



# IJRASET

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume: 5      Issue: II      Month of publication: February 2017**

**DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2017.2035>**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call:  08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# Comparative Study of Physico-Chemical Parameter Between Marathwada And Western Maharashtra's Water Body

Bondage S. D.<sup>1</sup>, Khan Rumana S.<sup>2</sup>, Dr. J. D. Shaikh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Fellow, Department of Zoology, Maulana Azad College, Aurangabad

<sup>2</sup>Research Fellow, Department of Zoology, Maulana Azad College, Aurangabad

<sup>3</sup>Associate professor & Head, Department of Zoology, Maulana Azad College, Aurangabad

**Abstract** - The study was conducted during June 2015 to May 2016. The present work is aimed to compare the physico-chemical properties of Fresh water bodies. The analytical investigation reveals the study of physico-chemical parameter of two water bodies. The parameter are Temperature, Total dissolved solids, pH, Dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, Biological oxygen demand, Chemical oxygen demand, Hardness, Calcium and Magnesium. The study was designed to monitor seasonal variation in water parameter for drinking water quality, water use for irrigation and pisciculture.

**Key Words** - Majalgaon Reservoir, Chaphal Reservoir, pH, Do, Co<sub>2</sub>.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Water is a great gift of nature, it is life supporting material. There is no life without water, it very much essential for healthy growth of organisms. Water plays very significant role in the life cycle of organisms. Water pollution is becoming the most challenging threat to human beings as a result of rapid industrialization and growth of population throughout the world. (Deshpande et al, 2009). A water bodies are affected by biotic and abiotic factors like surrounding climax and washer person, fisherman and domestic sewage will be dumped in river. Excess use of fertilizers, insecticides, pesticides by farmers will float through water and mix in reservoir water. (Pradeep kumar patel, 2015). Improper and inadequate waste water treatment facilities in India huge source volume of waste water is being discharged into the river and lakes. It is practiced in various towns and cities.(Nataraja S., et al., 2009).

Water is a universal solvent and is a major constituent of all living organisms. A plentiful supply of clean water is essential for the survival of human beings, plants and animals. According to World Health Organization about 80% of all the diseases of human beings are causes by water. (T. Nirmala et al., 2010) All lotic habitats such as rivers, nala, spring and canals are important because they are abundance natural resource.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The water samples for physico-chemical analysis were collected from Majalgaon reservoir, marathwada and Chaphal reservoir, western Maharashtra. From four different sampling location in the early morning that's are Station 1, Station 2, Station 3 and Station 4. The samples were collected for every month in sterilized containers from a depth of 5-10 cms below the surface of water. Separate samples were collected from four sampling stations and analyzed in laboratory and compare some physico-chemical parameters like Temperature, Total Dissolved Solids, pH, Dissolved oxygen, Free carbon dioxide, Biological oxygen demand, Chemical oxygen demand, Hardness, Calcium and Magnesium etc. of both water bodies for one year.

### A. Data analysis

Table 3.1: Mean of Parameter from Station 1 of Majalgaon Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean $\pm$ SD	Winter Mean $\pm$ SD	Summer Mean $\pm$ SD
Temp	27.6 $\pm$ 1.72	25.5 $\pm$ 4.77	28.55 $\pm$ 2.01
TDS	200 $\pm$ 1191	149 $\pm$ 122	217.5 $\pm$ 2814.50
pH	7.75 $\pm$ 0.07	7.9 $\pm$ 0.19	8.25 $\pm$ 0.15

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

DO	6.58 ±2.22	8.40 ±2.74	6.05 ±2.46
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	4.73 ±25.77	6.29 ±23.07	3.63 ±29.64
BOD	5.95 ±2.57	4.90 ±3.37	7.18 ±0.81
COD	6.64 ±1.07	4.88 ±0.84	2.68 ±0.30
Hardness	120 ±450	139.75 ±39.38	111.50 ±250.50
Ca	67.75 ±77.38	80.50 ±81.50	66.25 ±31.38
Mg	12.69 ±45.58	14.38 ±11.03	10.99 ±10.62

Table 3.2: Mean of Parameter from Station 2 of Majalgaon Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean ± SD	Winter Mean ± SD	Summer Mean ± SD
Temp	27.23 ±2.77	26.23 ±5.64	28.43 ±5.06
TDS	214.25 ±3846.38	149.25 ±158.38	233.75 ±9108.38
pH	7.65 ±0.14	7.80 ±0.03	8.23 ±0.09
DO	6.93 ±5.86	8.38 ±10.26	5.08 ±3.87
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.75 ±18.06	5.89 ±20.59	3.30 ±39.91
BOD	4.61 ±8.27	5.08 ±1.14	6.73 ±1.49
COD	5.99 ±1.02	4.13 ±0.91	2.64 ±0.54
Hardness	118 ±313	143.75 ±61.38	109.75 ±342.38
Ca	62.75 ±147.38	82.75 ±28.38	69.75 ±1.38
Mg	13.66 ±26.68	14.82 ±5.33	9.72 ±20.42

Table 3.3: Mean of Parameter from Station 3 of Majalgaon Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean ± SD	Winter Mean ± SD	Summer Mean ± SD
Temp	27.65 ±4.02	25.45 ±3.57	27.90 ±1.11
TDS	177.50 ±424.50	152 ±169	186 ±2111
pH	7.80 ±0.13	8.03 ±0.07	8.15 ±0.22
DO	6.23 ±6.14	8.30 ±4.87	6.70 ±6.14
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	5.20 ±29.29	5.40 ±15.20	3.45 ±31.33
BOD	4.38 ±3.22	4.35 ±0.79	6.90 ±2.83
COD	7.00 ±2.19	4.65 ±2.57	2.93 ±0.39
Hardness	121.75 ±244.38	139.75 ±211.38	103.25 ±108.38
Ca	63 ±135	78.75 ±96.38	68.75 ±114.38
Mg	14.27 ±2.15	14.82 ±22.33	8.38 ±0.27

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Table 3.4: Mean of Parameter from Station 4 of Majalgaon Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean $\pm$ SD	Winter Mean $\pm$ SD	Summer Mean $\pm$ SD
Temp	26.83 $\pm$ 3.32	26.18 $\pm$ 4.13	29.35 $\pm$ 4.83
TDS	174.50 $\pm$ 473.50	147.25 $\pm$ 97.38	195 $\pm$ 2935
pH	7.78 $\pm$ 0.09	8.03 $\pm$ 0.06	8.18 $\pm$ 0.06
DO	6.38 $\pm$ 5.11	8.65 $\pm$ 4.01	6.15 $\pm$ 2.79
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	4.64 $\pm$ 18.36	6.28 $\pm$ 20.08	4.10 $\pm$ 42.95
BOD	5.58 $\pm$ 1.63	4.95 $\pm$ 2.49	6.30 $\pm$ 2.97
COD	6.26 $\pm$ 4.59	4.14 $\pm$ 0.57	2.48 $\pm$ 0.09
Hardness	116.50 $\pm$ 440.50	135.25 $\pm$ 80.38	107.75 $\pm$ 81.38
Ca	60.75 $\pm$ 28.38	75 $\pm$ 36	65.25 $\pm$ 48.38
Mg	13.54 $\pm$ 14.63	14.64 $\pm$ 10.19	10.32 $\pm$ 4.28

