



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 2017 Issue: onferendefonth of publication: December 2017

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: © 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Common Fixed-Point Theorems for Compatible Mappings of Type (E) in \mathcal{M} - Fuzzy Metric Spaces

M. Jeyaraman ^{1,} S. Sowndrarajan ²

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to obtain common fixed point theorems for self mappings in complete \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces by compatible of type (E). Our result generalizes and improves other similar results in Manandhar, Jha and Pathak [6].

Keywords: Common fixed point theorem, Generalized fuzzy metric spaces, Compatible mappings. AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H10, 54H25

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, the concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [15]. Then in 1975, Kramosil and Michalek [5] introduced the fuzzy metric space as a generalization of a metric space. In 1994, George and Veeramani [1] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norms. In 1986, Jungck [2] introduced notion of compatible mappings in metric spaces. In 2000, Singh and Chouhan [11] introduced the concept of compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. In 1993, Jungck, Murthy and Cho [3] gave a generalization of compatible mappings called compatible mappings of type (A) which is equivalent to the concept of compatible mappings under some conditions. In 1994, Pathak, Cho, Chang and Kang [8] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (P) and compared with compatible mappings of type (A) and compatible mappings. In 1998, Pant [7] introduced the notion of reciprocal continuity of mappings in metric spaces. In 1999, Vasuki [13] introduced the notion point wise R - weakly commuting mappings in \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces. Recently, in 2007, Singh and Singh [10] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (E) in metric spaces. Since then, many authors have obtained fixed point theorems in \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces using these compatible notions. The purpose of this paper is to establish common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (E) in \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces with an example.

II. PRELIMINARIES

```
A. Definition 2.1.
```

A binary operation $*: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t – norm if it satisfies the following conditions

- 1) * is associative and commutative
- 2) * is continuous
- 3) a * 1 = a, for all $a \in [0, 1]$
- 4) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$

Examples for continuous t – norm are $a * b = min \{a, b\}$ and a * b = ab

B. Definition 2.2.

A 3 – tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called \mathcal{M} fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary

non – empty set, * is a continuous t – norm, and \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy set on X^3 x $(0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions for each x, y, z, $a \in X$ and t, s > 0

- $(FM 1) \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0,$
- $(FM 2) \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 \text{ if } x = y = z,$
- (FM 3) \mathcal{M} (x, y, z, t) = $\mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function,
- $(FM-4) \mathcal{M}(x, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t+s),$
- $(FM 5) \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, .): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous,
- $(FM-6) \underset{t\rightarrow \infty}{lim} \mathcal{M}(x,\,y,\,z,\,t) = 1.$
- C. Example 2.3.

^{1,2} PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivaganga - 630561, Tamil Nadu,

International Conference on Mathematical Impacts in Science and Technology(MIST-17), November 2017

Let X be a non- empty set and D* is the D* - metric on X. Denote a * b = a.b for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. For each $t \in (0, \infty)$, define $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + D^*(x, y, z)}$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. We call this \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric induced by D^* - metric space. Thus every D^* - metric induces a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric.

D. Lemma 2.4.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. Then for every t > 0 and for every $x, y \in X$. We have $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t)$.

E. Lemma 2.5.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. Then $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$ is non - decreasing with respect to t, for all x, y, z in X.

F. Definition 2.6.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X.

- 1) $\{x_n\}$ is said to be converges to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) = 1$ for all t > 0
- 2) $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence, if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{M}(x_{n+p},\,x_{n+p},\,x_n,\,t)=1$ for all t>0 and p>0.
- 3) A \mathcal{M} fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.
- G. Definition 2.7.

Let S and T be two self mappings of a \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$.

Then the mappings S and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, Sx = Tx for some $x \in X$, then STx = TSx.

H. Definition 2.8.

The self mappings A and S of a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ are called point wise R- weakly commuting if there exists R > 0 such that

 $\mathcal{M}(ASx, SAx, SAx, t) \ge \mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t/R)$ for all x in X and t > 0.

I. Definition 2.9.

The self mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be compatible of type (E), if $\lim_{n\to\infty} AAx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = S(t)$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = t$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

J. Definition 2.10.

A self mappings A and S of a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space(X, \mathcal{M} , *) are said to be compatible of type (E) iff $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathcal{M}(AAx_n, ASx_n, ASx_n)$

$$ASx_n, t) = 1,$$

 $\underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}}\mathcal{M}(AAx_n,\,Sx_n,\,Sx_n,\,t)=1,\;\;\underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}}\,\mathcal{M}(ASx_n,\,Sx_n,\,Sx_n,\,t)=1\;\text{and}\;$

 $\underset{n\rightarrow\infty}{\text{lim}}\mathcal{M}(SSx_n,\,SAx_n,\,SAx_n,\,t)=1\;,\;\underset{n\rightarrow\infty}{\text{lim}}\mathcal{M}(SSx_n,\,Ax_n,\,Ax_n,\,t)=1,$

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{M}(Sx_n, Ax_n, Ax_n, t) = 1$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

 $\underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}}\; Ax_n = \underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}} Sx_n = x \text{ for some } x \text{ in } X \text{ and } t>0.$

K. Lemma 2.11.

Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with the condition (FM- 6). If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n, t)$ for all t > 0 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

We need the following proposition for the proof of our main result.

L. Proposition 2.12

If A and S are compatible mappings of type (E) on a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ and if one of the function is continuous. Then, we have

```
A(x) = S(x) \text{ and } \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{lim}} AAx_n = \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{lim}} SSx_n = \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{lim}} ASx_n = \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{lim}} SAx_n
       If these exist u \in X such that Au = Su = x then ASu = SAu.
       Whenever \{x_n\} is a sequence in X such that \lim Ax_n = \lim Sx_n = x for some x in X.
1) Proof: Let \{x_n\} be a sequence of X such that \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = x for some x in X.
       Then by definition of compatible of type (E), we have \lim AAx_n = ASx_n = S(x).
       If A is a continuous mapping, then we get
       \underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}} AAx_n = A(\underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}} Ax_n) = A(x). \quad \text{This implies } A(x) = S(x). \quad \text{Also}
       \underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}} AAx_n = \underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}} SSx_n = \underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}} ASx_n = \underset{n\to\infty}{\text{lim}} SAx_n.
```

Similarly, if S is continuous then, we get the same result. This is the proof of part (i). Again, suppose Au = Su = x for some $u \in Su$ X. Then, ASu = A(Su) = Ax and

SAu = S(Au) = Sx. From (i), we have Ax = Sx. Hence, ASu = SAu.

This is the proof of part (ii).

III. MAIN RESULTS

If P, Q, S, T, A and B are self mappings in \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. We denote $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(x, y, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(STx, Px, Px, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABy, Qy, Qy, t) * \mathcal{M}(STx, ABy, ABy, t)$ * $\mathcal{M}(ABy, Px, Px, \alpha t) * \mathcal{M}(STx, Qy, Qy, (2-\alpha)t),$ for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and t > 0.

A. Theorem 3.1

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space with $a * a \ge a$ for all

 $a \in [0, 1]$ and with the condition (FM -6). Let one of the mapping of self mappings

(P, ST) and (Q, AB) of X be continuous such that

 $PX \subset ABX, QX \subset STX;$

There exists $k \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(Px, Qy, Qy, kt) \ge \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(x, y, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and t > 0.

If (P, ST) and (Q, AB) compatible of type of (E) then P, Q, ST and AB have a unique common fixed point. If the pair (A, B), (S, T), (Q, B) and (T, P) are commuting mappings then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point.

1) Proof: Let x_0 be any point in X. From the condition (i) there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $Px_0 = ABx_1 = y_0$ and $Qx_1 = STx_2 = y_1$. Inductively, we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $Px_{2n} = ABx_{2n+1} = y_{2n}$ and $Qx_{2n+1} = STx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ for t > 0 and $\alpha = 1 - q$ with $q \in (0, 1)$ in (ii) then, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, kt) \ge \{ \mathcal{M}((STx_{2n}, Px_{2n}, Px_{2n}, t)) \}$$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(ABx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, t)$$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(STx_{2n}, ABx_{2n+1}, ABx_{2n+1}, t)$$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(ABx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n}, Px_{2n}, (1-q) t)$$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(STx_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, (1+q) t)$$
}

$$\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt)$$
 $\geq \{\mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) \}$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t)$$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, (1-q)t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, (1+q)t)$$
}

$$\geq \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)$$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, qt)$$
},

$$\geq \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)$$

* $\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, qt)$ }.

Since t - norm * is continuous, letting $q \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+1},\,kt) &\geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1},\,y_{2n},\,y_{2n},\,t) * \; \mathcal{M}(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+1},\,t) \\ &* \; \mathcal{M}(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1},\,y_{2n+1},\,t) \end{split}$$

$$\geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t).$$

It follows that
$$\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, k t) \ge \mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t).$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \ge \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t)$.

Therefore, for all n even or add, we have $\mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t)$.

```
Consequently, \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n, k^{-1} t) * \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, k^{-1} t) \text{ and hence } \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n, t) + \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t) + \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t) + \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t) + \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_n, t) + \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_n, t) + \mathcal{M}(y_n, t, 
* \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, k^{-1}t).
Since \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, k^{-m} t) \to 1 as k \to 0, it follows that
\mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, kt) \ge \mathcal{M}(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n, t) for all n \in \mathbb{N} and t > 0.
Therefore, by lemma (2.11), \{y_n\} is a Cauchy sequence.
Since X is complete, then there exists a point z in X such that y_n \to z as n \to \infty.
Moreover, we have y_{2n} = Px_{2n} = ABx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z and y_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n+1} = STx_{2n+2} \rightarrow z.
If P and ST are compatible of type(E) and one of mapping of the pair (P, ST) is continuous then by proposition (2.12), we have
Pz = STz.
Since P(X) \subset AB(X), there exists a point w in X such that Pz = ABw.
Using condition (ii), with \alpha = 1, we have
\mathcal{M}(Pz, Qw, Qw, kt) \ge {\mathcal{M}(STz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABw, Qw, Qw, t)}
                                               * \mathcal{M}(STz, ABw, ABw, t)
                                                * \mathcal{M} (ABw, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(STz, Qw, Qw, t)}.
                                          = \{\mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Pz, Qw, Qw, t)
                                              * \mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Pz, Qw, Qw, t)
                                            \geq \mathcal{M}(pz, Qw, Qw, t).
This implies Pz = Qw. Thus, we have Pz = STz = Qw = ABw. Also, we get
\mathcal{M}(Pz, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, kt) \ge {\mathcal{M}(STz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, t)}
                                                         * \mathcal{M}(STz, ABx_{2n+1}, ABx_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABx_{2n+1}, Pz, Pz, t)
                                                         * \mathcal{M}(STz, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}, t) }.
Letting n \to \infty, we get
\mathcal{M}(Pz, z, z, kt) \ge {\mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, Pz, t)}
                                           * \mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, z, t)}
                                       \geq \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, z, t).
Hence, we get STz = Pz = z. Therefore, z is a common fixed point of P and ST.
                   Again, if Q and AB are compatible with the type (E) and one of the mapping of (Q, AB) is continuous. So, we get Qw
= ABw = Pz = z.
By using proposition (2.12), we get QQw = QABw = ABQw = ABABw.
Thus, we get Qz = ABz. Also, using condition (ii) with \alpha = 1. We have,
\mathcal{M}(Px_{2n}, Qz, Qz, kt) \ge {\mathcal{M}(STx_{2n}, Px_{2n}, Px_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABz, Qz, Qz, t)}
                                                          * \mathcal{M}(STx_{2n},ABz,ABz,t) * \mathcal{M}(ABz,Px_{2n},Px_{2n},t)
                   * \mathcal{M}(STx_{2n}, Qz, Qz, t) }.
Letting n \to \infty, we get
\mathcal{M}(z, Qz, Qz, kt) \ge {\mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABz, Qz, Qz, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, ABz, ABz, t)}
                                                         * \mathcal{M}(ABz, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, Oz, Oz, t)}
                                              \geq \mathcal{M}(z, Qz, Qz, t).
Hence, we have Qz = ABz = z. Therefore z is a common fixed point of Q and AB.
Hence z is a common fixed point of P, Q, ST and AB.
For uniqueness, suppose that (Pw \neq Pz = z) is another common fixed point of P, Q, ST and AB. Then, using condition (ii) with \alpha
= 1. We have,
\mathcal{M}(PPz, QPw, QPw, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Pz, Pw, Pw, kt)
                                                             \geq \{ \mathcal{M}(STPz, PPz, PPz, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABPw, QPw, QPw, t) \}
                                                                * \mathcal{M}(STPz, ABPw, ABPw, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABPw, PPz, PPz, t)
                                                                * \mathcal{M}(STPz, QPw, QPw, t)}
                       =\mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Pw, Pw, Pw, t)
                        * \mathcal{M} (Pz, Pw, Pw, t) * \mathcal{M} (Pw, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M} (Pz, Pw, Pw, t)
\mathcal{M} (PPz, QPw, QPw, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(Pz, Pw, Pw, t).
That is, Pw = Pz = z. Thus, z is a unique common fixed point of P, Q, ST and AB by using the commutatively of the pairs (A,
B), (S, T), (Q, B) and (T, P) we can easily prove that z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q.
```

If we take $T = B = I_x$, an identity mapping of X.

B. Corollary 3.2

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space with $a * a \ge a$ for all

 $a \in [0, 1]$ and with the condition (FM-6). If one of the mapping of self mappings

(P, Q) and (Q, A) of X is continuous such that for $k \in (0, 1)$ we have

 $\mathcal{M}(Px, Qy, Qy, kt) \ge \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(x, y, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and t > 0, and if (P, S) and (Q, A) are compatible of type of (E)

 $\mathcal{M}((x, y, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(Sx, Px, Px, t) * \mathcal{M}(Ay, Qy, Qy, t) * \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ay, Ay, t)$

*
$$\mathcal{M}(Ay, Px, Px, \alpha t) * \mathcal{M}(Sx, Qy, Qy, (2 - \alpha) t)$$
,

for all x, y \in X, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and t > 0.

Similarly if we get the result for three self maps by taking S = A, $T = B = I_x$ in the Theorem (3.1) and also by taking P = Q, $T = B = I_x$ in Theorem (3.1) and obtain for two self maps by taking P = Q, A = S, $B = T = I_x$ in Theorem (3.1) then P, A, S, Q have a unique common fixed point.

C. Example 3.3

Let X = [2, 10] with the metric d defined by

$$D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$$
 and define $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + D^*(x, y, z)}$

for all x, y, $z \in X$, t > 0. Clearly $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a complete \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. Define P, Q, S, T, A and B: $X \to X$ as follows;

$$Px = 2$$
 for all x, $Qx = 2$ if $x < 4$ and $x \ge 5$, $Qx = 3+x$ if $4 \le x < 5$

$$Sx = 2 \text{ if } x \le 8, Sx = 8 \text{ if } x > 8;$$

$$Ax = 2 \text{ if } x < 4 \text{ or } x \ge 5, Ax = 5 + x \text{ if } 4 \le x < 5$$

Bx = Tx = x, for all $x \in [2, 10]$.

Then P, Q, S, T, A and B satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem and have a unique common fixed point x = 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] George. A and Veeramani. P: On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and systems. 64 (1994), 395 399.
- [2] Jungck. G, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. Math. J. Maths. Sci., 9(1986), pp. 771 779.
- [3] Jungck. G, Murthy. P. P. and Cho. Y. J., compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japonica, 38(1993), pp. 381 390.
- [4] Jungck, G, Rhoades, B. E, Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, Fixed point theory, 7(2), 2006, 287 296.
- [5] Kramosil. I and Michalek. J: Fuzzy metric and statistical spaces, Kybernetica 11 (1975), 336 344.
- [6] Manandhar. K. B. Jha. K and Pathak. H. K, A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (E) in fuzzy metric space, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 8, 2014, no. 41, 2007 2014.
- [7] Pant. R. P, A common fixed point theorem under a new condition, Indian J. pure Appl. Math., 30(2) (1999) 147 152.
- [8] Pathak. H. K,Cho. Y. J, Chang. S. S. and Kang. S. M, Compatible mappings of type (P) and fixed point theorem in metric spaces and probabilistic metric spaces, Novi Sad J. Math., 26(2)(1996), pp. 87 109.
- [9] Sharma. S, Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 127 (2002), 345 352.
- [10] Singh. M. R. and Singh. Y. M, Compatible mappings of type (E) and common fixed point theorems of Meir-Keeler type, Internat. J. Math. Sci and Engg. Appl., 1(2), (2007), 299-315.
- [11] Singh.B, and Chouhan.M.S, Common fixed points of compatible maps in Fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 115 (2000), 471-475.
- [12] Sintunavarat. W, Kuman, P, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space, J. Appl. Math, 14, 2011.
- [13] Sedghi.S and Shobe.N, "Fixed point theorem in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces with property (E)", Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 1(1) (2006), 55-65
- [14] Vasuki. R, Common fixed points for R weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Indian, J. Pure Appl. Math., 30(1999), 419 423.
- [15] Zadeh L.A., "Fuzzy sets", Inform. and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353









45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)