



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 11 Issue: VIII Month of publication: Aug 2023

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.55168>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Statistical Evaluation of Rainfall Data in Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

Chukwudi Anderson Ugomma

Department of Statistics, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria

Abstract: This paper evaluated the monthly and yearly average the rainfall data of Owerri from 2000 to 2016. Two-Way ANOVA was employed in the data and the result showed that the average yearly rainfall in Owerri is not the same but the average monthly rainfall in Owerri is not significantly different over the years of study.

Keywords: Rainfall, Rainfall Data, ANOVA, Least Significant Difference

I. INTRODUCTION

Rainfall is one of the climatologically data which is widely analyzed for a long time. Analysis of rainfall data is important as it facilitates policy decisions regarding the cropping pattern, sowing date, construction of roads and providing drinking water to urban and rural areas. Two season, wet and dry, are observed in the year.

The rainy season begins in April and last till October. Owerri as town in one the South Eastern region in Nigeria experiences climate variations following rainfall variability monthly and yearly. Every rainy season in Nigeria, wind gusts arising from tropical storms claim lives and property worth millions of naira across the country, Okorie *et al* (2014).stated that flash floods from Torrential rains wash away thousands of hectares of farmlands, Dam bursts were common following such floods.

Rainfall is one of the atmospheric driving forces responsible for climate variations and its effects in Imo State of Nigeria as in other parts of the world. Maduka (2009) indicated that 16% of the erosion in Owerri municipal of Imo state is caused by rainfall. Rainfall is a renewable resource, highly variable in space, time and subject to depletion or enhancement due to both natural and anthropogenic causes, Abaje (2010).

Climate is, with particular reference to rainfall, known to be changing worldwide and there has been growing concern as to the direction and effects of these changes on settlement and infrastructures, (Chaponniere and Smokhtin, (2006)). Many variations in rainfall have occurred for different climate regions and individual locations in Nigeria with associated disasters. These disasters which had led to many loss of property and human lives, and also contributed to about 91% of mosquitoes breeding responsible for malaria cases in Owerri, Imo State, are attributed to rainfall variability which meaning that rainfall promotes mosquitoes breeding. The earth has experienced cycles of temperature and precipitation changes on a geographical scale. Flooding remains the most common of all environmental hazards worldwide.

Estimation of flood damage potential helps in flood risk management. Recently at Ibeneme Street, Relief Market Junction in Owerri, flood submerged many houses and destroyed property worth millions of naira. This was as a result of rain which lasted for hours and shortly resulted in a flood disaster.

More so, Economic activities suffered a great set back in Owerri due to a heavy downpour that submerged residents and shops in Amakohia area of Owerri. There are several studies on climate change and rainfall data using statistical methods, see for example, Stern and Coe (1984); Arvind, et al (2017); El-Adlouni and Quarda (2010); Ogunoris and Tersoo (2006); Gomathy, et al., (2022); Omar, (2022); Lana *et al.*, (2001); Amjadi, et al (2021); Akinsanola and Ogunjobi (2014); Itiowe, et al (2019); Ogunride, et al (2019); Daramola, et al (2017); Animashaun, et al (2020) ; Igwenagu (2014); Shahrudin, et al (2020); Osarunwense (2013). It is on this premise, therefore, that this study focuses on the statistical analysis of rainfall data from 2000-2016 in Owerri Imo State, Nigeria in order to examine the variability of rainfall over the years.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Presentation of Data

The data presented in Table 3.1 was of secondary source from Owerri Municipal Council in Imo State, Nigeria comprising the available data of monthly and yearly rainfall pattern of between 2000 and 2016

Table 3.1: Rainfall data of Owerri from 2000 – 2016

| YEAR | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AGU | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC |
|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2000 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 354.2 | 47.3 | 391.8 | 382.7 | 356.4 | 344.0 | 246.5 | 116.5 | 5.5 |
| 2001 | 5.5 | 62.0 | 206.4 | 172.2 | 140.8 | 385.4 | 301.7 | 348.7 | 430.8 | 213.4 | 22.6 | 14.8 |
| 2002 | 0.0 | 27.9 | 90.4 | 241.7 | 265.6 | 250.6 | 391.5 | 358.7 | 293.5 | 372.4 | 40.9 | 0.0 |
| 2003 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 136.9 | 73.3 | 278.1 | 277.4 | 439.5 | 579.2 | 476.4 | 123.8 | 50.6 | 0.2 |
| 2004 | 5.4 | 73.5 | 32.4 | 173.3 | 163.1 | 225.2 | 240.6 | 185.4 | 309.1 | 332.9 | 37.0 | 0.0 |
| 2005 | 35.5 | 58.4 | 102.6 | 194.3 | 359.8 | 367.0 | 380.6 | 302.4 | 232.9 | 199.8 | 13.9 | 0.0 |
| 2006 | 78.5 | 48.4 | 108.1 | 104.1 | 157.3 | 349.9 | 397.6 | 232.1 | 537.6 | 303.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| 2007 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 31.2 | 261.5 | 309.8 | 480.9 | 507.1 | 302.0 | 186.4 | 75.2 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 117.4 | 169.2 | 169.6 | 470.6 | 630.2 | 289.6 | 433.6 | 382.9 | 9.2 | 25.2 |
| 2009 | 38.6 | 33.2 | 68.9 | 248.9 | 413.5 | 239.0 | 509.8 | 528.8 | 483.8 | 245.9 | 106.3 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 53.0 | 34.1 | 164.2 | 292.1 | 255.1 | 272.0 | 453.2 | 237.8 | 294.6 | 22.1 | 1.6 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 133.7 | 79.8 | 114.8 | 342.1 | 176.7 | 305.9 | 500.4 | 377.1 | 280.9 | 40.3 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 74.1 | 22.1 | 138.1 | 234.4 | 284.2 | 415.0 | 285.4 | 501.9 | 192.3 | 113.2 | 0.0 |
| 2013 | 46.5 | 40.0 | 130.9 | 190.5 | 270.4 | 181.6 | 254.1 | 491.0 | 273.8 | 96.7 | 48.6 | 132.4 |
| 2014 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 99.7 | 162.3 | 288.2 | 236.2 | 122.0 | 360.2 | 350.7 | 214.4 | 91.3 | 12.0 |
| 2015 | 12.4 | 71.7 | 61.0 | 61.4 | 236.6 | 360.1 | 325.8 | 354.2 | 351.9 | 324.3 | 78.1 | 132.4 |
| 2016 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 192.7 | 133.7 | 224.9 | 272.6 | 378.1 | 413.2 | 457.2 | 144.4 | 12.2 | 0.0 |

B. Method Of Analysis

The Two-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to test the hypothesis that the average monthly and yearly rainfall in Owerri, Imo state from 2000 to 2016, However, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is a statistical method for determining the existence of differences among several population mean. The technique requires the analysis of different forms of variances associated with the random samples according, see for example Nwobi (2003).

1) Two-Way ANOVA Model

The two – way ANOVA model used for this study is presented as;

$$X_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + e_{ij}; \begin{cases} i = 1, 2, \dots, a \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, b \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where,

X_{ij} denotes a random variable in the (ij)-th observation

μ denotes Overall mean

α_i denotes Treatment effects

β_j denotes Replication effects

e_{ij} denotes Error term

2) Basic Assumptions of Two-Way ANOVA

- a) The mathematical form $\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j$, implies that row and column effects are additive (that is, a treatment effect is the same in all replications apart from experimental errors.
- b) The error term, e are normally independent random variables, with mean, 0 and variance, σ^2 . They represent the extent to which the data depart from the additive model as a result of experimental error.

3) Hypothesis tests for the study

The null and alternative hypotheses adopted for this study include

$$\left. \begin{aligned} H_0^1 : t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_i \\ H_1^1 : t_1 \neq t_2 \neq \dots \neq t_i \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for treatment means}$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} H_0^2 : b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_j \\ H_1^2 : b_1 \neq b_2 \neq \dots \neq b_j \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for block means}$$

4) Computation of the Two – Way Classification

Let x_{ij} represents the measurement obtained for the unit that is in the i^{th} row (treatment) and j^{th} column (replication). Row total, and means are denoted by $X_{.i}$ and $\bar{x}_{.i}$ respectively, while $X_{.j}$ and $\bar{x}_{.j}$ denote column totals and means. The overall mean is denoted by $\bar{x}_{..}$.

The table below demonstrates the general procedure for computing the Two-Way Analysis of Variance.

Table 1: Data layout for Two-way ANOVA

| Treatment | Block | | | | | | Totals |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 1 | 2 | ... | J | ... | b _j | |
| 1 | X ₁₁ | X ₁₂ | ... | X _{1j} | ... | X _{1b} | X _{1.} |
| 2 | X ₂₁ | X ₂₂ | ... | X _{2j} | ... | X _{2b} | X _{2.} |
| ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ |
| i | X _{i1} | X _{i2} | ... | X _{ij} | ... | X _{ib} | X _{i.} |
| ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ | ⋮ |
| t | X _{t1} | X _{t2} | ... | X _{tj} | ... | X _{tb} | X _{t.} |
| Totals | X _{.1} | X _{.2} | ... | X _{.i} | ... | X _{.j} | X _{..} |

Correction factor: $C = \frac{(\sum X_{ij})^2}{tb}$ (2)

Total Sum of Squares: $TSS = \sum X_{ij}^2 - C$ (3)

Treatment: $SS_t = \frac{X_{.1}^2 + X_{.2}^2 + \dots + X_{.a}^2}{b} - C$ (4)

Replication: $SS_b = \frac{X_{.1}^2 + X_{.2}^2 + \dots + X_{.b}^2}{t} - C$ (5)

Error Sum of Squares: $ESS = TSS - SS_t - SS_b$ (6)

Table 2: The corresponding Analysis of Variance table

| Source of variation | Degree of freedom | Sum of Squares | Mean Squares |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Treatment | $t - 1$ | SS_t | $MS_t = \frac{SS_t}{t-1}$ |
| Blocking | $b - 1$ | SS_b | $MS_b = \frac{SS_b}{b-1}$ |
| Error | $(t-1)(b-1)$ | ESS | $MSE = \frac{ESS}{(t-1)(b-1)}$ |
| Total | TSS | | |

5) *The F-Ratio of Two-Way ANOVA*

The F-ratio for each one of the hypothesis test is the ratio of the appropriate mean square to the MSE. Thus, for the test of the equality of the treatment means, we use,

$$F_t = \frac{MS_t}{MSE} \tag{7}$$

and for the test of whether blocking was done effectively, we use;

$$F_b = \frac{MS_b}{MSE} \tag{8}$$

6) *Test for Differences Between Pairs of Means*

When we reject the null hypothesis, it follows that not all population means are equal. However, since ANOVA does not reveal in what way these means differ, other statistical tests have been developed which enables us to determine which of these means are different from the rest. Amongst them are the Turkey’s test, and the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test. Both procedures make a pairwise comparison of all the possible pairs of means. Both methods apply for ANOVA designs which are balanced (ie., designs in which every sample has the same number of observations). However, where the design is only slightly unbalanced (ie. Number of observations per sample differs only slightly) we can still apply the above tests to obtain approximate results by using the smallest sample size, instead of the equal sample size. For very unbalanced designs, we use other procedures such as the modified LSD approach. The Least Significant Difference (LSD) Test is given by

$$LSD = \sqrt{\frac{2(MSE)F_\alpha}{t}} \tag{9}$$

where F is the F-ratio with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom. The LSD criterion is then compared with each of the test statistics (ie. absolute differences in sample means) and any pair with a difference greater than the LSD-value indicates inequality of the respective population means.

III. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Table 4 is the summary statistics of rainfall in Owerri. The table showed the average, minimum and maximum rainfall for each year with their various standard deviation. The highest average amount of rainfall was in 2009 with 243 mm^3 while the maximum amount of rainfall was 630.2 mm^3 in 2008

