iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 **Issue:** X **Month of publication:** October 2017

DOI: http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2017.10188

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887
Volume 5 Issue X, October 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

Killing the Tumor Cells by Meghamite Nanoparticles

Hirakjyoti Das[1], Himashri Das[2]
[1]*Department of Physics, West Golapara College, Assam India*
[2]*Department of Zoology, Gauhati University, Assam, India*

Abstract: ***From the last decade due to the immense development in the field of Nano-science, magnetic nanoparticles hyperthermia has been proved as one of the most reliable new tumor treatment therapy. Because of immature cells, low blood flow rate, high density and lack of oxygen environment inside the tumour, it is experimentally seen that, tumour cells at temperature between 42°C and 47°C the viability of the cancerous cells is reduced [5, 14]). In order to achieve efficient and safe operational hyperthermia conditions, it is necessary to study or investigate detail about what heating model or magnetic loss processes dominant over the other in the ensemble of nanoparticles which are injected at the cancerous tumour sites. Because there are more than one heat loss process involved in generating heat by MNPs. There have numerous both theoretical and experimental work been done by different nanoparticles. Here, in this work we consider different MNPs and compare their theoretical results given by these particles. And taking into account cellular uptake mechanism since hyperthermia is intercellular process it is seen that Meghamite is the best magnetic particle to use for the hyperthermia. Using the data for meghamite we plot heat dissipation profile for different time interval inside the tumor. Comparing this result with normal tissue we have showed that hyperthermia has very low side effect on normal or healthy tissue. And at around 40 minutes it will raise the temperature of the tumor to 47^0 C, which is required for killing the tumour cell.***
Keywords:Magnetic Nanoparticles (MNPs), Nanoparticles (NPs), Relaxation time, Critical size.

I. INTRODUCTION

Generally, tumour is the cluster of cells undergoing uncontrolled growth of cell in the body. Since these cancerous cells are immature so they are more sensitive to temperature with respect to healthy cells [5]. On the basis of this particular limitation different experimental works have been performed in the last few years to destroy the cancerous cells by increasing the temperature of the tumour up to a certain limit. There are other traditional methods also available such as chemotherapy for tumour treatment or cancer treatment. But main problem involved in this traditional method is that this method also damages the healthy tissue along with the cancer cells. And also in this process the use of powerful toxic drugs results in an unwanted side effect in our body. Development in nanotechnology has provided magnetic nanoparticles hyperthermia, one of the most promising approaches in cancer therapy to come out with a solution of above problems by localized heating inside the tumour. Magnetic naoparticles hyperthermia has negligible side effect compare to other process. In magnetic nanoparticles hyperthermia, MNPs are injected near the tumour site. Once the MNPs are deposited on the site then an alternating magnetic field is applied for maximum 40-50 mins. The energy of this alternating magnetic field is absorbed by the magnetic nanoparticles and these particles are being exited to higher energy level. This excess energy of the particles is dissipating as heat to the surrounding. Since, in tumour low blood flow rate, high density condition is present, this helps localised heating inside the tumour. Thus healthy tissue will be unaffected by this treatment. Different mechanism is involved in the heating process of the MNPs in the presence of alternating magnetic field. And also dependency of heating powers on the size of the MNPs makes the process more interesting and theoretical. First experimental investigations of the application of magnetic materials for hyperthermia are carried out by Gilchrist in 1957 [9]. He heated various tissue samples different sizes particles of γ -Fe2O3 exposed to a 1.2 MHz magnetic field. After that many other theoretical as well as experimental work take into account cellular uptake mechanism, we theoretically showed that maghemite will be the best nanoparticles for hyperthermia process. And we also theoretically study the heat dissipation profile inside the tumor for different time period. There is a significant difference between the value of density of normal tissue and tumour. So if somehow MNPs absorbed by the healthy cell, then heat dissipation profile will not be same with tumor. Comparing the result for a normal tissue it can easily conclude that Hyperthermia has very low side effect.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

