



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 6 Issue: VIII Month of publication: August 2018 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Performance Analysis of Teaching Learning Optimization based TCSC Controller Design

Mayur Chouhan¹, Sagar Trivedi², Kapil Parkh³, Raunak Janjid⁴ ¹M.tech Scholar, ^{2, 3, 4}Assistant Professor, Electrical Engineering, SITE, Nathdwara, India

Abstract: Power system faces many problems leading to unstable performance of it. This instability is mainly due to the disturbances which require a good damping controller. These disturbances cause oscillations in power system which further leads to instability. FACTS have shown to be effective in addressing this problem of instability. There are many FACTS controllers but out of its family, Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC) was focused here to solve the given problem. TCSC not only damps out of these instability causing oscillations, but also improves transient performance of the system. To further improve its ability to solve such issues, it has been associated with several optimization techniques previously such as an Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Controller (IT2FLC), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Type-1 Fuzzy Logic Controller scheme mentioned here is a lead lag compensating design for TCSC which is making use of Teaching Learning Based Optimization (TLBO). It is tested on Single Machine Infinite Bus (SMIB) power system with the change of point of rotor speed and power angle. The whole scheme was developed in the MATLAB/SIMULINK environment to obtain the required result proving its usefulness in damping out the instability of power system.

Keywords: Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor; TLBO Algorithm; Phillips Haffron Model of SMIB System; FACTS devices

I. INTRODUCTION

With the increases of daily usage of electricity i.e. the overall demand of electrical power, it is necessary to transfer power at higher level over the transmission lines.

This has the effect of disturbance in the stability of power system which demands for the up gradation of the whole power system. But as it is well known that now a days to have the permission to establish new transmission line is quite difficult. It incurs a lot of cost and time too.

And other factors like environmental effects have to be considered too. This has led to take a closer look and analysis the power system so as to maintain its stability within the margin while maintain its security. Previously, keeping the power system away from its stability limits ensure the better dynamic control over the whole system. Other studies were also carried out in the area so as to address the problem stability. [3]

There are namely these types of stability in power system, large and small signal. Large signal stability is also known as transient stability. In case of small signal stability when there is no proper damping it occurs. [5].

With the development of new and better technology to tackle this issue, we now have Flexible AC transmission devices (FACTS). These are electronic based modern devices.

This family of devices has the capability of controlling the factors which affects the performance of the power system. It has ability of repeatability and smoother control resulting in less response time and efficient.

The following work is more focused around compensating series reactance of the line in order to improve the stability especially transient stability. The big family of FACTS includes Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC), Interline Power Flow Controller (IPFC), Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC), Thyristor Switched Series Capacitor (TSSC), Thyristor Controlled Series Reactor (TCSR) and Thyristor Switched Series Reactor (TSSR). But here TCSC has been chosen to show its usefulness in addressing the given problem of stability [30].

The various intelligent technique use in with FACTS controller. Some optimization technique is conventional and some modern technique as GA, PSO, GSA, ABB, PSO-GSA etc. In this paper we use TLBO algorithm and this is very important algorithm to find optimize solutions [6]



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887

Volume 6 Issue VIII, August 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

SYSTEM MODEL

A. Modified Philips Heffron model of SMIB with TCSC

Fig.1 shows SMIB model of Philips Heffron employs TCSC here to improve its system performances.

II.



Fig.1 Modified Philips Heffron Model with TCSC

Here a diagram shows the modeling of it, which uses the electromechanical equations mentioned previously in this chapter after linearizing it. It always works around an operating point [6].

B. Overview of TCSC Controller

Fig.2 shows the controller design used here has a washout block (high pass filter KT), its purpose is to let the signal pass through as it is associated with oscillations of input signal [32]. It also compensates the phase lag present among input and output signal by the use 2-stage phase compensation. Here is the representation of the transfer function of the given controller,

$$y = K_{T} \left(\frac{sT_{WT}}{1+sT_{WT}}\right) \left(\frac{1+sT_{1T}}{1+sT_{2T}}\right) \left(\frac{1+sT_{3T}}{1+sT_{4T}}\right) X$$
(1)

x = input signal

TCSC controller used here reduces the low frequency oscillations present in SMIB due to the disturbances so as to improve its overall stability by contributing a damping torque [7].



Fig.2 TCSC Controller



C. Objective Function

The main objective of the thesis is to reduce the disturbance causing oscillation with use of TCSC controller. Employment of this increases the stability (in terms of the settling time and overshoot) via the controlling of variation in rotor angle and speed. The problem is given as an integral time absolute error of the speed deviations, i.e.,

 $J = \int_0^{t_1} |\Delta w| . t. dt$

(2)

III. THE TEACHING LEARNING-BASED OPTIMIZATION (TLBO)

All algorithms based on evolution and the intelligence of the swarm are probabilistic algorithms and require common control parameters such as population size, number of generations, size of elites, etc. the transition rate. Similarly, PSO uses inertial weight as well as social and cognitive parameters. The proper setting of the algorithm-specific parameters is a very important factor that affects the performance of the algorithms mentioned above. Incorrectly tuning algorithm-specific parameters increases computational effort or results in an optimal local solution. Therefore, Rao et al. (2011, 2012a, b), Rao and Savsani (2012), Rao and Pate1 (2012) recently launched the TLBO (Teaching-learning Optimization) algorithm, requiring only the common control parameters and non specific control parameter algorithms. Other scalable algorithms require the control of common control parameters and the control of the algorithm-specific parameters. The load of the tuning control parameters is comparatively lower in the TLBO algorithm. Thus, the TLBO algorithm is simple, efficient and requires relatively less computing effort. Therefore, TLBO was used in this work to test the unrestricted and limited multi-object test functions, and the results were compared to other optimization algorithms. TLBO algorithm, a teacher based on the performance impact of learners in the class, is an algorithm that is based on the teaching and learning proposed by Rao [1]. This algorithm, and interacting, describing the two basic modes of learning (i) by teachers (known as a teacher step) and (ii) other learners (known as a learner's level) Describe. This optimization algorithm is considered the group of learner is considered as the population will be provided different topics for the learners, to different design variables of the optimization problem considered, "goodness of adaptation" learning outcomes optimization problem value .. The best solution for the entire population is a teacher. The design variable is actually a parameter that relates to the objective function of the given optimization problem, and the optimal solution is the best value of the objective function. The work of TLBO is divided into two parts, "teacher level" and "learner level". The TLBO algorithm has already been tested with several limited and unrestricted benchmark functions and is superior to other advanced optimization techniques [2]

A. Algorithm of TLBO

Below is the steps describing TLBO algorithm.

 The population (no. of students) size is started in the class N, no. of generation G corresponds to no. of units in distribution system D and limits of design variables. (U_L upper and L_L lower).

Optimization problem: Minimize f(x), such that $L_L \le x \le U_L$

f(x)= objective function x= vector for design variables

2) Generate a random population according to the number of students in the class (N) and number of subjects offered (D). This population is mathematically expressed as

	$x_{1,1}$	$x_{1,2}$.	 $x_{1,D}$						
V =	<i>x</i> _{2,1}	$x_{2,2}$.	 $x_{2,D}$						(3)
		••••	 						(0)
	$x_{N,1}$	x _{N,2}	 $x_{N,D}$						
					 6	~ ~	.th .		

 $x_{i,i}$ = initial grade of j^{th} subject of the i^{th} iteration.

3) Evaluate the average grade of each subject offered in the class. The average grade of the j^{th} subject at generation g is given by $M^g = mean(x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}, \dots, x_{i,i})$ (4)

4) Based on the objective value sort the population from best to worst. The best solution (teacher) is X_{teacher} = x for f(x) = min 5) Changing grade point of every control variables of every individual student. These are,

$$x_{new(i)}^{g} = x_{i}^{g} + rand * (X_{teacher}^{g} - T_{F}M^{g})$$

$$x_{new(i)}^{g} = x_{i}^{g} + r_{1} * (X_{teacher}^{g} - round[1 + r_{2}]M^{g})$$
(6)
(7)

 r_1, r_2 are random numbers between [0, 1].



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue VIII, August 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

The Sim power system tool boxes are used for analysis and develop the power system model. This is a very useful tool for modeling and simulation. This tool contains power library. Several blocks are available in library such as generators, different types of machines, power electronic converter, excitation system, different drives, transformers, transmission lines etc.

A. MATLAB Model of SMIB System with TCSC Controller

MATALB is a very popular platform for the simulation of different conceptual model. Here too, the same platform has been utilized. SIMULINK is one of the toll boxes provided by MATLAB that is made use of in the present work. Fig.3 shows the model of TLBO based TCSC in Philips Heffron model of SMIB has been developed using the same tools and platform.

TCSC is a prevalent series FACTS controller employed to enhance power transfer capability of transmission lines to ameliorate system stability. This controller is utilized for SMIB model to damp out low frequency oscillations which would enhance transient stability. The entire system is represented in SIMULINK block set of Sim power System tool of MATLAB working platform.

This given model of SMIB which employs TCCSC as a controller is designed with the help of linearization of equations. Initial operating conditions are provided in Appendix. Equations K_1 , K_2 , K_2 , K_4 , K_5 , K_6 , K_P , K_QK_V has been calculated by the equations which are presented after the linearized equations.



Fig. 3: MATLAB Model of SMIB System with TCSC Controller

For the successful operation of TLBO it requires careful selection parameters

		1				2			
S.N.	System	TLBO Optimized TCSC Controller Parameters at Different Loading							
		Loading Conding	K	T_1	T ₂	T ₃	T_4		
1.	SMIR	Nominal Loading	99.58	0.8554	0.9166	0.9107	0.0536		
	System	Light Loading	100	0.9995	0.0616	0.9996	0.6190		
		Heavy Loading	100	0.6411	0.3881	0.6216	0.0970		

Table 1: TLBO Optimized TCSC Controller Parameters for SMIB System







Fig.4 Convergence of fitness role for SMIB power system

B. Simulation Result for SMIB Power System

The results of the developed simulation models under various contingencies are presented and discussed below. The developed model is simulated without & with TCSC tuned by TLBO Algorithm. The analysis of the given model has presented for several operating and fault conditions. All the responses has been capture for the operation the model with TCSC and without the use of the controller mentioned.

The power system performance was examined nominal loading, light loading and heavy loading with 5% step increase with mechanical torque input and reference voltage setting. The line reactance is varied according to the load on the system. When the load is of nominal rating it is increased by 10% and in the case of heavy load it is 5% increase. The tow of the different cases for which the analysis has been carried are mechanical torque input and reference voltage setting .The various graphs the change in speed deviation, power angle deviation & electrical power deviation has been shown. Both responses are shown with TLBO and without any control. Table 2 shows different loading conditions of active and reactive power per unit show.

S.No.	Loading Conditions	P(PU)	Q(PU)					
1	Nominal Loading	0.9	0.469					
2	Light Loading	0.5	0.1695					
3	Heavy Loading	1.02	0.5941					

Table 2: Different Loading Conditions of SMIB System

C. Condition-1: Nominal Loading

Fig. 5 to 6 shows the performance of the system under nominal loading conditions is seen (P=0.9 pu, Q = 0.469 pu) under 5% step increase mechanical torque input at speed and power angle deviation response. The following results confirm the inadequacy of the system to damp when no controller is present indicating unstable status of the system. Finally get system response is stable & robust when apply TLBO tuned TCSC controller.







International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887

Volume 6 Issue VIII, August 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com





Fig.6 Power Angle Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Nominal Loading Condition

D. Condition-2: Line reactance value increased to 50% with Nominal Loading

Fig.7 to 8 shows under the given condition of nominal loading line reactance value is increased to 50% of its initial value. Without control response is poorly damped and the proposed TLBO is relatively effective and robust.



Fig.7 Speed Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Line Reactance value Increase to 50%



Fig.8 Power Angle Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Line Reactance value Increase to 50%

E. Condition-3: Light Loading

Fig. 9 to10 shows the performance of the system under light loading conditions is seen (P=0.5 pu, Q = 0.1695 pu) under 5% step increase mechanical torque input and reference voltage setting. From these responses it is known that uncontrolled response is poorly damped and controlled response settle down quickly. It shows effectiveness of the proposed scheme.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887

Volume 6 Issue VIII, August 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig.9 Speed Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Light Loading Conditions





Fig.10 Power Angle Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Light Loading Conditions

F. Condition-3: Heavy Loading

Fig. 11 to12 shows the performance of the system under heavy loading conditions is seen (P=1.02 pu, Q=0.5941 pu) under 5% step increase mechanical torque input. The designed controllers are emphatic and carry out satisfactory operation when employs TLBO tuned TCSC controller and system shows reduce settling time.







Fig.12 Power Angle Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Heavy Loading Conditions

G. Condition-4: Line Reactance Value Increased to 10% with Heavy Loading

Fig. 13 to14 shows (P=1.02 pu, Q = 0.5941 pu) under with 10% increase in line reactance with condition of mechanical torque input of 5% step. Whereas the system is without a controller shows high oscillations. Fig. 13 to14 shows obviously depicts that system is stable with optimal controller.



Fig.13 Speed Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Line reactance value increased to 10%



Fig.14Power Angle Deviation Response in mechanical Torque input at Line reactance value increased to 10%

H. Comparison Table without and with TLBO tuned TCSC Controller

So finally the effectiveness and robustness of the controllers are also evaluated at (1) different loading conditions (2) disturbance of 5% step increase in mechanical power input (3) variation of transmission line reactance. It is analyzed from all the responses that the magnitude of overshoot and the settling time in all the speed deviation plots is less in case of TLBO tuned TCSC controller



compared to without controller for the same condition, it is observed from the speed deviation, power angle deviation, plots that there is no overshoot contributed by the TLBO tuned TCSC controller response is faster compared to without control.

S.NO.	Conditions	Deviation	Without TCSC	With TLBO tuned TCSC
			Settling Time(Sec.)	Settling Time(Sec.)
1	Nominal Loading	Speed	High Oscillatory	0.5719
		Power Angle	High Oscillatory	0.8918
2	Line reactance value increased to	Speed	High Oscillatory	0.5719
	50% with Nominal Loading	Power Angle	High Oscillatory	0.8918
3	Light Loading	Speed	High Oscillatory	0.5539
		Power Angle	High Oscillatory	0.5121
4	Heavy Loading	Speed	High Oscillatory	0.7675
		Power Angle	High Oscillatory	1.02235
5	Heavy Loading with 10% decrease	Speed	High Oscillatory	0.5654
	in Line Reactance	Power Angle	High Oscillatory	1.0534

Table: 5.9: Increase in Mechanical Torque Input without and with TLBO Tuned TCSC Controller in Different Loading Conditions

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, TLBO tuned TCSC controller improves power stability. The system is based on MATLAB / Simulink tested and the results of the proposed work with and without Controller TLBO granted. The model of SMIB integrating TCSC usefulness into it has been modeled successfully. The results confirmed the vitality of TCSC in the SMIB. Also, it performs better when the parameters controlling the operations were optimized using TLBO algorithm. The effectiveness of the proposed controllers to improve the stability of the power system is demonstrated for a single infinite machine bus to various conditions such as mechanical torque input at speed deviation and power angle deviation. Show its superiority and a system to reduce the settling time.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Naik, J. Nayak and H.S. Behera, "A TLBO based gradient descent learning-functional link higher order ANN: An efficient model for learning from nonlinear data", Journal of King Saud University – Computer and Information Sciences, 2018, pp. 120–139.
- [2] A. Tiwari and M. K. Pradhan, "Applications of TLBO algorithm on various manufacturing processes: A Review", ICMPC, Materials Today: Proceedings 4, 2017, pp. 1644–1652.
- [3] S. Raj and B. Bhattacharyya, "Optimal placement of TCSC and SVC for reactive power planning using Whale optimization algorithm", Swarm and Evolutionary Computation BASE DATA, 2018, pp. 1-29.
- [4] S. Saharana, J. S. Latherb and R. Radhakrishnanc, "Optimization Using Rearrangement of Order of BGP and TLBO Approach", CSCC 2017, Dec 2017, pp. 7-8.
- [5] A. Haldera, N. Palb and D. Mondalc, "Transient Stability Analysis of a Multimachine Power System with TCSC Controller A Zero Dynamic Design Approach", Electrical Power and Energy Systems 97, 2018, pp. 51–71.
- [6] M. K. Sharma, A. Vijay and G. N. Pillai, "Stable Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Control of TCSC to Improve Damping of Power Systems", International Conference on Computer, Communications and Electronics (Comptelix), July 2017, pp. 388-393.
- [7] E. Yasoubi and Mostafa Sedighizadeh, "Coordinated Design of PSS and TCSC Controllers using Colonal selection algorithm for stability enhancement of dynamical power system", IEEE, 2017, pp. 580-585.
- [8] S. Sahu, A. K. Barisal and A. Kaudi, "Multi-objective optimal power flow with DG placement using TLBO and MIPSO: A comparative study", Energy Proceedia 117, 2017, pp. 236-243.
- [9] M. D. Kumar and P. Sujatha, "Design and Development of Self Tuning Controller for TCSC to Damp Inter Harmonic Oscillation", Energy Procedia 117, 2017, pp. 802-809.
- [10] D. K. Mishra, A. Mohanty and P. Ray, "MATLAB/SIMULINK based FA for optimizing TCSC controller in a power system", ICACCS -2017, Jan 2017.
- [11] M. Bakhshi, Md. H. Holakooie and A. Rabiee, "Fuzzy based damping controller for TCSC using local measurements to enhance transient stability of power systems", Electrical Power and Energy Systems 85, 2017, pp. 12–21.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)