Table 3.5: Mean of Parameter from Station 1of Chaphal Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean $\pm$ SD	Winter Mean $\pm$ SD	Summer Mean $\pm$ SD
Temp	30.05 $\pm$ 14.31	27.90 $\pm$ 19.95	28.63 $\pm$ 23.31
TDS	294 $\pm$ 91	260.75 $\pm$ 137.38	352.75 $\pm$ 2997.38
pH	7.85 $\pm$ 0.23	7.48 $\pm$ 00	8.35 $\pm$ 0.37
DO	5.95 $\pm$ 0.90	7.50 $\pm$ 0.17	6.60 $\pm$ 1.22
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.10 $\pm$ 0.04	3.18 $\pm$ 0.25	1.83 $\pm$ 0.31
BOD	5.65 $\pm$ 2.23	4.98 $\pm$ 0.66	7.48 $\pm$ 0.48
COD	7.18 $\pm$ 0.90	5.15 $\pm$ 1.01	4.08 $\pm$ 0.46
Hardness	140.75 $\pm$ 24.38	125.25 $\pm$ 89.38	137.50 $\pm$ 46.50
Ca	77.00 $\pm$ 103	87.50 $\pm$ 76.50	71.25 $\pm$ 29.38
Mg	11.03 $\pm$ 3.72	13.36 $\pm$ 6.14	15.46 $\pm$ 4.43

Table 3.6: Mean of Parameter from Station 2 of Chaphal Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean $\pm$ SD	Winter Mean $\pm$ SD	Summer Mean $\pm$ SD
Temp	30.23 $\pm$ 13.87	28.00 $\pm$ 19.69	28.65 $\pm$ 27.65
TDS	291 $\pm$ 479	260.25 $\pm$ 185.38	350.75 $\pm$ 3537.38
pH	7.83 $\pm$ 0.39	7.53 $\pm$ 0.02	8.40 $\pm$ 0.36
DO	5.90 $\pm$ 1.05	7.45 $\pm$ 0.17	6.40 $\pm$ 0.91
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.20 $\pm$ 0.13	3.15 $\pm$ 0.46	1.68 $\pm$ 0.35

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

BOD	6.43 ±1.76	5.70 ±1.69	7.23 ±1.12
COD	6.30 ±0.97	5.40 ±0.19	3.50 ±1.03
Hardness	140 ±34.00	123.75 ±96.38	136 ±19.00
Ca	68.50 ±10.50	87.50 ±50.50	73 ±27
Mg	12.61 ±16.46	16.14 ±4.53	16.66 ±2.58

Table 3.7: Mean of Parameter from Station 3 of Chaphal Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean ± SD	Winter Mean ± SD	Summer Mean ± SD
Temp	30.18 ±13.59	25.78 ±12.66	28.65 ±27.45
TDS	286 ±826	252.50 ±653.50	364.50 ±2804.50
pH	7.75 ±0.27	7.53 ±0.02	8.40 ±0.36
DO	5.95 ±1.05	7.43 ±0.11	6.30 ±1.17
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.13 ±0.19	3.13 ±0.46	1.63 ±0.40
BOD	4.95 ±0.74	5.08 ±1.23	7.18 ±2.20
COD	7.04 ±0.99	5.58 ±0.08	4.68 ±0.04
Hardness	137.75 ±13.38	123.00 ±108	135.25 ±9.38
Ca	63.50 ±30.50	87.50 ±76.50	76.25 ±13.38
Mg	12.08 ±13.69	13.99 ±16.22	16.68 ±4.54

Table 3.8: Mean of Parameter from Station 4 of Chaphal Reservoir.

	Monsoon Mean ± SD	Winter Mean ± SD	Summer Mean ± SD
Temp	29.65 ±24.81	25.70 ±11.70	28.65 ±26.34
TDS	293 ±423	262.50 ±205.50	351.25 ±3832.38
pH	7.75 ±0.35	7.48 ±0.01	8.35 ±0.29
DO	5.93 ±1.05	7.48 ±0.23	6.43 ±1.67
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.20 ±0.25	2.98 ±0.13	1.88 ±0.37
BOD	6.10 ±1.34	5.78 ±1.72	6.73 ±1.57
COD	6.76 ±3.21	5.55 ±0.31	3.35 ±0.06
Hardness	139.25 ±37.38	124.50 ±94.50	137.75 ±31.28
Ca	66.50 ±8.50	88 ±77	74.25 ±10.38
Mg	13.53 ±9.33	14.86 ±3.15	13.95 ±15.27

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Table 3.9: Average Parameter of Monsoon in Majalgaon Reservoir.

	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Average
Temp	27.6	27.23	27.65	26.83	27.33
TDS	200	214.25	177.5	174.5	191.56
pH	7.75	7.65	7.8	7.78	7.75
DO	6.58	6.93	6.23	6.38	6.53
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	4.73	3.75	5.2	4.64	4.58
BOD	5.95	4.61	4.38	5.58	5.13
COD	6.64	5.99	7	6.26	6.47
Hardness	120	118	121.75	116.5	119.06
Ca	67.75	62.75	63	60.75	63.56
Mg	12.69	13.66	14.27	13.54	13.54

Table 3.10: Average Parameter of Winter in Majalgaon Reservoir.

	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Average
Temp	25.5	26.23	25.45	26.18	25.84
TDS	149	149.25	152	147.25	149.38
pH	7.9	7.8	8.03	8.03	7.94
DO	8.4	8.38	8.3	8.65	8.43
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	6.29	5.89	5.4	6.28	5.97
BOD	4.9	5.08	4.35	4.95	4.82
COD	4.88	4.13	4.65	4.14	4.45
Hardness	139.75	143.75	139.8	135.25	139.63
Ca	80.5	82.75	78.75	75	79.25
Mg	14.38	14.82	14.82	14.64	14.67

Table 3.11: Average Parameter of Summer in Majalgaon Reservoir.

	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Average
Temp	28.6	28.43	27.9	29.35	28.56
TDS	218	233.8	186	195	208.06
pH	8.25	8.23	8.15	8.18	8.20
DO	6.05	5.08	6.7	6.15	6.00
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.63	3.3	3.45	4.1	3.62
BOD	7.18	6.73	6.9	6.3	6.78
COD	2.68	2.64	2.93	2.48	2.68
Hardness	112	109.8	103.25	107.75	108.06
Ca	66.3	69.75	68.75	65.25	67.50
Mg	11	9.72	8.38	10.32	9.85

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Table 3.12: Average Parameter of Monsoon in Chaphal Reservoir.

	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Average
Temp	30.05	30.23	30.18	29.65	30.03
TDS	294	291	286	293	291.00
pH	7.85	7.83	7.75	7.75	7.80
DO	5.95	5.9	5.95	5.93	5.93
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.1	3.2	3.13	3.2	3.16
BOD	5.65	6.43	4.95	6.1	5.78
COD	7.18	6.3	7.04	6.76	6.82
Hardness	140.75	140	137.75	139.25	139.44
Ca	77	68.5	63.5	66.5	68.88
Mg	11.03	12.61	12.08	13.53	12.31

Table 3.13: Average Parameter of Winter in Chaphal Reservoir.

	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Average
Temp	27.9	28	25.78	25.7	26.85
TDS	260.75	260.25	252.5	262.5	259.00
pH	7.48	7.53	7.53	7.48	7.51
DO	7.5	7.45	7.43	7.48	7.47
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	3.18	3.15	3.13	5.78	3.81
BOD	4.98	5.7	5.08	5.78	5.39
COD	5.15	5.4	5.58	5.55	5.42
Hardness	125.25	123.75	123	124.5	124.13
Ca	87.5	87.5	87.5	88	87.63
Mg	13.36	16.14	13.99	14.86	14.59

Table 3.14: Average Parameter of Summer in Chaphal Reservoir.

	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Average
Temp	28.6	28.65	28.65	28.65	28.65
TDS	353	350.8	364.5	351.25	354.81
pH	8.35	8.4	8.4	8.35	8.38
DO	6.6	6.4	6.3	6.43	6.43
Free CO <sub>2</sub>	1.83	1.68	1.63	1.88	1.76
BOD	7.48	7.23	7.18	6.73	7.16
COD	4.08	3.5	4.68	3.35	3.90
Hardness	138	136	135.25	137.75	136.63
Ca	71.3	73	76.25	74.25	73.69
Mg	15.5	16.66	16.68	13.95	15.69

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

### III. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

The pH, BOD and COD are similar in both reservoirs at monsoon season as reported by Koorosh Jalilzadeh *et al.*, (2009), Sudesh D. Rathod *et al.*, (2009). The temp of Majalgaon reservoir was 27.33°C and Chaphal reservoir was 30.03 °C, Chaphal reservoir show a more temp than Majalgaon reservoir which may be due to their climatic and topographical condition. Mountain area of Chaphal reservoir is more retain temp. TDS of Majalgaon reservoir was 191.56 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 291 mg/L it was near about 100 mg/L is more than Majalgaon reservoir soil of Chaphal reservoir is more dissolve in water than soil of Majalgaon reservoir Sayyed Hussain *et al.*, (2012). DO of Majalgaon reservoir was 6.53 mg/L and 5.93 was Chaphal reservoir, Free CO<sub>2</sub> in Majalgaon reservoir was 4.58 mg/L and 3.16 mg/L was in Chaphal reservoir the DO and Free is more in Majalgaon reservoir than Chaphal reservoir due their aquatic ecosystem. M. O. Aremu *et al.*, (2014). Hardness was 119.06 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 139.44 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir it is more than Majalgaon reservoir. Poonam Bhadja *et al.*, (2013). The Ca was 63.56 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and in Chaphal reservoir Ca Was 68.88 mg/L which is more than Majalgaon reservoir Istifanus Y. Chindo *et al.*, (2013). The Mg was 13.54 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 12.31 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir which in less than Majalgaon reservoir. K. Shanmugasundaram *et al.*, (2014).

In winter season pH and Mg of both reservoir was similar Sandeep Arya *et al.*, (2013). The temp of Majalgaon reservoir was 25.84°C and 26.85 °C was Chaphal reservoir which more than Majalgaon reservoir. The TDS of Majalgaon reservoir was 149.38 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 259 mg/L which was more than Majalgaon reservoir Basavaraja Simpi *et al.*, (2011). The DO of Majalgaon reservoir was 8.43 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 7.47 mg/L which was less than Majalgaon reservoir Jinal Y. Patel *et al.*, (2015). The Free CO<sub>2</sub> of Majalgaon reservoir was 5.97 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 3.81 mg/L which was less than Majalgaon reservoir Shalini Shivhare *et al.*, (2014). The BOD of Majalgaon reservoir was 4.82 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 5.39 which was more than Majalgaon reservoir Nidhi Saxena *et al.*, (2011). The COD of Majalgaon reservoir was 4.45 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 5.42 mg/L which was more than Majalgaon reservoir Shweta Sao (2015). Hardness of Majalgaon reservoir was 139.63 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 124.13 mg/L which was less than Majalgaon reservoir Amanial Haile Reda (2016). The Ca of Majalgaon reservoir was 79.25 mg/L and Chaphal reservoir was 87.63 mg/L which was more than Majalgaon reservoir S. Ponnusamy *et al.*, (2016).

.In summer season pH and Temp of both reservoirs was similar Narayana, J. *et al.*, (2008). The TDS of Majalgaon reservoir was 208.06 mg/L and 354.81 mg/L was in Chaphal reservoir which is more than Majalgaon reservoir. Nikesh G. Kotadiya *et al.*, (2016). The DO is 6 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 6.43 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir which 0.43 mg/L more than Chaphal reservoir R. S. Lendhe *et al.*, (2004) The free CO<sub>2</sub> was 3.62 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 1.76 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir which is less than Majalgaon reservoir. The BOD was 6.78 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 7.16 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir which high than Majalgaon reservoir Farha Aziz *et al.*, (2013). The COD was 2.68 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 3.90 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir which was more than Majalgaon reservoir Manjappa S (2004). The Hardness was 108.06 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 136.63 mg/L was in Chaphal reservoir which more than Majalgaon reservoir S. A. Manjare *et al.*, (2010). The Ca was 67.50 mg/L was in Majalgaon reservoir and 73.69 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir which more than Majalgaon reservoir Jayabhaye U. M. (2008). The Mg was 9.85 mg/L in Majalgaon reservoir and 15.09 mg/L in Chaphal reservoir which was more than majalgaon reservoir Shastri (2008).

### IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Author is thankful to Department of Zoology and Fishery Science, Dayanand Science college, Latur (M.S.) for suggestion and carried out this work.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Amanial Haile Reda (2016) was studies on Physico-Chemical Analysis of Drinking Water Quality of Arbaminch Town. J Environ Anal Toxico March 2016 Volume 6 Issue 2 March 2016.
- [2] Basavaraja Simpi, S.M. Hiremath, KNS Murthy, .N.Chandrashekarappa, Anil N Patel, E.T.Puttiah (2011) was studies on Analysis of Water Quality Using Physico-Chemical Parameters Hosahalli Tank in Shimoga District, Karnataka, India. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Volume 11 Issue 3 Version 2011, pp 31:34.
- [3] Deshpande S. M., Gondwale V. was studied on Physico Chemical Analysis of Ground Water in the Chikhalthana Industrial Complex, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India J. Aqua. Biol. 24 (2), 2009 : 99-102.
- [4] Farha Aziz, Rafia Azmat, Farah Jabeen1, Bushra Bilal (2013) was studies on A Comparative Study of Physico-Chemical Parameters of Keenjhar Lake, Thatta, Sindh, Pakistan. International Journal of Advanced Research (2013), Volume 1, Issue 6, pp 482-488.
- [5] Istifanus Y. Chindo, Elisha Karu Ishaku Ziyok Ephraim D. Amanki (2013) was studies on Physicochemical Analysis of Ground Water of Selected Areas of Dass and Ganjuwa Local Government Areas, Bauchi State, Nigeria, World Journal of Analytical Chemistry 1.4 (2013): 73-79.
- [6] Jayabhaye U. M., Pentewar M.S. and Hiware C.J. (2008) was studies on Physico-Chemical Parameters of a Minor Reservoir Sawana, Hingoli District, Maharashtra, India. J.Aqua. Biol., Vol 23 (2), pp 56-60.



## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

- [7] Jinal Y. Patel and Minakshi V. Vaghani (2015) was studies on Correlation Study for Assessment of Water Quality and Its Parameters of Par River Valsad, Gujarat, India. International Journal of Innovative and Emerging Research in Engineering Volume 2, Issue 2, pp 150 : 156.
- [8] Koorosh Jalilzadeh, Sadanand M, Yamakanamadi and K. Altaff (2009) Stuides on Physico-Chemical parameters of Three Contrasting lakes of Mysore, Karnataka, India J. Aqua. Biol. Vol 24(2) : 90-98. [1]
- [9] K. Shanmugasundaram, R. Nikhila, B. Janarthanan (2014) was studied on Physico-Chemical Analysis of Drinking Water from Different Sources in Coimbatore District, Tamilnadu , India. International Journal for Research in Science & Advanced Technologies Issue-3, Volume-1, pp- 005-0012.
- [10] M. O. Aremu, G. O. Majabi, J. O. Oko, O. D. Opaluwa, B. L. Gav and B. G. Osinfade (2014) was studies on Physicochemical Analyses of Different Sources of Drinking Water in Okene Local Government Area of Kogi State, Nigeria Civil and Environmental Research Vol.6, No.5, 2014pp 143-150.
- [11] Manjappa S., Suresh B., Aravinda H. B. Puttaih E. T. Thirumala S. (2004) Studies on Environmental Status of Tungbhadra River Near Harihar. Karnatka. J.Aqua. Biol. Vol -19(1): 107-110.
- [12] Narayana, J., E. T. Puttaiah and D. Basavaraja, |(2008) studies on Water Quality Characteristics of Anjanapura Reservoir near Shikaripur, District Shimoga, Karnataka, India. J. Aqua. Biol., Vol 23(1), pp 59-63.
- [13] Nataraja S., Purushottama R., and Manjunatha R was studied on Nutrient Status of Tunga River, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India J. Aqua. Biol. 24 (2), 2009 : 113-117.
- [14] Nidhi Saxena, S. N. Misra and R. N. Shukla (2011) was studies on Physicochemical and Bacteriological Analysis of Water Quality Under Different Environmental Condition. J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 2011, 3(2): pp 162-167.
- [15] Nikesh G. Kotadiya, Nilesh. R. Mulia (2016) was studies on Hydrobiological Status of A Fresh water Body, Rural Area of Ahmedabad, Gujarat. j. IJSR Volume : 5 Issue:8 August 2016, pp118:122.
- [16] Poonam Bhadja, Ashokkumar Vaghela (2013) Studies on Hydrobiological studies on fresh water reservoir of Saurashtra, Gujarat, India. J Biol Earth Sci 2013; 3(2): E12-E17.
- [17] Pradeep kumar patel (2015) was studies on Water Quality Assessment of Lalpur Pond Shahdol District (M.P.) India, IJRASET, 3(11) : 462-465.
- [18] R. S. Lendhe and S. G. Yeragi (2004) was studies on Physico-Chemical Parameters and Zooplankton Diversity of Phirange Kharbhv Lake. Dist. Thane, Maharashtra. J. Aqua. Boil. Vol. 19(1), 2004 pp 49-52.
- [19] S. Ponnusamy and R. Sundarakumar (2016) was studies on Comparative study of fluoride with chemical parameters of brine solutions, salt and pan soil from salt pans located in the east coastal belts of Tamil Nadu, India International Journal of Engineering And Science Vol.6, Issue 8 (September 2016), pp 12:24.
- [20] S. A. Manjare, S. A. Vhanalakar and D. V. Muley (2010) was studies on Analysis of Water Quality using Physico-Chemical Parameters Tamdalge Tank in Kolhapur District, Maharashtra. India. International Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Research ISSN 0976-2612, Vol 1, Issue 2, Dec-2010, pp 115-119.
- [21] Sandeep Arya, Archana Devi and Vinit Kumar (2013) was studies on A Comparative Study of Water Quality Assesment of Parichha and Sukma Dukma Dam, Jhansi, India. Int. Jou. of Adv. Eng. Technology Oct-Dec., 2013, pp 45:50.
- [22] Sayyed Hussaina, Vinod Maneb, Vidya Pradhan, Mazahar Farooqui, studies on Physico – chemical analysis of Limboti Dam water, Dist. Nanded, Maharashtra J Adv Scient Res, 2012, 3(1): 55-57.
- [23] Shastri, Gayatri Neelam, N. K. Singh and Uttara Tewari (2008) was studies on Physico-Chemical Analysis of Chingrajpara pond water in Bilaspur, Chattisgarh, India. J. Aqua. Biol. Vo. 23 (2), 2008, pp 87-90.
- [24] Shalini Shivhare, Pushpendran Singh , Archna Tiwari, A.K.Mishra and A.K.S. Bhadoria (2014) was studies on Physicochemical Analysis of Water Quality of Shahpura Lake Bhopal In Reference To Scenedesmus Obliquus and Monoraphidium Minutum Algae. J. Chem. Bio. Phy. Sci. Sec. D, Nov. 2013-Jan .2014; Vol.4, No.1 pp 782: 786.
- [25] Sudesh D. Rathod, and N. N. Patil, (2009) studies on Assesment of some hydrological parameters of Ulhas River estuary, in the vicinity of Thane city, Maharashtra, India J. Aqua. Biol. Vol 24(2), 103-108.
- [26] Shweta Sao (2015) was studies on physico-chemical properties of, Dist: Bilaspur (C.G.) with special reference to Planktons Journal of Global Biosciences, volume 4, Number 6, 2015, pp 2525:2531.
- [27] T. Nirmala, P. Pavatharini and M. R. Delphine Rose (2010) was studies on Water quality Assesment in Theni District, Tamilnadu, India. J. Aqua. Biol., 25(1), 2010 pp 66-68.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)