MNPs are subjected to an alternating magnetic field to turn them into a heat source. There are three different mechanisms by which magnetic materials can produce heat in presence of an alternating magnetic field. These are 1.Generation of eddy currents in magnetic particles. 2. Hysteresis losses in multi-domain MNPs, 3. Relaxation losses in 'super paramagnetic' single domain MNPs, But for the case of MNPs, heat produce due to eddy current decrease considerably as the size of the magnetic particles reduced to nanometer range. And also to generate heat by eddy current required high frequency alternating magnetic field. At a high frequency field eddy current also generates heat in the normal tissue. This causes the serious side effect to the patients. So in modern magnetic nanoparticle hyperthermia eddy current loss does not take into account. The hysteresis loop of magnetic materials is characterized mainly by three typical material dependent parameters: Saturation magnetization MS, Remnant magnetization MR and coercivity H_C. All these parameters are important for the heat output of nanoparticles and may vary considerably for different particle types. The power dissipated by a per unit mass magnetic material per oscillation subjected to an alternating magnetic field is often called the "Specific Absorption Rate" (SAR) of magnetic hyperthermia. One of the most unique things in magnetic nanoparticle is that the value of coercivity is strongly dependent on the size of the particles. At first as the size of the particle decrease to nanoscale, coercivity increase, but at particular size of the nanoparticle, coercivity achieve the maximum value afterwards it decrease sharply as the size of the particle further decrease. This size dependent coercivity value for particle size D can be expressed as [3]

$$H_C(D) = H_M\left(\frac{D}{D_1}\right)^{-0.6}[1-\exp(-D/D_1)^5]$$

Where, D_1 is a constant. Since SAR value is strongly depend on H_C, so SAR value also changes with size of the nanoparticles. So, for a very fine small particle hysteresis contribution to heat dissipation is very small [12]. Mainly, in nanoscale range relaxation loss is alone responsible over the other two mechanisms for heat generation process of MNPs.

III. RELAXATION LOSS

Magnetic domains exist in macroscopic samples in the magnetic materials, and they are separated by domain walls. Because of spin-orbital interactions of the electrons in the NPs produce magnetic anisotropy. For isolated systems, the magnetic anisotropy is responsible for keeping the spins in a particular direction. Since atomic orbital have non-spherical shapes, therefore they try to align in a specific direction which is called the easy direction. Energy is required in order to rotate the magnetization away from the easy direction. This required energy is called the anisotropy energy. In general, the anisotropy energy per particle is expressed by $E = KV^2 \sin\theta$ where K is the anisotropy constant (it includes all sources of anisotropy), V (=r^3) is the volume of the particle, and θ is the angle between the particle magnetization and the easy magnetization axis of the particle [4, 13, 14]. The higher order terms can be neglected from the above equation. It is seen that the anisotropy energy directly depends on the particle size and the anisotropy constant. For a fixed anisotropy constant K, as the size of the particle r decreases, anisotropy energy E also decreases. At nanoscale size, the particle prefers to have only one magnetic domain and it is called as single-domain NP. At this very small size, the anisotropy energy become smaller than the thermal energy, $E_{th} = k_B T$ (k_B is the Boltzmann constant). Therefore, in the absence of an external magnetic field the particle magnetic moment starts to rotate freely in all probable directions leading to zero net magnetization. While the particle orientation is fixed, if the flipping of magnetic moment start, then the relaxation time of the moment is called the Neel relaxation time and it is given by: [2,13, 14]

$$\tau_N = \tau_0 \exp(KV/k_B T)$$

In a fluid medium of viscosity η, additionally a second relaxation mechanism occurs due to rotation of the particles itself is commonly referred to as Brown relaxation with the characteristic relaxation time [2].

$$\tau_B = \tau_0 . \frac{3\eta V_h}{k_B T}.$$

V_h (=r_h^3) is the hydro-dynamically effective volume, which is differ from the geometrical volume. Including the ligand layer, hydrodynamic volume can be written as: [2]

$$V_h = \left[\frac{\Pi(D+2\delta)^3}{6}\right].$$

Where D is the diameter of MNP and δ is the ligand layer thickness.

Of course, particles will choose the energetically 'easiest way' for reversal of magnetization. This means that reversal will occur via the process which has the smaller relaxation time. Neel relaxation decreases faster compare to Brown relaxation due to the exponential dependent of volume of the particle. An effective relaxation time τ_{eff} can be defined by

$$\tau_{eff} = \frac{\tau_N \tau_B}{(\tau_N + \tau_B)}.$$

IV. POWER DISSIPATION

The internal energy of a magnetic system in an adiabatic process is $U = -\mu_0 \oint MdH$. [2, 4, 13, 14]. The power dissipation in unit second due to magnetic field of frequency *f*, is $P = Uf$. [4]. The volumetric power dissipation of magnetic nanoparticles can be defined as $p = \pi \mu_0 \chi'' H^2 f$ [4]. Where μ_0 is the permeability of the free space and χ'' is the imaginary part of the susceptibility $\chi(\chi = \chi' - i\chi'')$ and it is defined as [2, 4, 13]

