



# **iJRASET**

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH**

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume: 9      Issue: VIII      Month of publication: August 2021**

**DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.37206>**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call:  08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# Improving Teacher-Student Interaction in the English Classroom: An Action Research Report

Dr. Leela K.S<sup>1</sup>, Yogitha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Principal, Sarada Vilas Teachers College, Mysuru, Karnataka India

<sup>2</sup>Gopalaswamy High School, Mysuru

**Abstract:** *It is a common scene in many of the secondary school classrooms where a teacher does not encounter a participatory class. Especially in English classrooms students find it tough to converse fluently in English and this is a great inhibitory factor for the teacher to bring out her fullest efficiency. The present study aims to bridge this gap by making students feel comfortable and less inhibited inside classroom. This study aims to develop a congenial environment by instilling confidence by interactive methods and thus making students respond more in classroom. The student teacher who taught carried this out efficiently and was patient enough to deal with various nuances of learning. She was adept enough to steer with the learning variations of students and motivate them. This study establishes the fact that the manoeuvring ability of teacher makes a difference in the classroom. The untiring enthusiasm of pupil teacher was the key factor in successful interactive session.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

A common problem for English teachers in India is dealing with a passive class, where students with regional language as their mother tongue are unresponsive and avoid interaction with the teacher. This is particularly obvious when an teacher looks for communication in an instructional class discourse, like posing inquiries to the class overall, expecting no less than one student to react. This can be a disappointing interaction for them both- student and teacher. Clearly, there will be times when no student can respond to a teacher's inquiry, however regularly students don't answer regardless of whether they comprehend the inquiry, know the appropriate response and can deliver the appropriate response. Moreover, students can frequently be exceptionally hesitant to give criticism or ask the teacher an inquiry before the class. An undesirable distance is kept up with by pupils in light of their failure to react uninhibitedly. This action research project endeavoured to investigate this issue and looked to make a more intuitive instructor class exchange in English students of eighth class.

## II. ACTION RESEARCH DEFINED

Action research is worried about attempting to working on one explicit point in a teacher's procedure in a specific classroom utilizing experimental estimation. Teacher-initiated classroom research looks forward to expand the teacher's comprehension of classroom instruction and learning and to achieve enhancement in study hall rehearses. Action research typically involves small-scale investigative project in the teacher's own classrooms. This normally incorporates having an observer gather information and with the teacher fostering an activity intend to achieve the ideal change, follow up on the arrangement, and afterward notice the impact of the arrangement in the study hall.

## III. CLASS DESCRIPTION

The class noticed was a gathering of 23 sophomores at a private organization by name "Gopalaswamy High School". The objective of this particular class is to show the understudies fundamental English discussion, perusing, talking, tuning in and composing abilities. It is also to teach the students basic English conversation, reading, speaking, listening and writing skills. Their English ability level ranged from upper beginner to intermediate. During the observation period, the students appeared motivated and attentive, and they seemed to be enjoying the class.

## IV. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

The students, as a class, didn't react willingly to the educator's inquiries and didn't take part in class conversations. Students usually never asked the instructor inquiries outside one-on-one circumstances. Subsequently the instructor got minimal oral criticism. As per the educator, the majority of the students sat gazing directly ahead utilizing negligible looks, motions and verbal expressions. What she needed was for the students to be more illustrative, expressive and all the more obviously open in their dynamic collaboration. She needed the students to pose inquiries, offer remarks and to react with gestures and shakes of the head, with hints of arrangement or hints of comprehension and furthermore, she needed them to be both responsive and proactive and display plain conduct which made her showing more cognisant.

## V. PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION

It was seen that the student teacher's class during the main seven day stretch of the training in-instructing, in the initial 45 minutes, the class went through a moderate level interaction/participation. Students were reluctant to talk- later they communicated that they couldn't settle on the right selection of words. The understudies initially tuned in with their books close, on the other hand with the books open. Then, they did a correspondence practice comprising of 25 short sentences dependent on the discourse. The student teacher then, at that point discussed the sociolinguistic and sentence structure points of the activity and proceeded to test for comprehension. At the point when student teacher posed inquiries to assess their understanding they liked to stay quiet and lethargic. This constructed a bridge in their further advancement and hindered their open propensities.

The student teacher posed a couple of different inquiries which additionally drew no reaction or response from the students The understudies then, at that point needed to respond to certain inquiries regarding the discussion in their book. A large portion of the understudies appeared to experience little difficulty doing this, and in case there were any inquiries, they promptly asked the understudy sitting close to them.

The second 50% of the class was committed to match work utilizing the expressions and jargon from the discourse. The student appeared to partake in this, and generally attempted to make their own discourse. The educator strolled about the room keeping an eye on the advancement of each pair. The class environment was extraordinarily not quite the same as the primary portion of the class, with gab and incidental chuckling filling the air. The understudies addressed a large portion of the educator's inquiries with cheerful readiness, and some even posed their own inquiries.

## VI. HYPOTHESIS

Since the students appeared for the most part to comprehend the educator's inquiries, it was felt that there was something different that held the understudies back from reacting intentionally in the class-instructor exchanges. Since most understudies are educated to tune in and not to scrutinize an educator in class, they have almost no involvement with 'in-class' connection with the instructor, like addressing or remarking or giving criticism. Understudies are generally educated to be peaceful and deferentially pay attention to the teacher.

By showing the students that class cooperation with the English teacher isn't just worthy, yet ordinary, helpful and advantageous, it was accepted that the students would turn out to be more intelligent with the pupil teacher's class collaboration.

## VII. PLAN INTERVENTION

Following the speculation, two stages were taken to execute the arrangement:

First, on the accompanying class, the instructor clarified about "rules" for posing inquiries in class in English. The instructor made an activity out of it and made students recite the passage for all to hear to the class and clarified a couple of troublesome words and invested extra energy developing the content. The "rules" were extrapolated from a cultural point were as per the following:

The unique "rules" about how students should act in the homeroom. In certain subjects, students are required to tune in and just the educator should talk in class. Be that as it may, in English class, it is acceptable and imperative to respond to the educator's inquiries and ponder with inquiries of their own. It implies that you are intrigued and focusing. In English, it is your obligation to pose inquiries in the event that you don't comprehend.

The educator proceeded to say that in the event that they actually felt awkward posing and noting inquiries, they needed to essentially gesture or shake their head as a reaction to the instructor's inquiries.

Secondly, the instructor helped the understudies to remember the "rules" toward the start of each resulting class and further urged them to turn out to be more dynamic in the class when the educator was talking.

Students were motivated at each stage with praises and oral rewards such that they felt a high level of energy and confidence to participate and interact with each other Students who were actively interacting felt motivated and even those who were not interactive also felt involved. This paved a smooth way for a highly encouraging atmosphere and majority of them felt energised and this reduced their hesitation level.

## VIII. OUTCOME

In the fourth seven day stretch of the training in instructing, the class was noticed once more in a keen manner. An exercise like the past one was introduced. Toward the start, the understudy educator helped the class to remember the "rules." The educator started discussing the discourse, making syntax, use and sociolinguistic focuses, sprinkled with inquiries concerning the section and the teacher's clarifications. This continued for around twenty minutes and included general appreciation check questions, for example, 'do you comprehend?' and 'are you OK?' just as explicit inquiries regarding the exchange.

Concerning comprehension questions, the greater part of the students gestured accordingly and a couple of addressed 'yes' to these inquiries. Also, it was accepted that they did, truth be told, comprehend.

With the particular inquiries, notwithstanding, something sudden occurred. At the point when the educator posed an inquiry, he was typically welcomed with poker-faced gazes, as in the past. Be that as it may, when he drew nearer, took a gander at an understudy, or pair of understudies, and rehashed the inquiry, the understudies normally attempted to reply. By and large, I noticed, the understudy instructor was giving significantly more consideration to the understudies, drawing nearer to them, and taking a gander at explicit understudies and attempting to make a superior association with them. Rather than posing inquiries with the inclination that they truly wouldn't have been addressed at any rate, as in the past, the educator put forth a more noteworthy attempt to convey the inquiries, and went about as though she expected to get reactions.

Likewise, close to the furthest limit of the educator's discussion on the exchange, two understudies, without inciting from the instructor, posed inquiries before the class. Albeit the inquiries were not related straightforwardly to the discourse, the way that the inquiries were posed before the whole class was viewed as a forward leap.

## IX. CONCLUSION

There were a few regions where the consequences of this activity research were not as effective as trusted. For example, the students should have been provoked with eye to eye connection and a rehashed question from the instructor to address an inquiry, and when they didn't get something, they actually didn't intrude on the educator with an inquiry.

But some advancement was unquestionably made, particularly when the concise range between perceptions is thought of. The understudies associated with the educator by gesturing, some responded to the instructor's inquiries, and two, on their own introduction, even posed inquiries before the class. The unexpected symptom of the educator turning out to be more worried about the connection was an unforeseen pleasure and added to the improvement. There appears to have been some achievement in educating and reminding and afterward anticipating that the students should turn out to be more intelligent with the instructor.

## X. REFLECTION

This action research project constrained both the instructor and the eyewitness to recall that English educators are showing a language additionally a culture, and this incorporates training the sociolinguistics.

An extra justification is the interest in this issue tended to here was the conviction that this was a typical issue. Teachers, frequently become disappointed with an absence of introductory achievement in getting an intelligent exchange with the class. This frequently drives them to botch an absence of knowledge of an absence of interest, and to instruct inside the understudies' socially moulded homeroom assumptions, rather than presenting the assumptions normally found in intuitive study halls in English. While meaning to be more obliging to students, they are neglecting to give understudies a helpful sociolinguistic expertise, which understudies would almost certainly need and determine advantage. Some may think empowering the utilization of this understudy instructor connection normal in local English talking districts is socially presumptuous. However, in case it is presented in a touchy and sensible way, it really adds to a seriously satisfying English class. All things considered, most students don't read English only for etymological skill. They will likewise need to foster sociolinguistic capability for conveying in various circumstances in English talking nations, and this incorporates the homeroom.

### A. List of Enclosures

- 1) 25 short sentences for dictation.
- 2) A story involving dialogues
- 3) Grammar items

## REFERENCES

- [1] Baruah, T. C. (1985), "The English teachers" handbook", Sterling Publishers Pvt. Ltd.
- [2] Best, John. W (1963), "Research in Education", Prentice Hall International Ltd., New York.
- [3] Bose, Kshhanika, (1979), "Teaching of English", Doaba House, Delhi.
- [4] Buch, M. B (Ed). (1978), Second Survey of Research in Education, (1972-1978), Society of Educational Research and Development, Baroda.
- [5] Buch, M. B (Ed), (1986), Third Survey of Research in Education (1978-1983) N.C.E.R.T, New Delhi.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)