (Cu,<br>Fe, Mn, Zn, B)  |
| 1  | 2 | (Khan & Das,<br>2021)      | Geospatial Analysis<br>forSoil Bearing<br>Capacity   | The interpolation technique of ArcGIS geo statistical analystsoftware is used   | To determine the bearing capacity foreach location  |
| 1: | 3 | (Ustaoglu etal.,<br>2021)  | Geostatistical study<br>for peri-urban<br>geography to identify<br>productive<br>agricultural land | A fuzzy set is described as "a class of<br>objects with a continuum of<br>membership grades," where<br>membership isa function that awards<br>each object a grade ranging from zero<br>to one (i.e. [0,1]) to signify the extent<br>to which anentity belongs to a certain<br>class.                                  | It displays the fuzzy<br>membershipfunctions<br>with lower and upper<br>bounds for each criterion<br>employedin the study.                                |
| 1  | 4 | (Kazemi & Akinci,<br>2018) | Geospatial analysis<br>for Rain fed landuse<br>suitability   | According to the FAO's 1976land<br>suitability classification, a rainfed land<br>suitability mapwas created and divided<br>into five equal classes, including highly<br>suitable (S1), moderately suitable (S2),<br>marginally suitable (S3), currently<br>unsuitable (NS1), and permanently<br>unsuited (NS2) (NS2). | A model was created to<br>match the characteristics<br>of the land in Golestan<br>Provincewith the<br>environmental<br>requirements of rainfed<br>farming |

### III. STUDY AREA

### A. General Remarks

Southeast India is where the state of Andhra Pradesh is situated. Tamil Nadu forms its southern border, followed by Karnataka's southwest and western borders, Telangana northwest and northern borders, and Odisha's northeastern border. A 600-mile coastline along the Bay of Bengal forms the eastern boundary.



Agriculture is the state's primary source of income, but it also contains some mining and a large amount of industry.

The state is divided into three physiographic divisions they are the coastal plain to the east, which stretches from the Bay of Bengal to the mountain ranges; the Eastern Ghats, which constitute the western side of the coastal plain; and the plateau to the west of the Ghats in the southwest. The coastal plain, which stretches nearly the whole length of the state, is irrigated byvarious rivers that flow from west to east through the hills and into the bay. The core part of theplains, a region of lush alluvial land, is made up of deltas formed by the most important of thoserivers—the Godavari and the Krishna

#### B. Study Area

Anantapuramu is one of the eight districts that constitute the Rayalaseema area of Andhra Pradesh, India. Anantapur city is home to the district headquarters. It is one of South India's driest regions. According to the Indian census 2011, it is the state's largest district by area and theeighth-most populous district, with a population of 4,083,315.

It is Andhra Pradesh's largest district and India's seventh-largest, covering 19,130 square kilometres, roughly the same size as Japan's Shikoku Island. Kurnool District borders it on the north, Kadapa District on the east, Chittoor District on the southeast, and Karnataka state on thesouthwest and west. The northern and centre sections consist of a high plateau that is often undulating, with massive granite rocks or low hill ranges rising above the surface on occasion. The topography of the district is hillier in the south, with a plateau rising to 2,000 feet (610 meters) above sea level.



3.1 Fig. Map of study Area

#### C. Terrain

The district of Anantapur has a fair elevation, which gives the district a good climate all year long. It gradually descends from the south to the north, heading for the Pennar Valley in the Peddavadugur, Peddapappur, and Tadipatri areas. The Hindupur, Parigi, Lepakshi, Chilamathur, Agali, Rolla, and Madakasira areas in the south gradually ascend to join the Karnataka Plateau, which has an average elevation of roughly 2000 feet above mean sea level. Anantapur has a height of approximately 1100 feet, and Tadipatri has the lowest elevation of 900 feet.

Due to the peninsula's location, agricultural conditions there are frequently unstable. This area is also avoided by the monsoon because of its terrible location. It is separated from the East coast by the high Western Ghats, the South Atlantic Ocean, and the North East Monsoon, so it does not benefit entirely from such events.



### D. Soil and Geological characteristics

The topography of the study region is undulating. The soil, composed of clay minerals, goes down 10 metres below the land's surface. The Anantapur District's geological formations can be roughly divided into two different and well-delineated groups: an older group of metamorphic rocks from the Archaean age and a younger group of sedimentary rocks from the Proterozoic period (GSI, 2001). The latter include the Tadipatri, Putlur, eastern Gooty, and Narpala regions. The remaining areas are covered in Archaean rocks such as schists, gneisses, Migmatites, newer granites, pegmatites, quartz veins, and fundamental dykes. The Archaean rocks have undergone many tectonic disturbances, which have caused metamorphism and recrystallization in the rocks.

### E. Drainage

The Pennar River is the most significant of the rivers flowing through the area. It originated in the Nandi Hills in the Indian state of Karnataka. It travels through the Parigi, Roddam, Ramagiri, Kambadur, Kalyandurg, Beluguppa, Uravakonda, Vajrakarur, Pamidi, Peddavadugur, Peddapappur, and Tadipatri areas before entering the Cuddapah District. It first enters the Anantapur district from the far southern part of the Hindupur area. The Karnataka-born Jayamangala River enters the district through the Parigi area and merges with the Pennar River in Sangameswarampalli of the Parigi area. The Chitravathi, which also has its source in Karnataka, is a significant river in the area. This river travels north over the stony, mountainous highland before entering the Pennar River at Gandikota in the Cuddapah District. It enters the district close to Kodikonda hamlet of Chilamathur Mandal. Through the Gummagatta, Brahmasamudram, Beluguppa, Kanekal, and D. Hirehal Mandals and into the Bellary District of Karnataka State, the Vedavathi River runs. On this river, the Bhairavanithippa project has been built. In addition to these streams, others in the district include Kushavathi in Chilamathur Mandal, Swarnamukhi in Agali Mandal, Maddiler in Nallamada, Kadiri, and Mudigubba Mandals, Pandameru in Kanaganipalli, Raptadu Anantapur B.K.Samudram, and Singanamala Mandals, and Papagni in Tanakal Mandal.

### F. Climate

Due to its distance from the East Coast and the Western Ghats mountain range, which blocks theSouth West Monsoon from reaching these dry soils, it does not fully benefit from the North EastMonsoons. As a result, it can be seen that the area frequently experiences droughts and a lack ofmonsoon rains. The district receives the least amount of rainfall compared to Rayalaseema and other areas of Andhra Pradesh, with an average rainfall of 553.0 MMS. The South West Monsoon season typically receives 338.0 mm of rain, i.e., 61.2% of total precipitation. Only 156.0 mm of the year's total rainfall, or 28.3%, comes from the North East monsoon period (October to December). The remaining months are nearly dry. The average daily maximum temperature in March, April, and May is warm, ranging from 29.1 C to 40.3 C. Due to their highelevation, the Mandals of Hindupur, Parigi, Lepakshi, Chilamathur, Agali, Rolla, and Madakasira experience colder temperatures during the cooler months of November, December, and January, when the temperature drops to about 15.7 C.

### G. Population

According to the census 2011, the District has a population of 4081148. Compared to the state's 308, the District has a lower population density of 213 people per square kilometre. According to the 2011 Census, 72% and 28% of the District's population was rural, compared to 75% and 25% in the 2001 Census. In the 2011 Census, there were 977 more females than males. According to the 2011 census, 49.89% of workers in the District and 25% work in the agricultural industry. There are 929 inhabited villages out of 964 total revenue villages.

#### H. Vegetation

### 1) Plantation of agriculture

These regions have been planted with agricultural tree crops using agricultural management methods. These also include land use systems and practices where the cultivation of herbs, shrubs, and vegetable crops is purposefully integrated with crops, primarily in irrigated conditions, for ecological and economic reasons. These include, for instance, permanent commercial crops like coffee, mulberry, tea, and rubber. Which are typically grown in hilly regions and are closely associated with forest cover; plantations of berry shrubs, such as raspberry, gooseberry, and other types of berry crops; the aerial extent of this category in the Anantapuramu District is 76.24 square kilometres or 0.40% of the district's overall land area.



<sup>2)</sup> Forest

The total aerial extent of this category in the Anantapuramu District is 1802.42 sq km or 9.42% of the District's general geographic area. There are not many forests in the District. In the Anantapur District, the word "forest" does not connote a dense tree population or thick vegetation of varied pastures. The District's woodlands are few and thin.

#### Ι. **Objectives**

The study aims at following major objectives

- 1) Identifying how different natural factors affecting the agriculture.
- Assessing the suitability criteria using AHP. 2)
- 3) Creating suitability maps to analyze decision support systems.
- To help in enhancement of rural economy, by the agricultural site suitability study. 4)

#### IV. DATABASE AND METHODOLOGY

### A. Data source

The following maps for the best allocation of suitable agricultural sites were generated using theArc Map 10.8 version, ArcGIS Pro and QGIS software:

| Serial No. | Parameters                               | Database         | Data Type          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|--|------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| I          | Normalize<br>Difference Vegetation Inde: | Landsat8<br>x    | Spectral           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2          | Normalize<br>Difference MoistureIndex    | Landsat8         | Spectral           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3          | Elevation                                | SRTM             | DEM                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4          | Slope                                    | SRTM             | Generating DEMdata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5          | Aspect                                   | SRTM             | Generating DEMdata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5          | Rainfall                                 | CHRS             | Raster             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7          | LULC                                     | Landsat8         | LULC               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3          | Soil Data                                | ISRIC            | Raster             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| )          | Distance from rivers                     | Open street maps | Raster             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10         | Distance from Roads                      | Open street maps | raster             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11         | LST                                      | MODIS            | RASTER             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.1. Datasets utilized for different parameters

The datasets that have been utilized for the study after consideration of the different parameters are described below

### 1) Landsat8

The landsat8 data has been used for different parameters. Landsat-8 was launched in February 2013. (LDCM). This cooperative effort, run by the United States Geological Survey (USGS), aims to gather and store thermal and multispectral image data while maintaining consistency with earlier Landsat mission data. A Thermal Infrared Sensor instrument (TIRS) and Operational Land Imager (OLI) on Landsat-8 replace the Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) sensor on the previous satellite (Landsat-7). The multispectral, moderate-resolution OLI instrument wascreated by Ball Aerospace Technology Corporation (BATC). There are nine spectral bands in OLI, including five in the visible and near-infrared spectrum (NVIR), three in the short-wave infrared spectrum (SWIR), and one panchromatic image (PAN) band for picture sharpening.

These bands cover a spectral range from 433 to 2300 nm.



### 2) MYD11A1.061 Aqua Land Surface Temperature and Emissivity DailyGlobal 1km

Dataset has been used to obtain the land surface temperature information for the study region. It is a level 3 product of the MODIS LST, having a spatial resolution of approximately 1 km. It is derived from the MYD11\_L2 swath product. As the latitude increases and goes above 300, the sensor may record multiple observations in some pixels. The problem is corrected by determining the value of a single pixel by averaging the multiple observations. The sensor is provided with bands for recording daytime and nigh time surface temperature, and bands 31 and 32 of MODIS act as quality indicators along with six observation layers.

### 3) Openstreet map

Steve Coast created the OpenStreetMap (OSM) in 2004 to construct a geographic database that can be edited and used by users around the globe free of cost. The concept of OSM is based oncrowd sourcing in which the registered users can add data they have collected using different methods to the OSM database. Such a facility has led to the creation of a robust database that people can access for their projects, the development of paper and electronic maps, geocoding addresses and other places.

Geofabrik is a company that provides software development services. It involves extracting the information from OSM and converting it to shapefile format (.shp) for convenient usage of the available datasets. For the present study, layers of roads and settlement areas were downloadedfrom the website of Geofabrik as line and polygon features, respectively.

### 4) Flow Diagram

The current study used multi-criterion site suitability modeling to determine appropriate and viable agricultural development places based on a set of limitations and criteria. Ten different limitations and criteria were chosen based on their significance and value in agriculture. The maximum limitation technique, which incorporates soil PH, elevation, slope, Rainfall, Land surface temperature, distance from rivers distance from roads, NDVI and NDMI was used to identify several parameters influencing agricultural product yield. Furthermore, weights for each specified criterion were computed using the analytical hierarchy approach, and the suitability map was created using the weighted overlay method. The methodological flow diagram of the present study is shown below (Pramanik, 2016).



Figure 4.1: Flowchart of the methodology followed for the generation of final site-suitability map



### B. Methodology

In the study to map suitability zones in Anantapur of Andhra Pradesh, the majority of the datasets associated with the different parameters have been retrieved and computed using the USGS portal, which was then analyzed further in the ArcGIS software. Google earth engine has been used, which is a cloud-based platform which is used by scientists and other organizations around the globe for performing geospatial analysis. Soil data has been collected from World soil information. Distance from roads and settlement layers have been downloaded from the Geofabrik website in vector format. Details of each layer procured for the study are given below:

1) NDVI\_max: The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) acts as an indicator determine the photosynthetic activity of vegetation of a region. In short, it assesses the overallhealth of vegetation. The NDVI is calculated by taking the red band (red) and near-infrared band(NIR) into consideration since the chlorophyll pigment of healthy vegetation usually absorbs most of the red light and reflects more near-infrared light. Hence, the index is calculated by comparing the amount of red light absorbed and near-infrared light reflected. The formula is:

NDVI = (NIR-Red) / (NIR+Red).

NDVI calculations for a given pixel always in a number that ranges from -1 to +1. Negative values indicate water surfaces, rocks, snow etc. A value between 0.1-0.2 corresponds to bare soil, 0.2-0.5 indicates sparse vegetation and healthy vegetation is indicated by values above 0.5. The table depicts the NDVI value range along with their description.

| NDVI Vaule Range | Vegetation Health |  |
|------------------|-------------------|--|
| -1 to 0.1        | Water bodies      |  |
| 1 to 0.2         | Bare surfaces     |  |
| 0.2 to 0.5       | Moderate          |  |
| >0.5             | Healthy           |  |

Table 4.2: NDVI value range and their implications

The NDVI\_max can be defined as the best health status that can be achieved by vegetation during a single growing season. For the study, NDVI\_max has been generated using the ArcGISsoftware. For the analysis, the data range has been taken for 2021.