Table 4 Summary Statistics of the Yearly Rainfall in Owerri

| Year | Count | Mean | Std. Dev. | Minimum | Maximum |
|------|-------|--------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 2000 | 12 | 194.8 | 164.2 | 0 | 391.8 |
| 2001 | 12 | 192.0 | 149.7 | 5.5 | 430.8 |
| 2002 | 12 | 194.4 | 152.4 | 0 | 391.5 |
| 2003 | 12 | 210.7 | 197.2 | 0 | 579.2 |
| 2004 | 12 | 148.2 | 116.9 | 0 | 332.9 |
| 2005 | 12 | 187.3 | 143.6 | 0 | 380.6 |
| 2006 | 12 | 195.85 | 168.4 | 0 | 537.6 |
| 2007 | 12 | 183.4 | 186.8 | 0 | 507.1 |
| 2008 | 12 | 224.8 | 213.8 | 0 | 630.2 |
| 2009 | 12 | 243.1 | 198.6 | 0 | 528.8 |
| 2010 | 12 | 173.4 | 149.0 | 0 | 453.2 |
| 2011 | 12 | 196.0 | 162.8 | 0 | 500.4 |
| 2012 | 12 | 188.4 | 162.4 | 0 | 501.9 |
| 2013 | 12 | 179.7 | 129.9 | 40 | 491 |
| 2014 | 12 | 163.2 | 127.2 | 0 | 360.2 |
| 2015 | 12 | 197.5 | 139.7 | 12.4 | 360.1 |
| 2016 | 12 | 186.5 | 165.7 | 0 | 457.2 |

Table 5 ANOVA Result of yearly Rainfall Data from 2000 to 2016

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Square | F-Statistic | P-Value |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Factor | 89907 | 16 | 5619 | 0.21 | 1.000 |
| Error | 4942289 | 187 | 26429 | | |
| Total | 5032195 | 203 | | | |

Table 5 shows that the average yearly rainfall from 2000 to 2016 is not significant since the P-value (1.000) is greater than 0.05, we therefore do not reject the null hypothesis and that there is significant difference between the average yearly rainfall in Owerri. This means that rainfall pattern in Owerri are not the same over the years.

Table 6 ANOVA Result of Monthly Rainfall Data from 2000 to 2016

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Square | F-Statistic | P-Value |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Factor | 3903570 | 11 | 354870 | 60.37 | 0.000 |
| Error | 1128661 | 192 | 5878 | | |
| Total | 5032231 | 203 | | | |

Table 6 shows that the average monthly rainfall from 2000 to 2016 is significant since the P-value (0.000) is less than 0.05, hence, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the average monthly rainfall in Owerri over the years are the same.

A. Fisher Pairwise Comparisons

Grouping Information Using the Fisher LSD Method and 95% Confidence

| Factor | N | Mean | Grouping |
|--------|----|-------|----------|
| AUG | 17 | 385.1 | A |
| SEP | 17 | 376.1 | A |
| JUL | 17 | 366.4 | A |
| JUN | 17 | 296.1 | B |
| OCT | 17 | 244.4 | B C |
| MAY | 17 | 243.9 | C |
| APR | 17 | 159.3 | D |
| MAR | 17 | 93.1 | E |
| NOV | 17 | 53.62 | E F |
| FEB | 17 | 48.19 | E F |
| DEC | 17 | 19.1 | F |
| JAN | 17 | 15.38 | F |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly different.

The fisher pair wise comparison shows that average monthly rainfall of the month of July, August, September and July were the same. The average monthly rainfall of June and October are same. Also October and May average monthly rainfall was the same. March, November and February average monthly rainfall are the same.

IV. CONCLUSION

From the findings of this paper, we observed that the average yearly rainfall in Owerri was not the same while the average monthly rainfall in Owerri was the same over the years meaning the months of November, February, December and January average monthly rainfall were not significantly different. We, therefore, conclude there were variations in the yearly rainfall but does not vary in the monthly rainfall.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abaje, I.B. (2010) Recent Trends in the Rainfall Supply and its Implication for Infrastructural Development. Paper Presented at the 51st Annual Conference of the Association of Nigeria Geographers held at the Kogi State University Ayingba 7 – 11 March. Nigeria.
- [2] Akinsanola, A.A and Ogunjobi, K.O (2014). Analysis of Rainfall and Temperature Variability over Nigeria. Global Journal of Human Social Science B Geography, Geo-Science, Environmental management, Vol 14(3).
- [3] Amjadi, M, Mostafapoor, P and Chegin, P (2021). Statistical Analysis of Rainfall Data (Case Study TRNC) ENG Transactions, Vol.(2), 1 – 8.
- [4] Animashaun, I.M, Oguntunde, P.G, Akinwumiju, A.S and Olubanjo, O.O (2020). Rainfall Analysis over the Niger Central Hydrological Area, Nigeria: Variability, Trend and Change Point Detection, Scientific African, Vol. (8). DOI. 10.9016/jsciaf.2020.e00419
- [5] Arvind, C, Kumar, P.A, Karthi, S.G, Suribabu, cr (2017). Statistical Analysis of 30 years Rainfall Data: A Case Study. IOP Conference series, Earth and Environmental Science, 80.012067.10.1088/1755-1315/80/1/012067
- [6] Chaponniere, A. and Smokhtin, V. (2006) A review of climate change scenarios and preliminary rainfall trend analysis in the Oum Er Rb9 Basin, Mococco. International Water Management Institute. Working Paper 10. Drought Series Paper8.<http://www.iwm.cgiar.org/publications/working/papers/working/WOR110.pdf>.
- [7] Daramola, M, Eresanya, E.O and Erhabor, S (2017). Analysis of Rainfall and Temperature Over Climatic Zone in Nigeria. Journal of Geography, Environment and earth Science International, Vol. (11), 1 – 14. DOI.10.9734/JGEESI/2017/35304
- [8] El-Adlouni, S and Quarda, T (2010). Frequency Analysis of Extreme Rainfall Events, Washington DC American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph Series, 191.171-188.DOI.10.1029/2019GM00976
- [9] Gomathy, C.K, Bala, A, Reddy, N, Kumar, A.P and Lokeshi, A (2022). A Study on Rainfall Prediction Techniques. International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, Vol 5(10)
- [10] Igwenagu, C.M (2014). Trend Analysis of Rainfall in Nigeria by Some States from 2002 to 2012. International Journal of Scientific Engineering Research, Vol 5 (10).
- [11] Itiowe, T, Musa, H.S, and Agidi, V (2019). Analysis of Rainfall Trends and Patterns in Abuja, Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. DOI.10.9734/cjast/2019/v34i430139
- [12] Lana,X, Serra, C and Burgueno, A (2001). Patterns of Monthly Rainfall Shortage and Excess in Terms of Standardized Precipitation Index for Catalonia (NE, Spain). International Journal of Climatology, 21:1669-1691.DOI:10.1002/joc697.
- [13] Maduka, E.C. (2010) Soil Erosion in Owerri Municipal: Causes and effects. Unpublished BSc Project. Geography and Environmental. Management, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria.



- [14] Ogunride, A.T, Oguntunde, P.G, Akinwumiju, A.S and Fasinmirin, J.T (2019). Analysis of Recent Changes in Rainfall and Drought Indices in Nigeria, 1981 – 2015. Hydrological Sciences Journal, Vol. 64(14).
- [15] Okorie, F. C., Ezedike C.E. and Chibo, C.N. (2014) Influence of Climate Variability on Mosquitoes Bite in Orlu Area of Imo State Nigeria. Proceedings of Association of American Geographers Meeting, 7-12 April 2014, Tampa, Florida, USA.
- [16] Ologunorisa, T and Tersoo, T (2006). The Challenging Rainfall Pattern and its implication for Flood Frequency in Makurdi, Northern, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management. 10, 97 – 102.
- [17] Omar, R.A (2022). Rainfall Data Analysis. An-Najah National University, A Published Thesis.
- [18] Osarunwense, O.I (2013). Applicability of Box Jenkins SARIMA Model in Rainfall Forecasting: A Case Study of Port Harcourt, South South, Nigeria. Canadian Journal on Computing in Mathematics, Natural Science and Medicine, Vol. 4 (1).
- [19] Shaharudin, S.M, Ahmad, N, Mohamed, N.S, Mahdin, H (2020). Fitting Statistical Distribution of Extreme Rainfall Data for the purpose of simulation. Indonesia Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 18(3), 1367 – 1374. DOI.10.11 591/ijeecs.v/8.i3.pp1367-1374.
- [20] Stern, R and Coe, R (1984). A Model Fitting Analysis of Daily Rainfall Data. Journal of Royal Statistical Society, Series A (General), 147(1). DOI.10.2307/2981736



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)