$$\chi'' = \frac{\omega\tau}{1+(\omega\tau)^2}\chi_0$$

Where

$$\chi_0 = \chi_i \frac{3}{\xi}\left(\coth\xi - \frac{1}{\xi}\right).$$

Where ξ and χ_i are the Langevin parameter and initial susceptibilityis respectively

$$\xi = \frac{\mu_0 M_D H V_M}{k_B T}.$$

$$\chi_i = \frac{\mu_0 M_s M_D V_M}{3k_B T}.$$

Here, M_D and V_M are the domain and saturation magnetization, respectively. From the above equations the heat losses by MNPs in a fluid medium when exposed to an ac field are not only dependent on the amplitude and frequency of the applied magnetic field, but also depend on the physical and magnetic properties of the MNP and the material parameters of the carrier fluid.



Figure 1: Profile of power dissipation with variation in size of the magnetic nanoparticle for different nanoparticle.

Different particles with maximum heat dissipation critical size particle are the given below

Materials	$Q_{max\ (w/m^3)}$	Critical size (nm)
FePt	4.5×10^5	5
Fe	7.9×10^5	8
FeCo	8.2×10^5	27.5
Fe_3O_4	2.1×10^5	13.5
γ -Fe_2O_3	2×10^5	19

V. CELLULAR UPTAKE

Heat dissipation by hyperthermia is an intercellular process. So for efficient hyperthermia high cellular uptake rate of the nanoparticles is very mush necessary. Generally surface of the cell membrane covered with receptors. When particles come close to the receptors they selectively bound the particles. As a result, some chemical energy is released, which is equal to $L_b\varepsilon$. Where, L_b is the number of receptors in the membrane that bound the particles and ε is the chemical energy that released for each bound receptors. Using this chemical energy, receptors pull the nanoparticles towards the inside of the membrane to intercellular compartment. Considering all the mechanism that are effecting the cellular uptake process it is calculated that there is a critical size for which cellular uptake of the particles is maximum, which is equal to [1]

$$R_c = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa A}{k_B T\varepsilon}} = (\sim 19\text{nm}).$$

Where, κ (~20k_BT) is the bending modulus of the membrane and A is the area of the each receptors. For the nanoparticles which are smaller than this size cellular uptake is negligibly small. And also as the size increase above this critical value cellular uptake decrease linearly with the size.

VI. BIO HEAT TRANSFER

PennesBioheat Equation

$$\frac{d^2T}{dx^2} + \frac{q_{m+}\omega\rho_b C_b(T_a - T)}{k} = 0$$

Whereq_m , ω, $\rho_b$and $C_b$are the *metabolic* heat source term, blood perfusion rate of tumor, density of the blood and specific heat capacity of the blood.

Using this equation we plot heat dissipation profile inside the tumor using the data of meghamite. Tumor has a lower blood flow rate with respect to the normal tissue. It helps to generate localized heating inside the tumor. Due to lack of speed of blood, heat does not dissipate easily. Hence in a short time interval we can increase the temperature of the tumor to require level.

VII. CONCLUSION

In hyperthermia we need high heat dissipation loss by the nanoparticles. From the figure1 we see that various nanoparticles have maximum heat dissipation for certain size of the particle. Above and below this size heat dissipation value drops down quickly. From this we can conclude that size distribution of the nanoparticle should be very small for efficient hyperthermia treatment. And among the various particles FeCo has high heat dissipation.



Figure 2:Heat transport in tumor at different time interval

As we already discussed that hyperthermia would be efficient only when cellular uptake has a high value. From the cellular uptake mechanism we know that cellular uptake has an optimal value for the critical size of the particle near the size 19 *nm* (e.g. [1]). And from the above figure we see that maghemite has the maximum value of heat dissipation at the critical size 18.8 *nm.* So from these two points we can conclude that maghemite is an efficient nanoparticle for hyperthermia.

Now, if somehow these nanoparticles absorbed by the healthy cell, still it will not affect much. Since normal cells have the potential to live in the higher temperature. We compare the result of heat dissipation both in the normal tissue and tumor. From the fig 3 we can see that effected region in the normal tissue has a significantly lower value then the tumor. From this point we can conclude that hyperthermia has very lower side effect.



Figure 3: Comparison of effected area as a function of time for a. Tumor and b. Normal tisssue.

REFERENCES

[1] Chaudhuri1, Giuseppe B. and R. Golestanian,2011. The effect of interactions on the cellular uptake of nanoparticles. IOP Science, 8 (2011) 046002 (9pp).\Rudolf Hergt, Silvio Dutz, Robert Muller and Matthias Zeisberger.2016. Magnetic particle hyperthermia: nanoparticle magnetism and materials development for cancer therapy. J. Phys.: Condens.Matter 18 (2006) S2919–S2934.

[2] Silvio Dutz and Rudolf Hergt. 2014. Magnetic particle hyperthermia —a promising tumour therapy? Nanotechnology, 25 (2014) 452001 (28pp).

[3] Ihab M. Obaidat, Bashar Issa and Yousef Haik.2014. Magnetic Properties of Magnetic Nanoparticles for Efficient Hyperthermia. Nanomaterials2015, 5, 63-89; doi:10.3390/nano5010063.

[4] Andreas Jordan, Regina Scholz, Peter Wust, Horst FaKhling, Roland Felix.1999. Magnetic fluid hyperthermia (MFH): Cancer treatment with AC magnetic FIeld induced excitation of biocompatible superparamagnetic nanoparticles. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 201 (1999) 413}419.

[5] S. Ruta, R. Chantrell1 & O. Hovorka.2015. Unified model of hyperthermia via hysteresis heating in systems of interacting magnetic nanoparticles.Scientific Reports.

[6] R. Hergta, R. Hiergeista, I. Hilgerb, W.A. Kaiserb, Y. Lapatnikovc, S. Margelc, U. Richterd. 2004.Maghemite nanoparticles with very high AC-losses for application in RF-magnetic hyperthermia.Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 270 (2004) 345–357.

[7] Robert J. Deissler,a) Yong Wu, and Michael A. Martens.2013. Dependence of Brownian and Néel relaxation times on magnetic field strength. Medical Physics 41, 012301 (2014); doi: 1118/1.4837216.
[8] Hui S Huang and James F Hainfeld.2013.Intravenous magnetic nanoparticle cancer hyperthermia. International Journal of Nanomedicine 2013:8 2521–2532.
[9] A. H. Habib, C. L. Ondeck, P. Chaudhary, M. R.Bockstaller, and M. E. McHenry.2008. Evaluation of iron-cobalt/ferrite core-shell nanoparticles for cancer thermotherapy. JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS 103, 07A307 _2008.
[10] HamedArami, R. M. Ferguson, Amit P. Khandhar,andKannan M. Krishnan.2013. Size-dependent ferrohydrodynamicrelaxometry of magnetic particle imaging tracers in different environments. Med Phys.2013 Jul; 40(7): 071904.
[11] Ming Ma, Ya Wu, Jie Zhou, Yongkang Sun, Yu Zhang, Ning Gu.2002. Size dependence of specific power absorption of Fe3O4 particles in AC magnetic field. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 268 (2004) 33–39.
[12] Vanchna Singh, Varsha Banerjee and Manish Sharma.2009. Dynamics of magnetic nanoparticlesuspensions. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 42 (2009) 245006 (9pp)
[13] C. L. Ondeck, A. H. Habib, P. Ohodnicki, K. Miller,C. A. Sawyer, P. Chaudhary, and M. E. McHenry.2009. Theory of magnetic fluid heating with an alternating magnetic field with temperature dependent materials properties for self-regulated heating. JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS 105, 07B324 _2009.
[14] Riadh W. Y. Habash, Rajeev Bansal, Daniel Krewski, and Hafid T. Alhafid4.2006. Thermal Therapy, Part 2: Hyperthermia Techniques. Biomedical Engineering, 34(6):491–542 a(2006).
[15] Karolin Franke, Melanie Kettering, Kathleen Lange, Werner A Kaiser, Ingrid Hilger. 2013. The exposure of cancer cells to hyperthermia, iron oxide nanoparticles, and mitomycin C influences membrane multidrug resistance protein expressionlevels. International Journal of Nanomedicine 2013:8 351–363.
[16] Irene Andreu and Eva Natividad.2013. Accuracy of available methods for quantifying the heat power generation of nanoparticles for magnetic hyperthermia. Int J Hyperthermia, 2013; 29(8): 739–751.
[17] W. Andra, C.G. dÕAmbly, R. Hergt, I. Hilger, W.A. Kaiser.1999. Temperature distribution as function of time around a small spherical heat source of local magnetic hyperthermia. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 194 (1999) 197-203.



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY