



# **iJRASET**

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH**

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume: 3**

**Issue: X**

**Month of publication: October 2015**

**DOI:**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call:  08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# **Experimental Investigation on the Performance of Plastic Aggregate Concrete**

Anju Ramesan<sup>1</sup>, Shemy S. Babu<sup>2</sup>, Aswathy Lal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P.G. Scholar, <sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering

Sree Buddha College of Engineering, Alappuzha, Kerala, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Director, Kerala Highway Research Institute, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

*Abstract: This study is intended to explore the suitability of recycled high density polyethylene (HDPE) as coarse aggregate in concrete. Effect of replacement of coarse aggregate with various percentages (0% to 40%) of plastic aggregate on behaviour of concrete was experimentally investigated and the optimum percentage replacement of coarse aggregate was found out. The results showed that the addition of HDPE aggregate to the concrete mixture led to increase in the compressive strength of the concrete till a replacement of 30% of natural coarse aggregate. Also with the addition of plastic aggregate, the workability and tensile force of the resultant concrete increased. The bonding property of both the concrete mix was also studied with the help of pullout apparatus. The present investigation also focussed on the effect of  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $HCl$  and  $Na_2SO_4$  on concrete containing normal aggregate and plastic aggregate respectively. The rate of attack on mix containing plastic aggregate was comparatively less than that of control mix. The obtained results supported the use of HDPE aggregate for partial replacement of natural aggregate.*

*Keywords: Bonding Property, Hydrochloric acid attack, Optimum replacement, Recycled HDPE, Sodium sulphate attack, Sulphuric acid attack*

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Indian construction industry today is amongst the five largest in the world. The demand for new construction is ever increasing with the rise in population. Hence the non-renewable aggregate supply has emerged as a problem in India. With the shortage as seen today, the future seems to be in dark for the construction sector. Seeking aggregates for concrete, new materials have been used in the construction field. Focusing on the environment and safeguarding natural resources, new waste materials have been used in the construction industry. In India, due to growing population the quantity of solid waste is increasing rapidly. Among the solid waste materials, plastics represent 8% by weight of the total solid wastes.

For solving the disposal of large amount of plastic materials and to meet the increasing need for aggregates, reuse of plastic in concrete is considered as the most feasible application. Plastic aggregates will not be crushed as easily as natural aggregate since plastic are polymers made up of long string molecules consisting of carbon atoms bonded with other atoms such as hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen, fluorine. They develop a crystalline structure which is strong, hard and more resistant to chemical penetration and degradation. Hence it will be a boon to the construction industry if plastic is utilized to prepare aggregates rather than recycling it repeatedly.

Plastic materials are light in weight, unbreakable, odourless and can be easily moulded. They have excellent finishing; possess good shock absorption capacity, high strength as well as toughness. These have low thermal expansion of co-efficient. Therefore they possess good thermal and electrical insulating property. Plastics have water resistant property and possess good adhesiveness. They are strong, durable, good and cheap to produce. It is possible to recycle plastic; therefore no decomposition required which is much more expensive and hazardous than recycling. Plastic can be used in building, construction, electronics, packing and transportation industries. But in contrast to all the above, plastic is a soft, non-renewable resource which cannot be used for some crucial applications. Recycling of plastic is a cost effective process. The improper disposal of plastic can cause hazard to wildlife as they are not readily biodegradable. Plastic materials like plastic bags are mostly end up as harmful waste in landfill which may pollute the environment and threatening our health. The biodegradation of plastic takes 500 to 1,000 years but manufacturing takes only seconds. It is thus essential to find an alternative method to recycle plastic.

# International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

## II. MATERIALS USED

The materials used for the study were cement, coarse aggregate, fine aggregate, plastic aggregate, water and chemicals. Plastic aggregates were prepared from recycled HDPE materials. HDPE sheets of 20mm thick were made and the plastic aggregates were cut out.



Fig 1 Plastic Aggregate

Chemicals like sulphuric acid, hydrochloric acid and sodium sulphate anhydrous were used to carry out chemical curing. The chemicals were manufactured by NICE Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. The materials were tested as per IS specifications. The material properties are enlisted in Table 1

Table 1. Material Properties

|                   |   |
|-------------------|---|
| Cement            | Brand: Shankar Cement; 43 grade Portland Pozzolana Cement |
|                   | Standard Consistency: 32%                                 |
|                   | Initial Setting Time: 190 min                             |
|                   | Final Setting Time: 365 min                               |
|                   | Specific Gravity: 2.965                                   |
|                   | Mortar Cube Strength: 43 N/mm <sup>2</sup>                |
| Fine Aggregate    | Fineness Modulus: 4.129                                   |
|                   | Zone: 1   |
|                   | Specific Gravity: 2.697                                   |
|                   | Water Absorption: 0.2%                                    |
| Coarse Aggregate  | Fineness Modulus: 5.09                                    |
|                   | Nominal Size: 20 mm                                       |
|                   | Specific Gravity: 2.745                                   |
|                   | Water absorption: 0.05%                                   |
| Plastic Aggregate | Fineness Modulus: 5.63                                    |
|                   | Nominal Size: 20mm  |
|                   | Specific Gravity: 0.94                                    |

## III. CONTROL MIX

An M20 mix was designed as per IS 10262 – 2009 (e.g. [5]). The adopted mix proportion is as given in Table 2.

Table 2 Mix Proportion – Control Mix

| Material                    | Cement | Fine Aggregate | Coarse Aggregate | Water  |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|--------|
| Weight (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | 383.16 | 733.584        | 1119.96          | 191.58 |
| Ratio                       | 1      | 1.914          | 2.922            | 0.5    |

## IV. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

Cubes, cylinders and beams were casted for varying percentage replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate. The mix

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

are designated as follows:

Table 3 Mix Designation

|   |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|---|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| % Replacement of aggregate with plastic | 5  | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | 30  | 35  | 40  |
| Mix Designation                         | 5P | 10P | 15P | 20P | 25P | 30P | 35P | 40P |

For the durability test, cubes were cured in 2% sulphuric acid solution, 2% hydrochloric acid solution and 2% sodium sulphate solution for 90 days respectively.



Casting of Specimens



Water Curing



2% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Curing



2% HCl Curing



2% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Curing

Fig 2 Casting and Curing of Specimens

Bonding stress was evaluated using pullout apparatus. The cylindrical attachment used for the purpose had an inner diameter of 4 cm, outer diameter of 6 cm and a height of 10 cm.



Cylindrical Attachment



Cube Casted for Pullut Test

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Fig 3 Casting of Specimen for Pullout Test

Durability and pullout test were conducted for control mix and optimum percentage replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate.

Table 4 Total Number of Specimens Casted

| Specimen | Dimension                      | Total Number |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Cube     | 150 mm x 150 mm x 150 mm       | 117          |
| Cylinder | 150 mm diameter; 300 mm height | 162          |
| Beam     | 100 mm x 100 mm x 500 mm       | 162          |

### V. TEST RESULTS

#### A. Workability By Slump Test

With the introduction of plastic in concrete the slump value increases; i.e. the workability of concrete increases.




Fig 4 Variation in Slump Value for Various Mix

#### B. Compressive Strength Of Cubes

An increase in strength was observed till 30% replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate and on further replacement strength was found to be decreasing.




Fig 5 Variation in Compressive Strength of Cube for Various Mix

#### C. Compressive Strength Of Cylinders

It is seen 7 day, 14 day and 28 day compressive strength of cylinder increased gradually with the replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate in concrete.

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)




Fig 6 Variation in Compressive Strength of Cylinder for Various Mix

### D. Splitting Tensile Strength Of Cylinder

An increasing trend in splitting tensile strength of concrete was observed till 30% replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate.




Fig 7 Variation in Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylinder for Various Mix

### E. Flexural Strength Of P.C.C Beam

Flexural strength increased with the increase in plastic content in concrete.




Fig 8 Variation in Flexural Strength of PCC Beam for Various Mix

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

### F. Breaking Load Of R.C.C Beam

Flexural strength increased with the increase in replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate in concrete.




Fig 9 Variation in Breaking Load of RCC Beam for Various Mix

### G. Cube Weight

Plastic being a light weight material tends to decrease the weight of the resultant concrete.





Fig 10 Variation in Weight of Cube for Various Mix

### H. Sulphuric Acid Attack

When compared, significant differences in weight losses (Fig 11) were not observed for both the mixes after 90 days sulphuric acid curing. Mix using plastic aggregate showed more decrease in compressive strength after H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> curing when compared with control mix using natural aggregate (Fig 12).



# International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Fig 11 Percentage Decrease in Weight of Cubes after H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Curing




Fig 12 Variation in Compressive Strength of Cubes after H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Curing

### I. Hydrochloric Acid Attack

Percentage decrease in weight loss (Fig 13) of specimen after 90 days hydrochloric acid curing was 1.46% and 0.5% for CM and 30P respectively. Variation in compressive strength (Fig 14) shows that concrete is highly resistance to chloride attack on replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate.




Fig 13 Percentage Decrease in Weight of Cubes after HCl Curing




Fig 14 Variation in Compressive Strength of Cubes after HCl Curing

### J. Sodium Sulphate Attack



## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

When compared, significant differences in weight losses were not observed for both the mixes after 90 days sulphuric acid curing. Plastic being chemically resistant increases the compressive strength of the resultant concrete when compared to conventional concrete.




Fig 15 Percentage Decrease in Weight of Cubes after Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Curing




Fig 16 Variation in Compressive Strength of Cube after Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Curing

### K. Bonding Stress

The bonding stress of CM was found to be 0.4 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and that of 30P was 0.44 N/mm<sup>2</sup>.




Fig 17 Variation in Bonding Stress

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

A study was conducted to investigate the possibility of making plastic aggregate and using the aggregate made from plastic as a substitute for natural coarse aggregate in concrete. The study can be concluded as follows:

Plastic aggregate is a lightweight material with specific gravity 0.94.

The workability of concrete increased by 50% for a mix containing 40% plastic aggregate.

Compressive strength and splitting tensile strength of concrete increased till 30% replacement of natural aggregate with plastic aggregate and on further replacement they tend to decrease but not below the target mean strength.

Compressive strength increased by 9.4% and splitting tensile strength by 39% for a mix with 30 % replacement of natural aggregate by plastic aggregate when compared to control mix.

Flexural strength of PCC beam and breaking load of RCC beam increased till 40% replacement. There was an improvement of 20% and 31% strength respectively.

The optimum percentage replacement of natural coarse aggregate using plastic aggregate was obtained as 30%

After 90 days sulphuric acid curing (2% solution), the percentage decrease in weight of the mix containing plastic aggregate was found to be 1.4% and that of control mix was 1%.

Compressive strength (after sulphuric acid curing) increased by 11% for a mix with 30% replacement of natural aggregate by plastic aggregate when compared to control mix.

After 90 days hydrochloric acid curing, the percentage decrease in weight of the control mix was 1.46% whereas that of the mix containing plastic aggregate was 0.5%

After hydrochloric acid curing, there was an improvement in compressive strength by 3% for the mix with 30% plastic aggregate when compared to control mix.

After 90 days sodium sulphate curing (2% solution), the percentage decrease in the weight of the mix containing plastic aggregate was 1.35% and that of control mix was 1.53%.

Compressive strength increased by 7% for the mix with 30% replacement of natural aggregate by plastic aggregate when compared to control mix; after sodium sulphate curing.

Results indicated that bonding stress was almost the same for both the mixes. The mix control mix had a bonding stress of 0.4 N/mm<sup>2</sup> whereas the mix containing plastic aggregate had a bonding stress of 0.44 N/mm<sup>2</sup>.

It was found that plastic tends to reduce the unit weight of concrete. The weight was reduced by 12% for a mix with 40% replacement of plastic aggregate when compared to that of control mix.

### VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Apart from the efforts from my side, the success of any project depends largely on the encouragement and guidelines of many others. I take this opportunity to thank each and everyone who joined me, walked beside me and helped me in this journey. The guidance and support received from all the members who contributed and who are contributing to this project, was vital for the success of the project. I am grateful to their constant support and help.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel Yaw Osei, Experimental Investigation on Recycled Plastics as Aggregate in Concrete, International Journal of Structural and Civil Engineering Research, ISSN: 2319 – 6009, Vol. 3, No. 2, May 2014, pp. 168-174
- [2] Dr M. Vijaya Sekhar Reddy, D. Mrudula, M. Seshalalitha, Strength and Density Characteristics of Light Weight Concrete by Using HDPE Plastic Waste, International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET), ISSN:2321-9653, Vol. 3, Special Issue-1, May 2015, pp. 149-152
- [3] N. Venkat Rao, M. Rajasekhar, Mohd. Mujeebuddin Ahmed, An Experimental Study on Durability of High Strength Self Compacting Concrete (HSSCC), International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, eISSN: 2319 – 1163, pISSN: 2321 – 7308, Vol. 2, Issue 12, Dec 2013, 430 – 436
- [4] R. Lakshmia, S. Naganb, Investigations on Durability Characteristics of E-Plastic Waste Incorporated Concrete, Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing), Vol. 12, No. 6 (2011), 773-787
- [5] IS: 10262 – 1982, Recommended Guideline For Concrete Mix Design

### AUTHORS PROFILE



Anju Ramesan is pursuing Master degree in Structural Engineering from Sree Buddha College of Engineering, Kerala, India. She received Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering from Sree Buddha College of Engineering for Women, Kerala, India.

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Email: anjuramesan02@gmail.com



Aswathy Lal received the degree Master of Technology in Traffic and Transportation Engineering from College of Engineering, Thiruvananthapuram, India. She is presently working as the Assistant Professor of Sree Buddha College of Engineering, Kerala, India.



Shemy S. Babu completed her B. Tech degree in Civil Engineering during 1997 – 2000. After two years of experience in the field of teaching, she joined for her post-graduation degree in Structural Engineering. She presently works for the Kerala Highway Research Institute, Kerala, India as the Assistant Director. She joined the institute in 2008.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)