2) NDMI (moisture): The layer has been generated using ArcGIS Software. Normalized Moisture Index is used to determine the moisture content in the vegetation. The SWIR band is capable of detecting the moisture level in the mesophyll tissue, whereas the NIR band can determine the dry matter content in the leaves. The index is therefore computed by utilizing thereflectance of near-infrared (NIR) and short-wave infrared (SWIR) and is given by the formula:

NDMI = (NIR - SWIR) / (NIR + SWIR)

Similar to NDVI, this index also generates values in the range of +1 to -1. The negative values indicate low moisture content or water-stressed vegetation, whereas values close to 1 represent water surfaces. Vegetation having low moisture content will be more susceptible to ignition due to drier conditions. For the NDMI analysis, the data range of 2021 has been taken to visualize thestatus of moisture concentration in vegetation for the time period.

### 3) Elevation

The DEM proved helpful for this research in identifying different landforms and describing theterrain. Although extensive mapping information was not ideal due to the data being expressed on a 36x36m grid, it is believed to be a crucial first step in guiding investigations at other farmlocations.

### 4) Slope

The slope and aspect map has been derived from the DEM data analysis.

The slope layer has been generated in the ArcGIS from the elevation map using the 'slope' tool under the 'spatial analyst' tool. It is another parameter that significantly contributes to determining the site suitability of agricultural land. For determining a proper area for farmlands slope parameter is required. The slope values in the study region vary from 00 to more than 800.



### 5) Land Use /Land Cover

To make land use classification easier to understand, false-colour composite bands have been used in several satellite images. Falsecolour composite bands (4, 3, 2) are used in some satellite images to contrast the various land cover classifications. Six categories of land cover and land use were utilized to classify the Anantapur LULC: water, vegetation, scrub, built-up, deciduous forest, and barren land. Using supervised classification, ArcGIS software has been used to categorize land use and land cover (maximum likelihood approach). A spectral signature defines the training sets for the supervised classification, which are relevant to the entire image. The Maximum Likelihood Algorithm is used because it computes the statistics, which are generally distributed for each class in each band, and computes the likelihood for a pixel to belong to a specific class. The class with the highest probability is given to each pixel. The default mode of this algorithm is always used for supervised classification.

### 6) Land Surface Temperature

The map for land surface temperature was generated by taking the suitable land for agriculture of Anantapur in the value range. Higher values represent regions having high temperatures indicating more heat from the sun and dry environment, which increases the probability of drought occurrence, whereas lower values depict regions such as water bodies. For the study area, the lowest temperature recorded was 300k, and the highest temperature was 316K.

### 7) Rainfall

The Centre for Hydrometeorology and Remote Sensing (https://chrsdata.eng.uci.edu/) provided rainfall data for the research sites. There has been the use of PERSIAN-CCS Data. The Centre for Hydrometeorology and Remote Sensing (CHRS) at the University of California, Irvine, created the PERSIANN-Cloud Classification System (PERSIANN-CCS). This real-time, high-resolution satellite precipitation product covers the whole world (UCI). The PERSIANN-CCS method divides cloud-patch characteristics into categories based on satellite data derived from cloud height, areal expanse, and textural diversity. The core of PERSIANNCCS is the variablethreshold cloud segmentation method.

#### 8) Soil

Data on soil was gathered for this study from the ISRIC Portal. Various levels are used to collectsoil data, including point, map unit, spatial, and interpretive data. Point data, which is site- specific and represents a Pedon profile, ought to demonstrate the best features of a specific soil. The information in map units talks about the terrain, climate, flora, and geology, as well as the locations of different soil types in each area and how they are interrelated, as well as how they differ in terms of colour and texture. The georeferenced ortho quadrangle base map contains soildelineations and distinguishing characteristics as spatial data.



Fig4.2. Showing the soil classification of the district



### 9) Distance from roads

The shapefiles of the road layer were downloaded from the Geofabrik website, which was then visualized in the GIS environment, i.e. in ArcGIS software, after which the layer was extracted for the study area. The Euclidean distance tool was then run on the layer, generating the Euclidean distance surface rasters for roads. The tool can measure the distance between each pixel from its nearest source, which can give us an idea about the areas located near roads.

### 10) Distance from rivers

The shapefiles of the settlement area were downloaded from the Geofabrik website, which was then visualized in the GIS environment, after which the layer was extracted for the study area. The Euclidean distance tool was then run on the layer, generating the Euclidean distance surface rasters for river areas. The distance of each pixel from its nearer source can be measured with the tool, which can give us an idea about the areas located close to river areas.

GIS is a computer-based system capable of handling practically any kind of data regarding attributes that may be linked to a specific geographic area. The capacity of GIS to meaningfully and effectively spatially interrelate various types of information originating from a variety of sources is one of its most significant advantages.

The Ten maps generated were reclassified by their values in ArcGIS to obtain a different number of classes for each parameter.

The present study attempts to assess the agricultural suitability zones in Anantapur, which is associated with ten parameters considered that have influence over natural factors' behavior. The Analytic Hierarchy Process or AHP has been used to carry out the analysis. The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) was developed by Thomas L. Saaty in the 1970s, and it is a widely used method which combines mathematics and psychology. The method has been extensively utilized for multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM), which can be defined as taking a decision about solving a particular objective when there is the involvement of multiple criteria.

The process is composed of three different steps described as follows:

Step 1) Development of a hierarchical structure by keeping the primary objective at the first level, criteria at the second level and alternatives at the third level





The primary objective is to identify the site suitability of agricultural lands in different parts of the state, which is placed at level 1. The ten parameters or criteria based on which the decision will be made placed at level 2. Level 3 represents five alternatives, viz. very low, low, moderate, high and very high, associated with each parameter.

Step 2) Determination of the relative importance of the different parameters by taking intoaccount the primary objective

For the second step, a pairwise comparison matrix was constructed to assign relative importance of each parameter by considering the goal of identifying site-suitable zones. Two parameters were compared each time, and a value was assigned based on the importance of one parameter inland evaluation mapping concerning the other factor. The matrix was created by using the scale of relative importance. The table depicts the scale containing their values along with an explanation and description. The values have been assigned after a thorough literature review and in consultation with the experts.

| Intensity of Importance        | Definition                              | Explanation   |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 1                              | Equal importance                        | Two elements contributeequally to the objective   |
| 3                              | Moderate importance                     | Experience and judgmentslightly favor<br>one element<br>over another                                |
| 5                              | Strong importance                       | Experience and judgment strongly favor one elementover another                                      |
| 7                              | Very strong importance                  | One element is favored verystrongly over<br>another, it<br>dominance is demonstratedin practice     |
| 9                              | Extreme importance                      | The evidence favoring one element over<br>another is of thehighest possible order of<br>affirmation |
| 2,4,6,8 can be used to express | s intermediate values 1/3,1/5,1/7,1/9 a | re used to express reverse comparison   |

| Table/ 3. Scale   | of relative | importance | for | $\Delta$ HP |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|-----|-------------|
| 1 abie 4.5. Scale | of relative | importance | 101 | AIII        |

|       |            | Pair-wise comparison matrix |                   |                   |                   |      |      |               |               |              |          |  |
|-------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|--|
| Sl.no | Parameters | NDVI_max                    | NDMI              | Elevation         | Slope             | soil | LuLc | Distance from | Distance from | Land Surface | Rainfall |  |
| •     |            |                             | 1                 |                   |                   |      |      | river         | road          | Temperature  |          |  |
|       |            |                             | 1                 |                   |                   |      |      |               |               |              |          |  |
|       |            |                             | 1                 |                   |                   |      |      |               |               |              |          |  |
|       |            |                             | 1                 |                   |                   |      |      |               |               |              |          |  |
|       |            |                             |                   |                   |                   |      |      |               |               |              |          |  |
| 1     | NDVI_max   | <mark>1.00</mark>           | 0.50              | 0.33              | 0.33              | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.33          | 0.25          | 0.20         | 0.13     |  |
| 2     | NDMI       | 2.00                        | <mark>1.00</mark> | 0.50              | 0.50              | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.25          | 0.33          | 0.17         | 0.13     |  |
| 3     | Elevation  | 3.00                        | 2.00              | <mark>1.00</mark> | 0.33              | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.33          | 0.33          | 0.14         | 0.13     |  |
| 4     | Slope      | 3.00                        | 2.00              | 3.00              | <mark>1.00</mark> | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50          | 0.20          | 0.20         | 0.11     |  |
| 5     | Soil Ph    | 2.00                        | 2.00              | 2.00              | 2.00              | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.33          | 0.33          | 0.17         | 0.11     |  |

| Table 4.4: Pair wise comparison matrix |  |
|--|--|
|--|--|



| 6  | LULC                        | 3.00  | 2.00  | 3.00  | 2.00  | 3.00  | 1.00  | 0.33  | 0.33              | 0.25 | 0.14              |
|----|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| 7  | Distance<br>from river      | 3.00  | 4.00  | 3.00  | 4.00  | 3.00  | 3.00  | 1.00  | 1.00              | 0.20 | 0.13              |
| 8  | Distance<br>from road       | 4.00  | 3.00  | 3.00  | 5.00  | 3.00  | 3.00  | 1.00  | <mark>1.00</mark> | 0.17 | 0.13              |
| 9  | Land Surface<br>Temperature | 5.00  | 6.00  | 7.00  | 5.00  | 6.00  | 4.00  | 5.00  | 6.00              | 1.00 | 0.20              |
| 10 | Rainfall                    | 8.00  | 8.00  | 8.00  | 9.00  | 9.00  | 7.00  | 8.00  | 8.00              | 5.00 | <mark>1.00</mark> |
|    | SUM                         | 34.00 | 30.50 | 30.83 | 29.17 | 27.00 | 20.00 | 17.07 | 17.77             | 7.50 | 2.21              |

In table 4.4, two parameters were taken into consideration at a time for the assignment of a valuein each call. For instance, in the first cell, both the parameters are identical, due to which value one has been assigned to the cell. In the next row, two parameters are the vegetation index and the moisture index. The value has been assigned based on whether the moisture parameter significantly influences site suitability mapping over the vegetation index. Value 2 assigned to the cell indicates that even though both parameters contribute almost equally to site suitability mapping, the moisture parameter's importance is slightly more than the NDVI\_max. Similarly, the other cells in the lower half of the diagonal were filled by pairwise comparison. Based on the filled values, the cells in the upper portion of the diagonal were filled by reversing the corresponding values. For example, if NDMI is two times more important than NDVI in suitability mapping, NDVI will be ½ or 0.5 times more critical than NDMI. After this, each column was summarized, and the element of each column was divided by the sum of the values in the corresponding column to generate the normalized pairwise comparison matrix. The criteria weights were then calculated for each parameter to understand their relative importance in Land evaluation mapping by adding the elements of each row and dividing them by the number of criteria (10).

| Parameters              | Criteria Weights |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| NDVI_max                | 0.02             |  |  |  |  |
| NDMI                    | 0.03             |  |  |  |  |
| Flowation               | 0.03             |  |  |  |  |
| Elevation               | 0.04             |  |  |  |  |
| Slope                   | 0.04             |  |  |  |  |
| Soil                    | 0.06             |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use/Landcover      | 0.09             |  |  |  |  |
|                         | 0.09             |  |  |  |  |
| Distance from river     |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance from road      | 0.20             |  |  |  |  |
| Land SurfaceTemperature |                  |  |  |  |  |
| F                       | 0.38             |  |  |  |  |
|                         |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Rainfall                |                  |  |  |  |  |
|                         |                  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.5: Criteria weights derived for each parameter after completion of Step 2



Step 3) Calculation of consistency to check whether the criteria weights obtained are correct or not. The matrix that was not normalized was taken, and elements of each column were multiplied by respective criteria weights, and the values in each row were added to obtain the weighted sumvalue as depicted in table 6.4. The ratio of the weighted sum value to the criteria weight for each row was calculated

|               |                                    | Calculation o | of consist | ency of the | pair wi | se comp | parison m | atrix                     |                       |                             |          |                    |                         |            |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| <u>Sl.n</u> o | Parameters                         | NDVI_max      | NDMI       | Elevation   | Slope   | soil    | LULC      | Distance<br>from<br>river | Distance<br>from road | Land Surface<br>Temperature | Rainfall | Weighted sum value | criteria<br>weight<br>s | ratio      |
| 1             | NDVI_max                           | 1.00          | 0.50       | 0.33        | 0.33    | 0.50    | 0.33      | 0.33                      | 0.25                  | 0.20                        | 0.13     | 0.25               | 0.02                    | 11.13      |
| 2             | NDMI                               | 2.00          | 1.00       | 0.50        | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50      | 0.25                      | 0.33                  | 0.17                        | 0.13     | 0.30               | 0.03                    | 10.62      |
| 3             | Elevation                          | 3.00          | 2.00       | 1.00        | 0.33    | 0.50    | 0.33      | 0.33                      | 0.33                  | 0.14                        | 0.13     | 0.35               | 0.03                    | 10.12      |
| 4             | Slope                              | 3.00          | 2.00       | 3.00        | 1.00    | 0.50    | 0.50      | 0.50                      | 0.20                  | 0.20                        | 0.11     | 0.45               | 0.04                    | 10.32      |
| 5             | Soil                               | 2.00          | 2.00       | 2.00        | 2.00    | 1.00    | 0.33      | 0.33                      | 0.33                  | 0.17                        | 0.11     | 0.46               | 0.04                    | 10.80      |
| 6             | LULC                               | 3.00          | 2.00       | 3.00        | 2.00    | 3.00    | 1.00      | 0.33                      | 0.33                  | 0.25                        | 0.14     | 0.67               | 0.06                    | 10.82      |
| 7             | Distance<br>fromriver              | 3.00          | 4.00       | 3.00        | 4.00    | 3.00    | 3.00      | 1.00                      | 1.00                  | 0.20                        | 0.13     | 1.04               | 0.09                    | 11.39      |
| 8             | Distance<br>fromroad               | 4.00          | 3.00       | 3.00        | 5.00    | 3.00    | 3.00      | 1.00                      | 1.00                  | 0.17                        | 0.13     | 1.07               | 0.09                    | 11.40      |
| 9             | Land<br>Surface<br>Temperatur<br>e | 5.00          | 6.00       | 7.00        | 5.00    | 6.00    | 4.00      | 5.00                      | 6.00                  | 1.00                        | 0.20     | 2.54               | 0.20                    | 12.55      |
| 10            | Rainfall                           | 8.00          | 8.00       | 8.00        | 9.00    | 9.00    | 7.00      | 8.00                      | 8.00                  | 5.00                        | 1.00     | 4.76               | 0.38                    | 12.53      |
| SU<br>M       |                                    |               |            |             |         |         |           |                           |                       |                             |          |                    |                         | 111.6<br>8 |

Table 4.6: Calculation of consistency of the pair wise comparison matrix

Calculation of the consistency ratio (CR):

The consistency ratio (CR) is calculated through several steps. The matrix will be accepted if the value of CR remains below the value of 0.10, or the matrix will be rejected. We would need to find alternative ways to compute the values. The steps involved in the calculation of CR are as follows: The Lambda\_max (Amax) was calculated by averaging the ratio column and is given by:

# $\Lambda$ max = 111.68/10 = 11.168



After this, the consistency index (C.I.) was computed which is given by the formula:

### C.I.= $(\Lambda max-n) / (n-1)$

Here, n is the number of elements compared i.e., 10 Therefore, the consistency index is calculated as:

# C.I. = (11.168-10) / (10-1) = 0.129

The C.R. was calculated by taking the consistency index (C.I.) and dividing it by a random index (R.I.). The random index is the consistency index of a randomly generated pairwise matrix.

Table 6.5 represents the random index (R.I.) value corresponding to the number of criteriainvolved (n) obtained from the internet.

|    | Table 4.7: Random Index table corresponding to different criteria |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|----|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| n  | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   |
| RI | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.90 | 1.12 | 1.24 | 1.32 | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1.49 | 1.51 |

Since, 10 criteria are involved in the study i.e., n = 10, the value 1.49 would be computed as random index in the calculation of consistency ratio.

The consistency ratio was calculated as:

### CR = CI / RI

### = 0.129/1.49 = 0.087

The CR value indicates that the inconsistency proportion is less than 0.10. Thus, it can be assumed that the matrix is reasonably consistent. The criteria weights obtained are valid and canbe further used for decision-making.

Each parameter was categorized into several classes. Each class was given a rank based on theirland evaluation degree and criteria weights derived from AHP, as depicted in table 4.6. The rankings were based on five different levels of site suitability, as represented in table 4.8.

|                   | 5      |
|-------------------|--------|
| Suitability Level | Rating |
| Very Low          | 1      |
| Low               | 2      |
| Moderate          | 3      |
| High              | 4      |
| Very High         | 5      |

Table 4.9: Ranking of classes of different parameters based on site -suitability of land

| SL No. | Variables    | Weight<br>Factor(from<br>AHP) | Classes       | Rating | Site- suitability |
|--------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|
| 1      | Elevation(m) | 0.03                          | 0 - 300       | 5      | Very High         |
|        |              |                               | 300.001 - 420 | 4      | High              |
|        |              |                               | 420.001 - 510 | 3      | Moderate          |
|        |              |                               | 510.001 - 600 | 2      | Low               |



|    |                      |      | 600.001 - 960       | 1 | Very Low  |
|----|----------------------|------|---------------------|---|-----------|
| 2  | Slope(degree)        | 0.04 | 0.2.24              | 5 | Very High |
|    | Stope(degree)        | 0.04 | 2 25-6 72           | 4 | High      |
|    |                      |      | 6 73-13 90          | 3 | Moderate  |
|    |                      |      | 13 91-22 86         | 2 | Low       |
|    |                      |      | 22 87-57            | 1 | Very Low  |
| 3  | NDVI max             | 0.02 | -1-0.1              | 1 | Very Low  |
| 5  | TD VI_max            | 0.02 | 0.1-0.2             | 1 | Very Low  |
|    |                      |      | 0.2-0.5             | 4 | High      |
|    |                      |      | >0.5                | 5 | Very High |
| 4  |                      | 0.06 | Built-un area       | 2 | Low       |
|    |                      | 0.00 | Agricultural Land   | 5 | Very High |
|    |                      |      | Scrub L and         | 3 | Moderate  |
|    |                      |      | Deciduous Forest    | 4 | High      |
|    |                      |      | Barren Land         | 2 | I ow      |
|    |                      |      | Water Bodies        | 1 | Very Low  |
| 5  | NDMI(-1+1)           | 0.03 |                     | 1 | Very Low  |
| 5  |                      | 0.05 | 0-0.40              | 1 | Very Low  |
|    |                      |      | 0.48-0.63           | 2 | Low       |
|    |                      |      | 0.63-0.73           | 3 | Moderate  |
|    |                      |      | >0.73               | 4 | High      |
| 6  | Soil PH              | 0.04 | <=6                 | 1 | Very Low  |
|    |                      |      | <=6.5               | 1 | Very Low  |
|    |                      |      | <=7                 | 2 | Low       |
|    |                      |      | <=7.5               | 2 | Low       |
|    |                      |      | <=8                 | 5 | Very High |
| 7. | Distance from roads  | 0.09 | 0 - 1,918.52        | 1 | Very Low  |
|    |                      |      | 1,918.52 - 3,837.05 | 2 | Low       |
|    |                      |      | 3,837.05 - 5,755.58 | 3 | Moderate  |
|    |                      |      | 5,755.58 - 7,674.11 | 5 | Very High |
| 8  | Distance from Rivers | 0.09 | 0 - 0.06278         | 5 | Very High |
|    |                      |      | 0.06278 - 0.12556   | 4 | High      |
|    |                      |      | 0.12556 - 0.18835   | 3 | Moderate  |
|    |                      |      | 0.18835 - 0.25113   | 1 | Very Low  |



| 9  | Land SurfaceTemperature | 0.20 | 300.1139 -         | 5 | Very High |
|----|-------------------------|------|--------------------|---|-----------|
|    |                         |      | 304.8241           |   |           |
|    |                         |      |                    |   |           |
|    |                         |      | 304.8241 -         | 4 | High      |
|    |                         |      | 306.9882           |   |           |
|    |                         |      | 306.9882 -         | 3 | Moderate  |
|    |                         |      | 309.5979           |   |           |
|    |                         |      | 309.5979 -         | 1 | Very Low  |
|    |                         |      | 316.3450           |   |           |
| 10 | Rainfall(mm)            | 0.38 | 635.701 - 677.727  | 1 | Very Low  |
|    |                         |      | 677.728 - 705.019  | 2 | Low       |
|    |                         |      | 705.02 - 747.046   | 2 | Low       |
|    |                         |      | 747.047 - 811.765  | 3 | Moderate  |
|    |                         |      | 811.766 - 911.427  | 4 | High      |
|    |                         |      | 911.428 -1,064.899 | 5 | Very High |

### V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Generation of layers of parameters involved in site suitability mapping

### 1) NDVI\_max:

Assessing vegetation health is crucial in determining areas most suitable for agriculture. The classification of NDVI values into several value ranges helps in the identification of what each of the value ranges represents. Since the map has been generated by filtering the date range for the year 2021 in Anantapur, in figure 5.1, we can observe that a significant part of the vegetation hasgood health due to the presence of some forest covers in that area. The total area is covered with moderate healthy vegetation, the agricultural lands depicted by light green colour. The built-up areas/ barren land with no vegetation is depicted in red. The NDVI\_max value, the best possible health the vegetation can achieve during the summer and autumn seasons has been used in the study to understand the influence of the climate.





### 2) NDMI (Moisture)

The moisture index helps determine moisture content in the study area. It is specifically helpful in assessing the moisture present in vegetation. The presence of moisture is critical in influencingcrop conditions in the area. Dry vegetation will be unhealthy compared to vegetation with a high moisture concentration. In figure 5.2, a low value of NDMI is associated with low moisture content and water-stressed areas most likely to be affected by droughts.

On the other hand, a high value of NDMI is related to higher moisture concentration which putsthose areas at very low or no risk of droughts. The negative and shallow values of NDMI indicate areas vulnerable to forest fire risk since the absence of moisture can provide the risk ofdroughts. Very high NDMI values, as depicted by darker shades of blue, signify the presence ofwater bodies.



FIG 5.2. NDMI MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

#### 3) Elevation

The digital elevation model (DEM) generated for the study area contains the elevation data. Theelevation is another factor that can highly influence site suitability for agricultural land. The increase in temperature is inversely proportional to the increasing elevation, which can significantly reduce the chances of the incidence of a low suitable agricultural land. Altitude also plays an essential role in influencing the vegetation structure and type of climate. In figure 5.3, the elevation values have been classified into five classes in ascending order which can help to visualize how the elevation is changing throughout the state. The map indicates that areas havinglow altitudes are situated in the Northern part of the state. In contrast, the area has a high elevation in the Southern part.





FIG 5.3 ELEVATION MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 4) Slope

The slope of an area is associated with its steepness. A high value of slope implies higher steepness of the ground surface. The slope is determined by calculating the tangent of a surface. It is measured by dividing the vertical change in elevation (perpendicular) by the horizontal distance (base). Greater steepness lowers the suitability of agricultural lands. Figure 5.4 shows that yellow portions indicate areas with significantly lower slope values. The slope value increases considerably with increasing altitude and is very high (as represented by red) in the mountainous southern portion of the state.





### FIG5.4. SLOPE MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 5) Land Cover/Land Use

Understanding the landscape of a region is very important in evaluating agricultural lands. Landcover refers to a region's natural cover, including forests, river streams, etc. On the other hand, land use is defined as the land area used by humans for different purposes such as agriculture, recreational activities, etc.

Information on land cover enables sustainable land management by preventing cultivation or developments in areas already used for a particular purpose or are important biologically and ecologically. Many aerial photos feature land-use classifications made using false-colour composite bands. False-colour composite bands are used in several aerial photos, and false- colour composite bands are used to compare different land cover categories. The six-land use/land cover classes used for LULC categorization are Water bodies, Deciduous Forests, BareLands, Agriculture, Scrub, Built-up Areas, and Others. The arc map software used supervised classification (a maximum likelihood approach) to categorize land use and land cover.



FIG 5.5 LULC MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 6) Soil Ph

The pH of the soil will have an impact on how the nutrients interact with one another as well as how readily available they are to plants. It influences plant growth and the soil's physical, chemical, and biological qualities and processes. Most crops' nutrition, growth, and yields decline in environments with low pH and climb as pH approaches its ideal level. Because most plant nutrients are readily available in this pH range, a pH range of 6 to 7 is typically the most favorable for plant growth. Some plants, however, require soil pH levels above or below these limits. Calcium, magnesium, and phosphorus are typically less available in soils with a pH below





### FIG5.6 SOIL PH MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 7) Rainfall

A direct link exists between agriculture and rainfall. Therefore, changes in rainfall patterns have direct impact on crop patterns all over the world. The district receives 520 mm of rainfall on average each year, with 0 mm in February and March and 129 mm in September. The wettest months of the year are September and October. The average seasonal rainfall ranges from 1 mm in winter (Jan-Feb) to 311 mm in the south-west monsoon (June-September), 146 mm in the northeast monsoon (Oct.-Dec.), and 72 mm in summer (March-May). (CGWB, 2013). This diagram shows that most rainfall occurs in the southern part of the district, which has come to thelowest number in the extreme northern part of the district.



FIG5.7. RAINFALL MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT



### 8) Distance from Rivers

Rivers play a significant role in agriculture Land. Since rivers transport a variety of nutrient-richmaterials and deposit them nearby, making the area around them more fertile and valuable for agriculture, rivers are another form of crop irrigation. So, from the point of crop fertility, croplands should be closer to rivers. Here, the range of 0.001 - 0.024 in light blue depicts the closest to the river beds, whereas values in deep blue (0.135 - 0.251) imply the farthest.



FIG5.8 DISTANCE FROM RIVERS MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 9) Distance from roads

The distance from roads to agricultural areas is an essential determinant of fire risk since the availability of roads is associated with human interference. Road networks in agricultural landscan increase the accessibility by humans, which increases the probability of unhealthy and less land. Additionally, those highways are crucial for the district's communication and agricultural product transportation. However, it is possible that the placement of agricultural fields along themain metal roadways will not support the improvement of crop quality. In order to prevent the site from directly across Anantapur main routes, another Euclidian Distance for roads was created.



FIG5.9 DISTANCE FROM ROADS MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 10) Land Surface Temperature

Out of all the parameters considered, the temperature is one of the crucial factors that primarily influence Crop behavior. High temperature implies more sunlight exposure and low precipitation, which can ultimately lead to a dry environment. In high-temperature areas, the moisture content in the vegetation cover is also low, which can act as an ideal situation for drought. Figure 5.10 shows how the land surface temperature varies throughout the state. The Anantapur region in the south experiences shallow temperatures, and the temperature increasestowards the Northern part in the low-lying areas, as represented by shades of brown and red.



FIG5.10 LAND SURFACE TEMPERATURE MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT



### B. Land Evaluation Of Parameter Based

### 1) Rainfall

This parameter is the highest influencing parameter of all. Suitable agricultural lands mainly depend on the amount of rainfall in that area. Here, it can be seen that the difference in the amount of rain is distributed all over the state. Precipitation is measured in mm. A low amount ofrainfall is experienced in the Northern hilly side of the state. In comparison, it is slowly growing with the central part towards the southern area of the state. The amount of rainfall classes has been measured where low rainfall leads to a quiet, suitable area and grows towards the south from a moderate to High suitability.



FIG5.11 RAINFALL RISK MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 2) Land Surface Temperature

The land surface temperature (measured in Kelvin) significantly influences the land suitability for agriculture. As mentioned in the previous discussions, a higher temperature is associated with exposure to direct solar radiation, low precipitation and drier climatic conditions. Figure 5.12 indicates that drought risks increase proportionally with temperature. Regions experiencing higher temperatures are classified as 'Low suitability' zones. In contrast, with the drop in temperature towards the Southern part of the state, land suitability increases considerably from 'moderate' to 'very high.



FIG5.12 LAND SURFACE TEMPERATURE RISK MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT



### 3) NDVI\_max

The vegetation health during the Land evaluation is on the excellent side, i.e. mostly healthy vegetation with minimal moisture content. Hence, from February to December, a significant portion of the state has healthy foliage (as depicted in figure 5.13). The amount of unhealthy vegetation has comparatively low, mostly seen on the northern side. The water bodies, barren areas devoid of vegetation, have no input for land evaluation. Hence, they have been classified under the 'very Low' class.



FIG5.13 NDVI RISK MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

### 4) NDMI (moisture)

The normalized difference in moisture index determines the moisture concentration in vegetation. Figure 5.14 represents the state vulnerable to different levels of Land suitability. Regions with low values of NDMI are dry regions categorized as 'high' suitable areas, whereas moderate NDMI values imply sites have 'moderate' land suitability. On the other hand, water bodies have 'High' usefulness since they have high NDMI values, which is advantageous for plantation.



FIG5.14 NDMI RISK MAP OF ANANTAPUR DISTRICT



### C. Model Builder

A GIS analyst and data scientist Emmanuel Jolaiya presents ModelBuilder in ArcGIS, which can be used to create models for evaluating and working with GIS data. A model is shown as a diagram, flowchart, or workflow that links a series of operations and Geoprocessing tools, utilizing the results of one function as the input to another. It allows you to construct and share your models as tools, offering advanced ways to extend GIS features.

A model builder has been used to iterate the complete model using an iterator and determine theworkflow model to repeat the entire procedure. Using a raster calculator, all the input criterion layers—including elevation, slope, LULC, soil moisture, vegetation, rainfall, soil ph, river, and road—have been inserted and then classed into a single unit. Finally, the composite map was produced using the weighted overlay tool.



FIG5.15 MODEL BUILDER

### 1) Ranking system based on land suitability factors:

Out of the ten parameters considered, each was ranked based on their importance in influencing land evaluation, as in figure5.15. The weights were derived from AHP, indicating that the Rainfall is the highest factor of all as it is a drought-prone region and also primary need for vegetation is water. The temperature has the second highest amount of matter to determine land suitability since high temperature provides an unfavorable situation for the crops causing droughts. Land use/land cover is also critical since the type of vegetation in an area is essential toassessing land suitability. Distance from roads and rivers almost have the same degree of importance since they consider anthropogenic activities. Elevation and Slope have the same weightage to influence a land evaluation. Even though moisture and vegetation health have beengiven less weightage compared to other parameters, they are also crucial for evaluating land suitability. Apart from this, Soil Ph is also a critical influencing factor here.

| TABLE5.1 ranking of the parameters bas | ed on their degree of importance to influencela | nd suitability |
|--|---|----------------|
|--|---|----------------|

| Rank | Parameter                | Weightage (%) |
|------|--------------------------|---------------|
| 1    | Rainfall                 | 37.8          |
| 2    | Land surface Temperature | 20.24         |



| 3Distar            | nce From Rivers   | 9.41 |
|--------------------|-------------------|------|
| 4Distar            | nce From Roads    | 9.14 |
| 5Land              | Use/Landcover     | 6.18 |
| 6                  | Soil Ph           | 4.32 |
| 7                  | Slope             | 4.23 |
| 8                  | Elevation         | 3.48 |
| 9 <mark>NDM</mark> | I (moisture)      | 2.81 |
| 10NDVI             | [_max(vegetation) | 2.2  |



FIG5.16. SITE-SUITABILITY MAP OF AGRICULTURAL LAND OF ANANTAPURDISTRICT

Figure 5.16 it represents the different suitable zones based on their different influencing parameters. The final suitability map has been prepared at a 1 km spatial resolution. In table5.1, thearea falling under each suitable zone has been calculated in ArcGIS by the formula:

Area (sq.km) = Spatial resolution x Total counts = 1 x Total counts

Therefore, Area (sq.km) = Total counts

The table shows that the highest percentage of the area falls under the 'high' risk zone followedby 'very high' ', low', and 'High' suitable zones, respectively.



| Suitability | Percentage(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| Very Low    | 11.88         |
| Low         | 23.27         |
| Moderate    | 17.20         |
| High        | 22.52         |
| Very High   | 25.13         |

| Table 5.2: Distribution of area under each risk zo | ne |
|--|----|
|--|----|

#### D. Discussions

A GIS method called overlay mixes various data sets to find connections between them. An overlay has created a composite map by fusing the geometry and characteristics of the input datasets. Arc GIS software has utilized tools like the model builder, overlay analysis, and analytical hierarchy process to overlay both vector and raster data requirements.

According to the map, 25.13% (1785.82sq km) of the research area is highly suitable, 22.52% (1599.52sq km) is quite eligible, 17.20% (1221.11 sq km) is moderately relevant, and 23.27% which is (1652.6 sq km) is marginally suitable. In comparison, 11.88% (843.7 sq km) of the landis unfit for agricultural production in the Anantapur District.64.85 per cent of the district's total area is available for agricultural land, which falls mainly in the southern part of the district.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

Determination of site-suitability areas was done by factoring natural and anthropogenic parameters, namely vegetation health, moisture index, forest density, land surface temperature, land use/land cover, elevation, slope, aspect, and distance from roads and settlements. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) was adopted to calculate the subjective weights of each parameter to understand their degree of influence on fire occurrence. At first, a hierarchy was formed by keeping the primary objective at first criteria at the second and alternatives at the third level respectively. Next, a pairwise comparison was constructed to compare two parameters simultaneously to obtain criteria weights for each layer. Lastly, the consistency ratio was calculated to evaluate the validity of the matrix. Through AHP, the relative weights obtained indicate that land surface temperature and Rainfall parameters hold more than 50% weight age ininfluencing a site-suitable event. Five suitability categories were derived from very low to very high based on each parameter's suitability capability. Classes of each layer were given a rank based on their suitability. The layers were then integrated with a GIS platform (ArcGIS for the present study) to generate a site-suitability map of each layer. Each coating was multiplied by its respective criteria weights derived from the AHP method. Then the ten layers were combined in ArcGIS to produce the suitability map of Anantapur. The research shows that 64.85% of the area is appropriate for agricultural use in the context of an application. Currently, some of these places are underutilized, swamped, or covered in shrubs; therefore, they must concentrate on development with the active involvement of farmers in the specific site without endangering the natural environment (Weerakoon, 2014). However, the low yield is brought on by geomorphologic issues, such as a very high elevation, a high degree of slope, the presence of exposed rocks, low soil moisture, and the limited accessibility of irrigation systems. Only a fixed percentage of the land in the study district has been designated as attainable for agricultural development due to all of these dangers.

It is an under developed area and its economy is entirely agrarian and is characterized by aridity and drought risk. The greatest barrier to agriculture is a lack of irrigation infrastructure. 90% of the 27.5 lakh acres under cultivation are rainfed and frequently subject to drought. Additionally, there are essentially no industrial employment prospects and very little industrial development. The available livelihoods are, therefore, woefully inadequate for the rural population, and they are also extremely vulnerable. A study was carried out to determine agriculture suitability levels in some of the most critical nature reserves having high ecological importance. The result indicates that the area is vulnerable to drought and other parameters due to its climatic condition. Climate change and accelerated desertification highlight the fragility of rural livelihoods, particularly rainfed farmers. At present, which is of significant concern and the stakeholders, should address the issue.

1) Create and encourage adaptation tactics for farmers to handle the escalating climatechange and variability.

2) Develop watersheds, protect the environment, and manage natural resources sustainably to stop desertification.

The study was undertaken to assess the different land suitability for agriculture prevailing throughout the state. It is crucial to safeguard this priceless resource soil health is essential to the continued sustainability of agriculture.



Farmers are gradually moving away from rainfed agriculture practices toward poly cropping under zero-budget natural farming (ZBNF).

The goal of poly cropping is to drought-proof dry land agriculture, which entirely depends on rainfall. Theresult would enable the agriculture officials and other concerned authorities to implement stringent actions and formulate better management plans on farming land for effective control to adopt potential impacts of climates and other parameters in the near future.

#### VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

At the very beginning I would like to acknowledge that the six months of project was the best time of learning apart from only project work, I was able to explore and gather knowledge from fields of study.

Firstly I would like to thank my internal supervisor Dr.Binaya Kumar Pattnaik, Assistant Professor of Symbiosis Institute of Geoinformatics for helping me and guiding me throughout this project, He encouraged me to try new ideas by giving me intellectual freedom in my work.

I was fortunate enough to get this opportunity from Symbiosis Institute of Geoinformaticstherefore I express my heartiest gratitude to them.

I also extend my sincere thanks to all my classmates of Geoinformatics Department, Symbiosis (2020–2022 batch), for their cooperation and support.

Finally, I would like to offer my deep thanks and gratitude to my parents, family members and closest friends for their care, unlimited support, and encouragement to me without whom I couldn't have completed my study.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdelrahman, M. A. E., Natarajan, A., & Hegde, R. (2016). Assessment of land suitability and capability by integrating remote sensing and GIS for agriculture in Chamarajanagar district, Karnataka, India. Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science, 19(1), 125–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2016.02.001
- [2] Ahmed, G. B., Shariff, A. R. M., Balasundram, S. K., & Fikri Bin Abdullah, A. (2016). Agriculture land suitability analysis evaluation based multi criteria and GIS approach. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 37(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/37/1/012044
- [3] Akinci, H., Özalp, A. Y., & Turgut, B. (2013). Agricultural land use suitability analysis using GIS and AHP technique. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 97, 71–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2013.07.006
- [4] Hossen, B., Yabar, H., & Mizunoya, T. (2021). Land Suitability Assessment for Pulse (Green Gram ) Production through Remote Sensing , GIS and Multicriteria Analysis in the Coastal Region of Bangladesh.
- [5] Karimi, F., Sultana, S., Babakan, A. S., Royall, D., Karimi, F., Sultana, S., Babakan, A. S., & Royall, D. (2018). Papers in Applied Geography Land Suitability Evaluation for Organic Agriculture of Wheat Using GIS and Multicriteria Analysis Land Suitability Evaluation for Organic Agriculture of Wheat. Papers in Applied Geography, 0(0), 1–17.https://doi.org/10.1080/23754931.2018.1448715
- [6] Kazemi, H., & Akinci, H. (2018). Short communication A land use suitability model forrainfed farming by Multi-criteria Decision- making Analysis (MCDA) and GeographicInformation System (GIS). Ecological Engineering, 116(September 2017), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.02.021
- [7] Mangan, P., Pandi, D., Haq, M. A., Sinha, A., Nagarajan, R., Dasani, T., Keshta, I., & Alshehri, M. (2022). Analytic Hierarchy Process Based Land Suitability for Organic Farming in the Arid Region.
- [8] Mishra, A. K., Deep, S., & Choudhary, A. (2015). Identification of suitable sites for organic farming using AHP & GIS. The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and SpaceSciences, 18(2), 181–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2015.06.005
- [9] Orhan, O. (2021). Land suitability determination for citrus cultivation using a GIS-basedmulti-criteria analysis in Mersin, Turkey. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 190(April), 106433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.106433
- [10] Pramanik, M. K. (2016). Site suitability analysis for agricultural land use of Darjeeling district using AHP and GIS techniques. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 2(2),1–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-016-0116-8
- [11] Ramamurthy, V., Reddy, G. P. O., & Kumar, N. (2020). Assessment of land suitability for maize (Zea mays L) in semi-arid ecosystem of southern India using integrated AHPand GIS approach. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 179(May), 105806. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105806
- [12] Saha, S., Sarkar, D., Mondal, P., & Goswami, S. (2020). GIS and multi criteria decision making assessment of sites suitability for agriculture in an anabranching site of sooinriver, India. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 0123456789. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-020-00936-1
- [13] Sarkar, D., Saha, S., Maitra, M., & Mondal, P. (2022). Jo ur na l P re r f. Artificial Intelligence in Geosciences. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiig.2022.03.001
- [14] Seyedmohammadi, J., Sarmadian, F., Jafarzadeh, A. A., & McDowell, R. W. (2019). Development of a model using matter element, AHP and GIS techniques to assess thesuitability of land for agriculture. Geoderma, 352(May), 80–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.05.046
- [15] Ustaoglu, E., Sisman, S., & Aydınoglu, A. C. (2021). Determining agricultural suitable land in peri-urban geography using GIS and Multi Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA)techniques. Ecological Modelling, 455(May), 109610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109610
- [16] Weerakoon, K. (2014). Suitability Analysis for Urban Agriculture Using GIS and Multi-Criteria Evaluation. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 2(2),69. https://doi.org/10.14355/ijast.2014.0302.03
- [17] Yalew, S. G., van Griensven, A., Mul, M. L., & van der Zaag, P. (2016). Land suitabilityanalysis for agriculture in the Abbay basin using remote sensing, GIS and AHP techniques. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 2(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-016-0167-x
- [18] https://www.sourcetrace.com/blog/changing-rainfall-patterns-effect-agriculture/
- [19] http://af-ecologycentre.org/ananthapur/challenges-in-anantapur-rural-areas/











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)