iJRASET
International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: TPAM-2018 Issue: onference Month of publication: March 2018

DOI:

# Anti- Homomorphism of Fuzzy Soft Subhemirings of a Hemiring

N.Anitha[1], J.Venkatesan[2]

[1]*Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University PG Extension center, Dharmapuri- Tamilnadu, India.*

[2]*Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sri Vidya Mandir Arts and Science College, Uthangarai-635207, Tamilnadu, India.*

***Abstract: In this paper, we made an attempt to study the algebraic nature of an anti-homomorphism of fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring. 2000 AMS Subject classification: 05C38, 15A15, 05A15, 15A18.***

***Keywords: Fuzzy soft set, fuzzy soft subhemiring, anti-fuzzy soft subhemiring, and pseudo Fuzzy soft coset.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

There are many concepts of universal algebras generalizing an associative ring (R; +; .). Some of them in particular, nearrings and several kinds of semirings have been proven very useful. Semirings (called also halfrings) are algebras (R; +; .) share the same properties as a ring except that (R ; + ) is assumed to be a semigroup rather than a commutative group. Semirings appear in a natural manner in some applications to the theory of automata and formal languages. An algebra (R ; +, .) is said to be a semiring if (R ; +) and (R ; .) are semigroups satisfying a. (b + c ) = a. b + a. c and (b + c) .a = b. a + c. a for all a, b and c in R. A semiring R is said to be additively commutative if a + b = b + a for all a, b and c in R. A semiring R may have an identity 1, defined by 1. a = a = a. 1 and a zero 0, defined by 0 + a = a = a + 0 and a. 0 = 0 = 0. a for all a in R. A semiring R is said to be a hemiring if it is an additively commutative with zero. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A. Zadeh [17], several researchers explored on the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets. M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut,[5] were developed some operations of fuzzy soft sets, On operations of soft sets was developed by

A.Sezgin and A. O. Atagun,[13] and KumudBorgohain and ChittaranjanGohain,[7] was developed some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets, In this paper, we introduce some Theorems in Fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

1) *Definition:* A pair (F,E) is called a soft set (over U) if and only if F is a mapping of E into the set of all subsets of the set U.

In other words, the soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set U. Every set $F(\varepsilon)(\varepsilon \epsilon E)$ from this family may be considered as the set of $\varepsilon$ -elements of the soft sets (F, E) or as the set of $\varepsilon$ - approximate elements of the soft set.

2) *Definition:* Let (U,E) be a soft universe and A ⊆ E. Let $\mathcal{F}(U)$ be the set of all fuzzy subsets in U. A pair ($\widetilde{F}$,A) is called a fuzzy soft set over U, where$\widetilde{F}$, is a mapping given by

$\tilde{F}$: A → $\mathcal{F}(U)$.

3) *Definition:* Let R be a hemiring. A Fuzzy soft subset (F,A) of R is said to be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring (FSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mu_{(F,A)}(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$,

(ii) $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq \min\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R.

4) *Definition:* Let (R, +,.) be a hemiring. An Fuzzysoftsubhemiring (F,A) of R is said to be an Fuzzysoft normal subhemiring (FSNSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(yx)$, (ii) $\nu_{(F,A)}(xy) = \nu_{(F,A)}(yx)$, for all x and y in R.

5) *Definition:* If (R, +, **.** ) and (R$^{l}$, +, **.**) are any two hemirings, then the function f: R → R$^{l}$is called a **homomorphism** if f(x+y) = f(x)+f(y) and f(xy)=f(x)f(y), for all x and y in R.

6) *Definition:* If (R, +, **.**) and (R$^{l}$, +, **.**) are any two hemirings, then the function f: R → R$^{l}$is called an **anti-homomorphism** if f(x+y) = f(y)+f(x) and f(xy)=f(y)f(x), for all x and y in R.

7) *Definition:* Let (R, +,.) and (R$^{l}$, +, . ) be any two hemirings. Then the function f: R → R$^{l}$be a hemiringhomomorphism.If f is one-to-one and onto, then f is called a **hemiring isomorphism**.

8) *Definition:* Let (R, +,.) and (R$^{l}$, +, .) be any two hemirings. Then the function f: R → R$^{l}$be a hemiring anti-homomorphism. If f is one-to-one and onto, then f is called a **hemiring anti-isomorphism**.

9) *Definition:* Let R and R$^{|}$be any two hemirings. Let f: R → R$^{|}$be any function and let A be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring in R, V be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring in f(R) = R$^{|}$, defined by

$\mu_{V(y)} = \sup_{x\in f^{-1}(y)} (\mu_{(F,A)}(x)$ for all x in R and y in R$^{|}$. Then A is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by $f^{-1}(V)$.

10) *Definition:* Let (F,A) be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +, ·) and a in R. Then the pseudo Fuzzy soft coset $(a(F,A))^p$ is defined by $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x)$, for every x in R and for some p in P.

## III. FUZZY SOFT SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING

1) *Theorem: If* (F, A) is an Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +,.), then (F, □A) is an Fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.

a) *Proof:* Let (F, A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R. Consider (F,A) = {⟨x, $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (x), ⟩}, for all x in R, we take (F, □A) = (F,B) ={⟨x, $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x), ⟩}, where $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x) = $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (x),. Clearly, $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x+y) ≥ min {$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x), $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (y)}, for all x and y in R and $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (xy) ≥ min {$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x), $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (y)}, for all x and y in R. Since A is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, we have $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (x+y) ≥ min {$\mu_{(F,A)}$ (x), $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (y)}, for all x and y in R, And $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (xy) ≥ min { $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (x), $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (y) }, for all x and y in R, for all x and y in R. Hence (F,B) = (F, □A) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R.

2) *Theorem:* If (F,A) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +, .), then (F, ◊A) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.

a) *Proof:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R. That is

(F,A) = {⟨x, $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (x)⟩}, for all x in R. Let (F,◊A) = (F,B)= ⟨x, $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x)⟩}, for all x and y in R. Since (F,A) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, which implies that 1–$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (xy) ≤ max {(1–$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x)), (1–$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (y))},which implies that $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (xy) ≥ 1– max {(1–$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x)), (1–$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (y))}= min {$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x), $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (y)}. Therefore, $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (xy) ≥ min {$\mu_{(F,B)}$ (x), $\mu_{(F,B)}$ (y) }, for all x and y in R. Hence (F,B) = (F,◊A) is an fuzzysoftsubhemiring of a hemiring R.

3) *Theorem:* Let (R, +, .) be a hemiring and (F,A) be a non-empty subset of R. Then (F,A) is a subhemiring of R if and only if (F,B) = ⟨$\chi_{(F,A)}$, $\bar{\chi}_{(F,A)}$⟩is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, where $\chi_{(F,A)}$ is the characteristic function.

a) *Proof:* Let (R, +, . ) be a hemiring and (F,A) be a non-empty subset of R. First let (F,A) be a subhemiring of R. Take x and y in R.

Case (i): If x and y in (F,A), then x+y, xy in (F,A), since (F,A) is a subhemiring of R, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+y)= $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) =1 and $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$(y)= $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+y) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) = 0. So, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+ y) ≥ min {x), $\mu_{(F,A)}\chi$(y) }, for all x and y in R, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) ≥ min{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x and y in R. So, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+ y) ≤ max{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x and y in R, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) ≤ max{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x and y in R.

Case (ii): If x in (F,A), y not in (F,A) ( or x not in (F,A), y in (F,A) ), then x+y, xy may or may not be in (F,A), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x) =1, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) = 0 (or) $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x) =0, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) =1), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+y) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) = 1 (or 0) and $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x) = 0, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x) (y) = 1( or) $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x)=1, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) =0 )= $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x+y) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$ (xy) = 0 ( or 1 ). Clea rly $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x+ y) ≥ min{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (y) }, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (xy) ≥ min{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (y)}, for all x and y in R,and$\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x + y) ≤ ma x{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (y) }, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (xy) ≤ ma x{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x and y in R.

Case (iii): If x and y not in (F,A) , then x+y, xy may or may not be in (F,A) , $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x)= $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (y) = 0, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+y)= $\chi_{(F,A)}$( xy) =1 or 0 and $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x) = $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) =1, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+y)= $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy)= 0 or 1. Clearly $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x+ y) ≥ min{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) ≥ min{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x and y in R, and $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x + y) ≤ ma x {$\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (xy) ≤ ma x {$\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }, for all x a nd y in R. So in all the three cases, we have B is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of (F,A) hemiring R. Conversely, let x and y in (F,A) , since (F,A) is (F,A) non empty subset of R, so, $\chi_{(F,A)}$ (x) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$ (y) = 1, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x) = $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) = 0.Since B = ⟨$\chi_{(F,A)}$, $\bar{\chi}_{(F,A)}$⟩is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, we have $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x + y) ≥ min {$\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }= min {1, 1} = 1, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) ≥ min{ $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }= min {1,1 } = 1. Therefore $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x + y) =$\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) =1.and, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x + y) ≤ ma x {$\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) }= max{0, 0 } = 0, $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) ≤ max {$\chi_{(F,A)}$(x), $\chi_{(F,A)}$(y) } = ma x { 0, 0 } = 0.Therefore $\chi_{(F,A)}$(x + y) = $\chi_{(F,A)}$(xy) = 0. Hence x + y and xy in (F,A) , so (F,A) is a subhemiring of R.

In the following Theorem ◦ is the composition operation of functions:

4) *Theorem:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H and f is an isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H. Then (F,A)◦f is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.

a) *Proof:* Let x and y in R and (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H. Then we have, ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(x+y) = $\mu_{(F,A)}$ ( f(x+y) )= $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (f(x)+ f(y) ) , as f is an isomorphism ≥ min { $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (f(x) ), $\mu_{(F,A)}$ ( f(y))}, = min {($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f)(x), ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(y)},which implies that ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(x+y) ≥ min {($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f)(x), ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(y)}.And, ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f)(xy) = $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (f(xy))= $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (f(x)f(y)), as f is an isomorphism ≥ min {$\mu_{(F,A)}$ (f(x)), $\mu_{(F,A)}$ (f(y))} = min {($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f)(x), ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(y)}, which implies that ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(xy) ≥ min{($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(x), ($\mu_{(F,A)}$◦f )(y)}.Therefore (F,A)◦f is a Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R.

5) *Theorem:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring h and f is an anti-isomorphism from a hemiring r onto h. then (F,A)∘f is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.

a) *Proof:* Let x and y in R and (F,A) be an fuzzysoftsubhemiring of a hemiring H. Then we have,

$(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x+y)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y)+f(x))$, as f is an anti-isomorphism $\geq \min\{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = \min\{(\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(y)\}$,which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(x+y) \geq \min\{(\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(y)\}$.And, $(\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(xy)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y)f(x))$, as f is an anti-isomorphism $\geq \min\{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\}$, $= \min\{(\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(y)\}$, which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(xy) \geq \min\{(\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}\circ f)(y)\}$. Therefore (F,A)∘f is an fuzzysoftsubhemiring of the hemiring R.

6) *Theorem:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +, . ), then the pseudo fuzzy soft coset $(a(F,A))^p$ is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R, for every a in R.

a) *Proof:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R. For every x and y in R, we have, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x + y) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x+ y) \geq p(a)\min\{(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = \min\{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x), p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = \min\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$.Therefore, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x + y) \geq \min\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Now, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq p(a)\min\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = \min\{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x), p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y) = \min\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$.Therefore, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) \geq \min\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Hence $(a(F,A))^p$ is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R.

## REFERENCE


[1] Akram.M and K.H.Dar On fuzzy d-algebras, Punjab university journal of mathematics, 37, 61- 76(2005).

[2] Azriel Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 35,512-517 (1971).

[3] K. Atanassov, Operators over interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 64 (1994) 159-174

[4] Biswas.R, Fuzzy subgroups and anti-fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy sets and systems, 35; 121-124, (1990).

[5] M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut, A study on some operations of fuzzy soft sets, International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Vol.2, Issue. 2 (2012) 219-225.

[6] Feng, F., C. Li, B. Davvaz and M.I. Ali, 2010. Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: tentative approach, Soft Computing, 14: 899-911.

[7] KumudBorgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain,2014. International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets,Vol.4,Issue 4(2014),65-68.

[8] Maji, P.K., R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, 2001. Fuzzy soft sets. The J. Fuzzy Math., 9: 589-602. [9] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37 (4-5) (1999) 19-31.

[9] P. K. Maji, A. R. Roy, and R. Biswas, An application of soft sets in decision making problem, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (8-9) (2002).



10.22214/IJRASET