iJRASET
International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 4 **Issue:** VII **Month of publication:** July 2016

DOI:

Volume 4 Issue VII, July 2016
ISSN: 2321-9653
International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Three Phase Grid Connected Photovoltaic systems Using Effective Linear Stabilization System with fuzzy logic controller

N.Radhakrishna[1], G.Vijay kumar[2]
[1]*M.Tech Student, EEE, DVR& Dr.HS MIC College of Technology, Andhrapradesh, India*
[2]*Associate Professor, EEE, DVR& Dr.HS MIC College of Technology, Andhrapradesh, India*

Abstract: This paper presents a robust linear stabilization scheme for a three-phase grid-connected solar system to control the output current of solar cell connected to the grid and dc-link voltage to extract maximum output power from solar units. The scheme is mainly based on the design of a robust controller using a feedback linearization approach, where the robustness of the proposed scheme is ensured by undertaking nonlinearities within the solar system model. In this paper, the nonlinearities are modelled as designed nonlinearities are based on the matching of satisfactory conditions. The performance of the proposed linearization scheme is evaluated on a three-phase grid-connected solar system in terms of delivering maximum power under undesirable conditions.

Index Terms—Grid-connected solar system, matching conditions, nonlinear controller, partial feedback linearizing scheme, structured nonlinearity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The utilization of grid-connected solar systems is increasingly being pursued as a supplement and an alternative to the conventional fossil fuel generation in order to meet increasing energy demands and to limit the pollution of the environment. The major concerns of integrating PV into the grid are stochastic behaviours of solar irradiations and interfacing of inverters with the grid. Because of high initial investment, changes in solar irradiation, and reduced life-time of PV systems, as compared with the traditional energy sources, it is beneficial to extract maximum power from PV systems. Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) techniques are widely used to extract maximum power from the PV system that is delivered to the grid through the inverter. Interconnections among PV modules within a shaded PV field can affect the extraction of maximum power. A study of all possible shading scenarios and interconnection schemes for a given PV field, to maximize the output power of PV array, is proposed in previous methodology. Inverters interfacing PV modules with the grid perform two major tasks—one is to ensure that PV modules are operated at maximum power point (MPP), and the other is to inject a sinusoidal current into the grid. In order to evaluate these tasks effectively, we need one efficient control schemes are essential .In a grid-connected PV system, control objectives are met by a strategy using a pulse width modulation (PWM) scheme.

Feedback linearization has been increasingly used for nonlinear controller design. It transforms the nonlinear system into a fully or partly linear equivalent by canceling nonlinearities. A feedback linearizing technique was first proposed for PV applications where a superfluous complex model of the inverter is considered to design the controller. To overcome the complexity, a simple and consistent inverter model is used, and a feedback linearization technique is employed to operate the PV system at MPP. A feedback linearizing controller is designed by considering the dc-link voltage and quadrature-axis grid currentas output function Power-balance relationships are considered to express the dynamics of the voltage across the dc-link capacitor. However, this relationship cannot capture nonlinear switching functions between inverter input and output; to accurately represent a grid-connected PV system but it is essential to consider these switching actions. The current relationship between the input and output of the inverter can be written in terms of switching functions rather than the power balance equation. Therefore, the voltage dynamics of the dc-link capacitor include nonlinearities due to the switching actions of the inverter. However, the main difficulties of the robustness algorithm, are the consideration of linearized PV system models that are unable to maintain the stability of the PV system over a wide changes in atmospheric conditions.Although there are some advances in the robust control of grid-connected PV systems, research into the robustness.

Volume 4 Issue VII, July 2016
ISSN: 2321-9653
International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



Fig. 1. Three-phase grid-connected PV system.

Analysis and the controller design of nonlinear uncertain PV systems remains an important and challenging area. Since the feedback linearization technique is widely used in the design of nonlinear controllers for power systems, this paper proposed the extension of the partial feedback linearizing scheme, by considering uncertainties within the PV system model. In this paper, matching conditions are used to model the uncertainties in PV systems for given upper bounds on the modeling error, which include parametric and state-dependent uncertainties. These uncertainties are bounded in such a way that the proposed controller can guarantee the stability and enhance the performance for all possible perturbations within the given upper bounds of the modeling errors of nonlinear PV systems. The effectiveness of the proposed controller is tested and compared with that of a partial feedback linearizing controller without uncertainties, following changes in atmospheric conditions.

II. PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM MODEL

The schematic diagram of a three-phase grid-connected PV system, which is the main focus of this paper, is shown in Fig. 1. The considered PV system consists of a PV array, a dc-link capacitor C, a three-phase inverter, a filter inductor L, and grid voltages e_a , e_b , e_c . In this paper, the main aim is to control the voltage v_{dc} (which is also the output voltage of PV array v_{pv}) across the capacitor C and to make the input current in phase with grid voltage for unity power factor by means of appropriate control signals through the switches of the inverter.

A. Photovoltaic Cell and Array Model

A PV cell is a simple p-n junction diode that converts the irradiation into electricity. Fig. 2 shows an equivalent circuit diagram of a PV cell that consists of a light generated current source I_L , a parallel diode, a shunt resistance R_{sh} , and a series resistance R_s. In Fig. 2, I_{ON} is the diode current that can be written as

$$I_{ON} = I_s \left[\exp\left[\alpha\left(v_{pv} + R_s i_{pv}\right)\right] - 1\right] \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{q}{AkT_C}$, $k = 1.3807 \times 10^{-23}$ JK^{-1} is the Boltzmann's constant, $q = 1.6022 \times 10^{-19}$ C is the charge of electron, T_C is the cell's absolute working temperature in Kelvin, A is the p-n junction ideality factor whose value is between 1 and 5, I_s is the saturation current, and v_{pv} is the output voltage of the PV array which is also the voltage across C, i.e., v_{dc}. Now,



Fig.2 Equivalent circuit diagram of the PV array

by applying Kirchhoff's current law (KCL) in Fig. 2, the output current (i_{pv}) generated by a PV cell can be written as

$$i_{\mathrm{pv}} = N_p I_L - N_p I_s \left[\exp\left[\alpha\left(\frac{v_{\mathrm{pv}}}{N_s} + \frac{R_s i_{\mathrm{pv}}}{N_p}\right)\right] - 1\right] - \frac{N_p}{R_{\mathrm{sh}}}\left(\frac{v_{\mathrm{pv}}}{N_s} + \frac{R_s i_{\mathrm{pv}}}{N_p}\right). \quad (5)$$

B. Three-Phase Grid-Connected Photovoltaic System Model

In the state-space form, Fig. 1 can be represented through the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{i}_a &= -\frac{R}{L} i_a - \frac{1}{L} e_a + \frac{v_{\mathrm{pv}}}{3L}(2K_a - K_b - K_c) \\ \dot{i}_b &= -\frac{R}{L} i_b - \frac{1}{L} e_b + \frac{v_{\mathrm{pv}}}{3L}(-K_a + 2K_b - K_c) \\ \dot{i}_c &= -\frac{R}{L} i_c - \frac{1}{L} e_c + \frac{v_{\mathrm{pv}}}{3L}(-K_a - K_b + 2K_c) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Where Ka, Kb , and Kc are the input switching signals. Now, by applying KCL at the node where the dc link is connected, we obtain

$$\dot{v}_{pv} = 1/C\,(i_{pv} - i_{dc}). \quad (7)$$

However, the input current of the inverter idc can be written as [19]

$$i_{dc} = i_a K_a + i_b K_b + i_c K_c \quad (8)$$

$$\dot{v}_{pv} = 1/C\, i_{pv} - 1/C\,(i_a K_a + i_b K_b + i_c K_c) \quad (9)$$

III. OVERVIEW OF PARTIAL FEEDBACK LINEARIZING STABILIZATION SCHEME

As the three-phase grid-connected PV system as represented by (10) has two control inputs (Kd and Kq) and two control outputs (Iq and vpv), the mathematical model can be represented by the following form of a nonlinear multi input multi output (MIMO) system:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g_1(x)u_1 + g_2(x)u_2 \quad y_1 = h_1(x) \quad (10)$$

The partial feedback linearizing scheme transforms the nonlinear grid-connected PV system into a partially linearized PV system, and any linear controller design technique can be employed to obtain the linear control lawfor the partially linearized system. However, by the partial feedback linearizing scheme before obtaining a control law , it is essential to ensure the partial feedback linearizability and internal dynamics stability of the PV system. The details of partial feedback linearizability and internal dynamics stability of the considered PV system are presented in [18] from where it can be seen that the PV system is partially linearized and that the internal dynamic of the PV systems is stable. The partially linearized PV system can be written as

$$z_1 = -\omega I_d - R/L I_q - E_q/L + v_{pv}/L K_q = v_1 \quad (11)$$

$$z_2 = 1/C i_{pv} - 1/C I_d K_d - 1/C I_q K_q = v_2 \quad (12)$$

z represents the transformed states, and v represents the linear control inputs that are obtained through the PI design approach [18]. The nonlinear control law can be written as Equation (15) is the final control law that is obtained through a partial feedback linearizing scheme, and the controller ensures the stability of the PV system for the considered nominal model and exact parameters of the system need to be known. However, in practice, it is very difficult to determine the exact parameters of the system. Thus, the considered partial feedback linearizing scheme is unable to maintain the stability of the PV system with changes in system conditions and the consideration of uncertainties within the PV system is necessary, which is shown in the following section.

IV. ROBUST CONTROLLER DESIGN

This section aims the derivation of the robust control law that robustly stabilizes a grid-connected PV system with uncertainties whose structures are already discussed in the previous section. The following steps are followed to design the robust controller for a three-phase grid-connected PV system.

A. Step 1 (Partial feedback linearization of grid-connected PV systems)

In this case, the feedback linearization for the system with uncertainties, as shown by (16), can be obtained as z1 =Lf h1 (x)+L f h1 (x)+[Lg1 h1 (x)+L g1 h1 (x)]u1+ [Lg2 h1 (x) + L g2 h1 (x)]u2

z2 =Lf h2 (x)+L f h2 (x)+[Lg1 h2 (x)+L g1 h2 (x)]u1+ [Lg2 h2 (x) + L g2 h1 (x)]u2 .

For the PV system, the partially linearized system can written as

$$\dot{z}_1 = -1.36\omega I_d - 1.042\frac{R}{L}I_q - 1.23\frac{E_q}{L} + 1.18\frac{v_{\rm pv}}{L}K_q$$

$$\dot{z}_2 = \frac{1.16}{C}i_{\rm pv} - \frac{1.08}{C}I_dK_d - \frac{1.14}{C}I_qK_q. \qquad (21)$$

If v1 and v2 are linear control inputs for the aforementioned partially feedback linearized system, (21) can be written as

$$v_1 = -1.36\omega I_d - 1.042\frac{R}{L}I_q - 1.23\frac{E_q}{L} + 1.18\frac{v_{\rm pv}}{L}K_q$$

$$v_2 = \frac{1.16}{C}i_{\rm pv} - \frac{1.08}{C}I_dK_d - \frac{1.14}{C}I_qK_q \qquad (22)$$

which can be obtained using any linear control technique, and in this paper, here we are using two PI controllers



Fig.3 Implementation block diagram

before designing and implementing the controller based on partial feedback linearizing scheme, it is essential to check the stability of the internal dynamics that is similar to that described in

B. Step 2 (Derivation of robust control law):

From (22), the robust control law can be obtained as follows:

$$K_d = 0.85\frac{L}{v_{\rm pv}}\left(v_1 + 1.36\omega I_d + 1.042\frac{R}{L}I_q + 1.23\frac{E_q}{L}\right)$$

$$K_q = -0.88\frac{C}{I_q}\left[v_2 + 1.16\frac{i_{\rm pv}}{C} - 1.08\frac{I_d}{C}K_d\right]. \qquad (23)$$

Equation (23) is the final robust control law for a three grid connected PV system, and the modeled uncertainties are involved in control law. The main difference between the designed robust control law (23) and the control law (15) is the inclusion of uncertainties within the PV system model. The performance of the designed robust stabilization scheme is evaluated and compared in the following section with our previously published partial feedback linearizing scheme with no uncertainties [18]. The performance of the controller is evaluated in the following section.

V. CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Since partial feedback linearizing controllers of system parameters are very sensitive , it is essential to have an exact system model

Volume 4 Issue VII, July 2016
ISSN: 2321-9653

in order to achieve good performance. However, for real life grid-connected PV systems, there often exist inevitable uncertainties within the constructed models. In addition, there exist uncertain parameters that are not exactly known or are difficult to estimate. Therefore, to evaluate the performance of the designed robust control scheme, it is essential to consider these uncertainties.The implementation block diagram of the proposed scheme is shown Fig. 4, in which the modeled uncertainties have been included with the nominal PV system model. From Fig. 4, it can also be seen that the three-phase grid voltages and currents are transformed into direct and quadrature axis components through abc − dq transformation that is done to match with the proposed modeling presented in Section II. The designed scheme is the combination of linear PI controllers and the partial feedback linearizing scheme. Finally, the control inputs are again transformed into three-phase components using dq − abc transformation to implement them through the inverter switches. To make the input signals suitable for switches, a PWM technique is used. The designed stabilization scheme is validated through the simulation and experimental results in the following sections.

A. Simulation Results



Fig.4 Three Phase Grid Connected Photovoltaic system Using Effective Linear Stabilization System with fuzzy logic controller



Fig.5 Performance under standard atmospheric conditions

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



Fig.6 Performance under changing atmospheric conditions



Fig.7 Performance under a three-phase short-circuit fault



Fig.8 Performance under a single-phase short-circuit fault

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a robust stabilization scheme is considered by modeling the uncertainties of a three-phase grid-connected solar system based on the satisfaction of matching conditions to ensure the operation of the system at unity power factor. In order to design the robust partial scheme, we are using partial feedback linearization approach, and with the designed scheme, only the upper bounds of the PV systems' parameters and states need to be known rather than network parameters and nature of the faults. The resulting robust scheme enhances the overall stability of a three-phase grid connected PV system, considering admissible network uncertainties. Thus, this stabilization scheme has good robustness against the PV system parameter variations, irrespective of the network parameters and configuration. Future work will include the implementation of the proposed scheme on a practical system.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Jain and V. Agarwal, "A single-stage grid connected inverter topology for solar PV systems with maximum power point tracking," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1928–1940, Sep. 2007.

[2] S. B. Kjaer, J. K. Pedersen, and F. Blaabjerg, "A review of single-phase grid-connected inverters for photovoltaic modules," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1292–1306, Sep./Oct. 2005.

Volume 4 Issue VII, July 2016
ISSN: 2321-9653

[3] T. Esram and P. L. Chapman, "Comparison of photovoltaic array maximum power point tracking techniques," IEEE Trans. Energy Convers., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 439–449, Jun. 2007.
[4] I. Houssamo, F. Locment, and M. Sechilariu, "Maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic power system: Development and experimental comparison of two algorithms," Renewable Energy, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 2381–2387, Oct. 2010.
[5] U. Zimmermann and M. Edoff, "A maximum power point tracker for long-term logging of PV module performance," IEEE J. Photovoltaics, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 47–55, Jan. 2012.

AUTHORS DETAILS

N.RadhaKrishna completed his M.Tech in Power Electronics from DVR&Dr.HS MIC college of technology in the year 2016. Hecompleted his B.Tech from Amrita Sai Institute of Science and Technology in the year 2013.

G.Vijay Kumar received Bachelor degree from S V University in the year 1999, Masters degree from JNTU Anantapur in Control System in the year 2004. Currently pursuing his PhD degree from JNTU Anantapur in Digital Control System. He is working as Assistant professor in EE departement DVR& Dr.HS MIC College of Technology,Andhrapr



doi crossref

10.22214/IJRASET

INDEX COPERNICUS

45.98

ISRA JIF

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

TOGETHER WE REACH THE GOAL

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

ISI

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY