



# IJRASET

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**Volume: 5**

**Issue: V**

**Month of publication: May 2017**

**DOI:**

[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)

# **An Effective Approach for Detect Adjacency Clustered P2p File Sharing System**

S. Rehamath<sup>1</sup>, N. Yedukondalu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M. Tech, Shree Institute of Technical Education, Tirupati

<sup>2</sup>Assitant Professor, Shree Institute of Technical Education, Tirupati

**Abstract:** - Proficient record inquiry is vital to the general execution of distributed (P2P) file sharing frameworks. Bunching peers by their regular advantages can fundamentally upgrade the productivity of document question. Grouping peers by their physical closeness can likewise enhance document question execution. Be that as it may, couple of current works can bunch peers in view of both associate intrigue and physical closeness. Albeit organized P2Ps give higher record question proficiency than unstructured P2Ps, it is hard to acknowledge it because of their entirely characterized topologies. In this work, we present a Vicinity Mindful and Intrigue bunched P2P file sharing Framework (PAIS) in view of an organized P2P, which shapes physically close hubs into a group and further gatherings physically-close and regular intrigue hubs into a sub cluster in view of a various leveled topology. PAIS utilizes a shrewd record replication calculation to further upgrade document question proficiency. makes imitations of records that are as often as possible asked for by a gathering of physically close hubs in their area. Also, PAIS upgrades the intra-sub-group document seeking through a few methodologies. To begin with, it additionally arranges the enthusiasm of a sub-bunch to various sub-interests, and groups basic sub interest hubs into a gathering for document sharing. Second, PAIS assembles an overlay for each gathering that associates bring down limit hubs to higher limit hubs for disseminated document questioning while at the same time maintaining a strategic distance from hub over-burden. Third, to lessen document looking postponement, PAIS utilizes proactive record data gathering so that a record requester can know whether its asked for record is in its adjacent hubs. Fourth, to diminish the overhead of the document data gathering, PAIS utilizes sprout channel based record data accumulation and relating circulated document looking. Fifth, to enhance the document sharing productivity, PAIS positions the sprout channel brings about request. 6th, looking at that as an as of late went to document has a tendency to be gone by once more, the sprout channel based approach is upgraded by just checking the recently added blossom channel data to lessen record seeking delay. Follow driven exploratory outcomes from this present reality Planet Lab testbed show that PAIS drastically lessens overhead and upgrades the productivity of document imparting to and without stir.

**Keywords:** P2P Networks, file sharing system, Bloom filter.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

OVER the past few years, the massive ubiquity of the Web has created a noteworthy jolt to P2P file sharing frameworks. For instance, BitTorrent constitutes about 35 percent of all movement on the Web. There are two classes of P2P frameworks: unstructured and organized. Unstructured P2P systems, for example, Gnutella and Freenet don't relegate obligation regarding information to particular hubs. Hubs join and leave the system as indicated by some free standards. As of now, unstructured P2P systems' record inquiry technique depends on either flooding where the question is engendered to all the hub's neighbors, or arbitrary walkers where the question is sent to haphazardly picked neighbors until the document is found. Notwithstanding, flooding and arbitrary walkers can't ensure information area. Organized P2P systems, i.e., Distributed Hash Tables (DHTs), can defeat the downsides with their components of higher proficiency, versatility, and deterministic information area. They have entirely controlled topologies, and their information situation and query calculations are correctly characterized in view of a DHT information structure and reliable hashing capacity. The hub in charge of a key can simply be found regardless of the possibility that the framework is in a constant condition of progress. The greater part of the DHTs require  $O(\log n)$  bounces per query ask for with  $O(\log n)$  neighbors per hub, where  $n$  is the quantity of hubs in the framework. A key basis to judge a P2P document sharing framework is its record area proficiency. To enhance this effectiveness, various techniques have been proposed. One technique utilizes a super associate topology, which comprises of super hubs with quick associations and general hubs with slower associations. A super hub associates with other super hubs and some general hubs, and a customary hub interfaces with a super hub.

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

In this super-peer topology, the hubs at the focal point of the system are speedier and along these lines create a more dependable and stable spine. This enables a larger number of messages to be steered than a slower spine and, subsequently, permits more noteworthy adaptability. Super-peer systems possess the center ground amongst brought together and altogether symmetric P2P organizes, and can possibly consolidate the advantages of both concentrated and disseminated looks. Another class of strategies to enhance document area proficiency is through a closeness mindful structure. A sensible closeness reflection gotten from a P2P framework does not really coordinate the physical nearness data as a general rule. The most brief way as indicated by the steering convention (i.e., the minimum bounce number directing) is not really the briefest physical way. This crisscross turn into a major obstruction for the sending and execution streamlining of P2P file sharing frameworks. A P2P framework ought to use nearness data to lessen document inquiry overhead and enhance its proficiency. As it were, dispensing or recreating a record to a hub that is physically more like a requester can fundamentally help the requester to recover the document effectively.

Vicinity mindful bunching can be utilized to amass physically close associates to viably enhance productivity. The second-rate class of strategies to enhance document area effectiveness is to group hubs with comparative interests, which decrease the record area inactivity. Albeit various vicinity based and intrigue based super-peer topologies have been proposed with various components, couple of techniques can bunch peers as indicated by both nearness and premium. Also, the greater part of these strategies are on unstructured P2P frameworks that have no strict approach for topology development. They can't be straightforwardly connected to general DHTs regardless of their higher record area efficiency. Proximity-mindful bunching can be utilized to gather physically close associates to viably enhance productivity.

The second-rate class of techniques to enhance document area productivity is to bunch hubs with comparative interests, which lessen the record area inertness. Albeit various closeness based and intrigue based super-peer topologies have been proposed with various components, couple of techniques can bunch peers as per both nearness and premium. Moreover, a large portion of these techniques are on unstructured P2P frameworks that have no strict approach for topology development. They can't be specifically connected to general DHTs disregarding their higher record area effectiveness.

### II. RELATED WORK

We discuss the related works most relevant to PAIS in three groups: super-peer topology, proximity-awareness, and interest-based file sharing. Super-peer topology. FastTrack [10] and Morpheus [20] use super peer topology. The super-peer network in [8] is for efficient and scalable file consistency maintenance in structured P2P systems. Our previous work built a super-peer network for load balancing [9]. Garbacki et al. [21] proposed a self-organizing super-peer network architecture that solves four issues in a fully decentralized manner: how client peers are related to super-peers, how super-peers locate files, how the load is balanced among the super-peers, and how the system deals with node failures. Proximity-awareness. Techniques to exploit topology information in P2P overlay routing include geographic layout, proximity routing, and proximity-neighbor selection. Geographic layout method maps the overlay's logical ID space to the physical network so that neighboring nodes in the ID space are also close in the physical network. It is employed in topologically-aware CAN [11]. In the proximity routing method, the logical overlay is constructed without considering the underlying physical topology. Interest-base file sharing. One category of interest-base file sharing networks is called schema based networks. They use explicit schemas to describe peers' contents based on semantic description and allow the aggregation and integration of data from distributed data sources. Hang and Sia proposed a method for clustering peers that share similar properties together and a new intelligent query routing strategy. Liu et al. proposed online storage systems with peer assistance. The works in employ the Bloom filter technique for file searching. Despite the efforts devoted to efficient file location in P2P systems, there are few works that combine the super-peer topology with both interest and proximity based clustering methods. In addition, it is difficult to realize in DHTs due to their strictly defined topology and data allocation policy. This paper describes how PAIS tackles the challenge by taking advantage of the hierarchical structure of a DHT.

### III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

#### A. Existing Model

- 1) A key criterion to judge a P2P file sharing system is its file location efficiency. To improve this efficiency, numerous methods have been proposed. One method uses a super peer topology which consists of super nodes with fast connections and regular nodes with slower connections. Supermodel connects with other super nodes and some regular nodes, and a regular node connects with a super node.
- a) In my super-peer topology, the nodes at the center of the network are faster and therefore produce a more reliable and stable



## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

backbone. This allows more messages to be routed than as lower backbone and, therefore, allows greater scalability. Super-peer networks occupy the middle-ground between centralized and entirely symmetric P2P networks, and have the potential to combine the benefits of both centralized and distributed searches. Another class of methods to improve file location efficiency is through a proximity-aware structure. The third class of methods to improve file location efficiency is to cluster nodes with similar interests which reduce the file location latency.

- b) **Disadvantages Of Existing System** Although numerous proximity-based and interest-based super-peer topologies have been proposed with different features, few methods are able to cluster peers according to both proximity and interest. In addition, most of these methods are on unstructured P2P systems that have no strict policy for topology construction They cannot be directly applied to general DHTs in spite of their higher file location efficiency.

### B. Proposed System

In my paper presents a proximity-aware and interest-clustered P2P file sharing System (PAIS) on a structured P2P system. It forms physically-close nodes into a cluster and further groups physically-close and common-interest nodes into a sub-cluster. It also places files with the same interests together and make them accessible through the DHT Lookup() routing function. More importantly, it keeps all advantages of DHTs over unstructured P2Ps. Relying on DHT lookup policy rather than broadcasting, the PAIS construction consumes much less cost in mapping nodes to clusters and mapping clusters to interest sub-clusters. PAIS uses an intelligent file replication algorithm to further enhance file lookup efficiency.

It creates replicas of files that are frequently requested by a group of physically close nodes in their location. Moreover, PAIS enhances the intra sub-cluster file searching through several approaches

First, it further classifies the interest of a sub-cluster to a number of sub-interests, and clusters common-sub-interest nodes into a group for file sharing.

Second, PAIS builds an overlay for each group that connects lower capacity nodes to higher capacity nodes for distributed file querying while avoiding node overload.

Third, to reduce file searching delay, PAIS uses proactive file information collection so that file requester can know if its requested file is in its nearby nodes.

Fourth, to reduce the overhead of the file information collection, PAIS uses bloom filter based file information collection and corresponding distributed file searching.

Fifth, to improve the file sharing efficiency, PAIS ranks the bloom filter results in order. Sixth, considering that a recently visited file tends to be visited again, the bloom filter based approach is enhanced by only checking the newly added bloom filter information to reduce file searching delay.

### 1) Advantages of Proposed System

The techniques proposed in this paper can benefit many current applications such as content delivery networks, P2P video-on-demand systems, and data sharing in online social networks.

We introduce the detailed design of PAIS. It is suitable for a file sharing system where files can be classified to a number of interests and each interest can be classified to a number of sub interests.

It groups peers based on both interest and proximity by taking advantage of a hierarchical structure of a structured P2P.

PAIS uses an intelligent file replication algorithm that replicates a file frequently requested by physically close nodes near their physical location to enhance the file lookup efficiency. PAIS enhances the file searching efficiency among the proximity-close and common interest nodes through a number of approaches.

## IV. OVERVIEW

### A. PAIS: A proximity-aware interest-clustered p2p file sharing system.

In our previous work], we studied a Bit Torrent user activity trace to analyze the user file sharing behaviors. We found that long distance file retrieval does exist. Thus, we can cluster physically close nodes into a cluster to enhance file sharing efficiency. Also, peers tend to visit files in a few interests. Thus, we can further cluster nodes that share an interest into a sub-cluster. Finally, popular files in each interest are shared among peers that are globally distributed. Thus, we can use file replication between locations for popular files, and use system-wide file searching for unpopular files. We introduce the detailed design of PAIS below. It is suitable for a file sharing system where files can be classified to a number of interests and each interest can be classified to a number of sub-

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

interests.

### B. PAIS Structure

PAIS is developed based on the Cycloid structured P2P network. Cycloid is a lookup efficient, constant-degree overlay with  $n=d$ .  $2d$  nodes, where  $d$  is its dimension. It achieves a time complexity of  $O(d)$  per lookup request by using  $O(1)$  neighbors per node. Each Cycloid node is represented by a pair of indices  $(k, ad-1ad-2\dots a_0)$  where  $k$  is a cyclic index and  $(ad-1ad-2\dots a_0)$  is a cubical index. The cyclic index is an integer ranging from 0 to  $d-1$ , and the cubical index is a binary number between 0 and  $2d-1$ .

1. The nodes with the same cubical index are ordered by their cyclic index mod  $d$  on a small cycle, which we call a cluster.

Figure 1 : PAIS Structure

### C. PAIS Construction and Maintenance

Node proximity representation. A landmarking method can be used to represent node closeness on the network by indices used in. Landmark clustering has been widely adopted to generate proximity information. It is based on the intuition that nodes close to each other are likely to have similar distances to a few selected landmark nodes. We assume there are  $m$  landmark nodes that are randomly scattered in the Internet.

## V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We implemented a prototype of PAIS on Planet Lab, a real-world distributed testbed, to measure the performance of PAIS in comparison with other P2P file sharing systems. We set the experiment environment according to the study results of a BitTorrent trace. We randomly selected 350 Planet Lab nodes all over the world. Among these nodes, we randomly selected 30 nodes as landmark nodes to calculate the Hilbert numbers of nodes. We clustered all nodes into 169 different locations according to the closeness of their Hilbert numbers.

We used the 56,076 files in the BitTorrent trace. The number of interests in the system was set to 20, so we also set the dimension of the Cycloid DHT to 20. We simulated 100,000 peers by default in the experiments. Each peer was randomly assigned to a location cluster among all 169 clusters, and further randomly assigned to a Planet-Lab node within this location. According to, a peer's requests mainly focus on around 20 percent of all of its interests. Thus, we randomly selected four interests (20 percent of total 20 interests) for each peer as its interests. The files are randomly assigned to a sub-cluster with the files' interest over the total 160 locations, and then randomly assigned to nodes in the sub-cluster. Eighty percent of all queries of requester target on files with owners within the same location, among which 70 percent of its queries are in the interests of the requester. According to [48], 80 percent of all requests from a peer focus on its interests, and each of other requests is in a randomly selected interest outside of its interests. A request in an interest means a request for a randomly selected file in this interest. We also let each file have copy in another peer in a different location in order to test the proximity-aware file searching performance.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In recent years, to enhance file location efficiency in P2P systems, interest-clustered super-peer networks and proximity-clustered super-peer networks have been proposed. Although both strategies improve the performance of P2P systems, few works cluster peers based on both peer interest and physical proximity simultaneously. Moreover, it is harder to realize it in structured P2P systems due to their strictly defined topologies, although they have high efficiency of file location than unstructured P2Ps. In this paper, we introduce a proximity-aware and interest-clustered P2P file sharing system based on a structured P2P. It groups peers based on both interest and proximity by taking advantage of a hierarchical structure of a structured P2P. PAIS uses an intelligent file replication algorithm that replicates a file frequently requested by physically close nodes near their physical location to enhance the file lookup efficiency. Finally, PAIS enhances the file searching efficiency among the proximity close and common interest nodes through a number of approaches. The trace-driven experimental results on Planet Lab demonstrate the efficiency of PAIS in comparison with other P2P file sharing systems. It dramatically reduces the overhead and yields significant improvements in file location efficiency even in node dynamism. Also, the experimental results show the effectiveness of the approaches for improving file searching efficiency among the proximity close and common-interest nodes.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BitTorrent. (2013) [Online]. Available: <http://www.bittorrent.com>
- [2] Gnutella home page. (2003) [Online]. Available: <http://www.gnutella.co>
- [3] I. Clarke, O. Sandberg, B. Wiley, and T. W. Hong, "Freenet: A distributed anonymous information storage and retrieval system," in Proc. Int. Workshop Des.

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

- Issues Anonymity Unobservability, 2001, pp. 46–66.
- [4] I. Stoica, R. Morris, D. Liben-Nowell, D. R. Karger, M. F. Kaashoek, F. Dabek, and H. Balakrishnan, “Chord: A scalable peer-to-peer lookup protocol for internet applications,” *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 17–32, Feb. 2003
- [5] A. Rowstron and P. Druschel, “Pastry: Scalable, decentralized object location and routing for large-scale peer-to-peer systems,” in *Proc. IFIP/ACM Int. Conf. Distrib. Syst. Platforms Heidelberg*, 2001, pp. 329–350
- [6] B. Y. Zhao, L. Huang, J. Stribling, S. C. Rhea, A. D. Joseph, and J. Kubiawicz, “Tapestry: A resilient global-scale overlay for service deployment,” *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 41–53, 2004
- [7] H. Shen, C. Xu, and G. Chen, “Cycloid: A scalable constant-degree P2P overlay network,” *Perform. Eval.*, vol. 63, pp. 195–216, 2006
- [8] Z. Li, G. Xie, and Z. Li, “Efficient and scalable consistency maintenance for heterogeneous peer-to-peer systems,” *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 1695–1708, Dec. 2008
- [9] H. Shen and C.-Z. Xu, “Hash-based proximity clustering for efficient load balancing in heterogeneous DHT networks,” *J. Parallel Distrib. Comput.*, vol. 68, pp. 686–702, 2008
- [10] FastTrack. (2003) [Online]. Available: [http://www.fastrack.net/index\\_int.htm](http://www.fastrack.net/index_int.htm)
- [11] S. Ratnasamy, M. Handley, R. Karp, and S. Shenker, “Topologically-aware overlay construction and server selection,” in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, 2002, pp. 1190–1199
- [12] M. Waldvogel and R. Rinaldi, “Efficient topology-aware overlay network,” in *Proc. ACM Workshop Hot Topics Netw.*, 2002, pp. 101–106
- [13] Y. Zhu and H. Shen, “An efficient and scalable framework for content-based publish/subscribe systems,” *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.*, vol. 1, pp. 3–17, 2008
- [14] C. Hang and K. C. Sia, “Peer clustering and firework query model,” in *Proc. Int. World Wide Web Conf.*, 2002
- [15] A. Crespo and H. Garcia-Molina, “Routing indices for peer-to-peer systems,” in *Proc. 22nd Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst.*, 2002, pp. 23–32
- [16] W. Nejdl, M. Wolpers, W. Siberski, C. Schmitz, M. Schlosser, I. Brunkhorst, and A. Loeser, “Super-peer-based routing and clustering strategies for RDF-based peer-to-peer networks,” *J. Web Semantics*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 137–240, 2004
- [17] P. A. Bernstein, F. Giunchiglia, A. Kementsietsidis, J. Mylopoulos, L. Serafini, and I. Zaihrayeu, “Data management for peer-to-peer computing: A vision,” in *Proc. 5th Int. Workshop World Wide Web Databases*, 2002, pp. 89–94
- [18] A. Y. Halevy, Z. G. Ives, P. Mork, and I. Tatarinov, “Piazza: Data management infrastructure for semantic web applications,” in *Proc. 12th Int. Conf. World Wide Web*, 2003, pp. 556–567.
- [19] K. Aberer, P. Cudre-Mauroux, and M. Hauswirth, “The chatty web: Emergent semantics through gossiping,” in *Proc. 12th Int. Conf. World Wide Web*, 2003, pp. 197–20
- [20] Morpheus. (2008) [Online]. Available: <http://www.musiccity.Co>
- [21] P. Garbacki, D. H. J. Epema, and M. van Steen, “Optimizing peer relationships in a super-peer network,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst.*, 2007, p. 31
- [22] B. Mitra, A. K. Dubey, S. Ghose, and N. Ganguly, “How do superpeer networks emerge?” in *Proc. INFOCOM*, 2010, pp. 1–9
- [23] Q. Hofstatter, S. Zols, M. Michel, Z. Despotovic, and W. Kellerer, “Chordella—A hierarchical peer-to-peer overlay implementation for heterogeneous, mobile environments,” in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Peer-to-Peer Comput.*, 2008, pp. 75–76
- [24] M. Saez-Argiz, P. Garcia-Lopez, and A. F. G. Skarmeta, “On the feasibility of dynamic superpeer ratio maintenance,” in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Peer-to-Peer Comput.*, 2008, pp. 333–342
- [25] H. Liu, J. Thomas, and P. Khethavath, “Moving target with load balancing in P2P cloud,” in *Proc. IEEE 6th Int. Conf. Cloud Comput.*, 2013, pp. 359–366
- [26] P. Garbacki, D. H. J. Epema, and M. V. Steen, “The design and evaluation of a self-organizing superpeer network,” *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 317–331, Mar. 2010.
- [27] S. Ratnasamy, P. Francis, M. Handley, R. Karp, and S. Shenker, “A scalable content-addressable network,” in *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM*, 2001, pp. 329–350
- [28] S. Genaud and C. Rattanapoka, “Large-scale experiment of coallocation strategies for peer-to-peer supercomputing in P2PMPI,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Parallel Distrib. Symp.*, 2008, pp. 1–8
- [29] R. Cuevas, N. Laoutais, X. Yang, G. Siganos, and P. Rodriguez, “BitTorrent locality and transit traffic reduction: When, why and at what cost?” *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1177–1189, May 2014
- [30] C. Decker, R. Eidenbenz, and R. Wattenhofer, “Exploring and improving BitTorrent topologies,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Peer-to-Peer Comput.*, 2013, pp. 1–10
- [31] Y. Liu, L. Guo, F. Li, and S. Chen, “A case study of traffic locality in Internet P2P live streaming systems,” in *Proc. IEEE 29th Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst.*, 2009, pp. 423–432
- [32] H. Shen and K. Hwang, “Locality-preserving clustering and discover of wide-area grid resources,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst.*, 2009, pp. 518–525
- [33] F. Lehrieder, S. Oechsner, T. Hossfeld, Z. Despotovic, W. Kellerer, and M. Michel, “Can P2P-users benefit from locality-awareness?” in *Proc. IEEE 10th Int. Conf. Peer-to-Peer Comput.*, 2010, pp. 1–9.
- [34] M. Yang and Y. Yang, “An efficient hybrid peer-to-peer system for distributed data sharing,” *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 1158–1171, Sep. 2010
- [35] C. Gross, B. Richerzhagen, D. Stingl, J. Weber, D. Hausheer, and R. Steinmetz, “GeoSwarm: A multi-aourcesownloadacheme for peer-to-peer location-based aervices,” in *Proc. 13th IEEE Conf. Peer-to-Peer Comput.*, 2013, pp. 1–10
- [36] M.P. Manzillo, L. Ciminiera, G. Marchetto, and F. Risso, “CLOSER: A collaborative locality-aware overlay SERvice,” *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 1030–1037, Jun. 2012
- [37] G. Ruffo and R. Schifanella, “A peer-to-peer recommender system based on spontaneous affinities,” *ACM Trans. Internet Technol.*, vol. 9, pp. 1–34, 2009
- [38] K. Elkhyaoui, D. Kato, K. Kunieda, K. Yamada, and P. Michiardi, “A scalable interest-oriented peer-to-peer pub/sub network,” in *Proc. 9th Int. Conf. Peer-to-Peer Comput.*, 2009, pp. 204–211.
- [39] A. Iamnitchi, M. Ripeanu, E. Santos-Neto, and I. Foster, “The small world of file sharing,” *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1120–1134, Jul. 2011
- [40] X. Cheng and J. Liu, “NetTube: Exploring social networks for peer-to-peer short video sharing,” in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, 2009, pp. 1152–1160
- [41] Z. Li and H. Shen, “Social-P2P: Social network-based P2P file sharing system,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Netw. Protocols*, 2012, pp. 1–10. [42] K. C. J. Lin, C.

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

- P. Wang, C. F. Chou, and L. Golubchik, "Socionet: A social-based multimedia access system for unstructured p2p networks," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst., vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1027–1041, Jul. 2010
- [42] Y. Li, L. Shou, and K. L. Tan, "CYBER: A community-based search engine," in Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Peer-to-Peer Comput., 2008, pp. 215–224
- [43] F. Liu, Y. Sun, B. Li, B. Li, and X. Zhang, "FS2You: Peer-assisted semi-persistent online hosting at a large scale," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst., vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 1442–1457, Oct. 2010.





10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)