



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 Issue: VIII Month of publication: August 2017

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2017.8064>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Azospirillum: Bioformulations, Product Quality and Survivability

Amrita Gupta¹, Pramod Kumar Sahu²

¹Department of Biotechnology, M.S. Ramaiah College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Bengaluru, India-560085

²ICAR-National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Microorganisms, Mau, India-275 103

Abstract: Bioformulation is the form in which the microbe is applied to the crop field for beneficial effects. Impact of microorganisms is also depends on kind of formulation used. *Azospirillum* confers several benefits apart from fixing dinitrogen to the host plant like enhanced plant growth by phytohormone production, tolerance from diseases by induced systemic resistance, etc. These effects are achieved by applying them in definite formulation. There are several formulations which can suit the needs of farmers like solid powder based, liquid, polymer entrapped, fluid bed dried formulation, etc. Although traditional formulations are available in different carriers, but continuous improvement in formulation technology is necessary for prompt effects on crop plants. In this review, we will discuss different aspects of *Azospirillum* bioformulations.

Keywords: *Azospirillum*, bioformulations, carrier, plant growth promotion

I. INTRODUCTION

Bioformulation and inoculation has its roots deep into the history. There are several evidences in the traditional farming in India, whose roles were not realized during their performance. Practice of crop rotation and sprinkling soil from previously cultivated farm into new farms brought under the cultivation is ceremoniously on to the new field (Brahmaprakash and Sahu 2012) are one of them. These kinds of rituals today are scientifically proven as inoculation. They are an integral input of organic farming and sustainable agriculture imparting several benefits to crops (Renu et al., 2016a, 2016b; Sahu et al., 2016b; Renu et al., 2017; Meena et al., 2017, Nair et al., 2017). The success of inoculant technology depends on potential of microbial strain and its formulation. Despite this fact that formulation is very crucial for performance of inoculants, there is no formulation which can be used in all inoculants (Brahmaprakash and Sahu, 2012) and the popularity among farmers is low (Sivasakthivelan and Saranraj 2013).

Azospirillum spp. is ubiquitous in different the climatic conditions and soil type in various crops grown. *Azospirillum* spp. fixes atmospheric nitrogen, solubilise phosphorus, enhance mineral and water uptake, phytohormones production, biocontrol, etc. as described elsewhere in this paper. Tarrand et al. (1978) reported *Azospirillum* genes as most promising organisms by its capability of colonizing roots in large numbers and exerting beneficial effects to plants. Thus, a successful bioformulation of *Azospirillum* can have very crucial role in farming community.

A. Product quality of *Azospirillum* inoculants

All the formulations for *Azospirillum* have to follow the quality of the product as per BIS standards of fertilizer (control) order (amendment, November 2009) as described by Yadav (2009).

Table: Specification of biofertilizers—*Azospirillum* (Yadav 2009)

1.	Base	Carrier based* in form of moist/dry powder or granules, or liquid based
2.	Viable cell count	CFU minimum 5×10^7 cell/g of carrier material or 1×10^8 cell/ml of liquid.
3.	Contamination level	No contamination at 10^{-5} dilution
4.	pH	6.5–7.5
5.	Particle size in case of carrier based materials	All materials should pass through 0.15–0.212 mm IS sieve
6.	Moisture percent by weight maximum in case of carrier based	30–40%
7.	Efficiency character	Formation of white pellicle in semisolid nitrogen free bromothimol blue media

*Type of carrier: The carrier materials such as peat, lignite, peat soil and humus, wood charcoal or similar material favoring growth of organism.

II. DIFFERENT CARRIERS OF AZOSPIRILLUM AND ITS VIABILITY

Carriers are inert material used for transporting microbes from lab to land. There are various kinds of carriers available for inoculant formulation. Many of them have been used for Azospirillum successfully. Peat is one of the most preferred carriers because it is rich in organic matter and high water holding capacity (Iswaran 1969). Survival of Azospirillum inoculant was tested up to 31 weeks using FYM, soil and charcoal as carriers in different combinations. A mixture of all three was reported highest viable counts of Azospirillum than others (Tilak 1979).

Biochar is also used as a carrier of Azospirillum. Biochar is a kind of charcoal produced by pyrolysis of biomass under limited oxygen availability. Glaser (2007) reported that biochar application with bacterial inoculant enhances plant performance. Saranya et al. (2011) used two different sources of biochar (acacia wood and coconut shell) as a carrier formulation of *Azospirillum lipoferum* (AZ 204) inoculant, and compared with lignite. After 180 days of inoculation among the different carriers, coconut shell based biochar recorded a maximum population of $\log_{10} 10.79$ CFU g^{-1} of carrier. Coconut shell based biochar was also found enhancing seedling vigour index of green gram (CO 3) higher than other carriers and also increase the survival of *Azospirillum lipoferum* up to 180 days of storage period at a required population as compared to acacia wood based biochar and lignite.

Shelf life of Azospirillum bioinoculant was tested with different organic amendments as carrier material like sawdust, straw powder, paddy wood, charcoal, poultry manure, farmyard manure and lignite. Observations of Stella and Sivasakthivelan (2009) revealed the sawdust sustaining high population ($\log_{10} 9.80$ CFU g^{-1} of carrier)

III. FORMULATIONS OF AZOSPIRILLUM

Biofertilizers are the preparations containing live or latent microorganisms, beneficial for plants; and a bioformulation is physical form of carrier on which the inoculum is being supplied to plant or soil. Like different carriers, there are different formulations available of varying qualities, like solid (Warren et al. 2009), liquid (Deaker et al. 2004; Dayamani 2010; Valineni and Brahmprakash 2011), polymer entrapped (Fages 1992), fluid bed dried (Brahmaprakash and Sahu 2012; Sahu 2012; Sahu et al. 2013); infected root inoculum (Hung and Sylvia 1988), etc.

A. Solid formulation

It is traditional formulation of Azospirillum. Survival of Azospirillum in solid carriers like vermiculite, peat, corn cobs, powdered peanut shell, and polyacrylamide gel were studied. These studies reported that vermiculite supported 10^7 cells of Azospirillum g^{-1} of carrier even after seven months (Sparrow and Han 1981).

Several waste products can be used as carrier in solid formulations. A number of locally available material like lignite, pressmud, charcoal, soil, peat and coffee waste and found to be better than other in enhancing survival of Azospirillum up to 200 days. In pressmud formulation of Azospirillum the rate of decline of population was least (Kumar Rao et al. 1982).

B. Liquid inoculants

Liquid formulations are aqueous, oil, or polymer-based products. It is a formulation containing desired microorganisms, nutrients, cell protectant and additives that promote cell survival in storage and after application to seed or soil (Brahmaprakash and Sahu 2012; Sahu and Brahmprakash, 2016). Liquid inoculants are known to maintain a good population density of inoculum which is an important parameter to measure the quality of biofertilizer. Additives and osmoprotectants in liquid biofertilizer protect the cells upon application from toxicity, desiccation and osmotic shock (Vithal Navi 2004).

The liquid inoculants of *Rhizobium* sp., *Azotobacter* sp., *Azospirillum* sp. and PSB found maintaining population up to the level of 10^8 cells per ml (Sridhar et al. 2004; Dayamani 2010; Valineni and Brahmprakash 2011). Since the liquid biofertilizer have sufficiently higher cell count, each seed receives more than thousands of cells in seed inoculation.

Dayamani (2010) has studied the effects of nature and concentration of additives on the performance of liquid inoculum. Inoculants of *Azotobacter* sp., *Azospirillum* sp., *Acinetobacter* sp., *Bacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas* sp. were tested with different osmolytes in different concentration to optimize it for liquid inoculant preparation. It was clear from this study that each organism responds variably to different osmolytes and its concentration. PVP K-15 at 2% concentration was found optimum for *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. PEG 4000 at 2% concentration found best for *Acinetobacter* sp., glycerol at 2% level for *Azotobacter* sp., PVP and PEG both at 1% and 2% levels for *Azospirillum* sp.

IV. POLYMER ENTRAPPED FORMULATION

Continuous improvements in inoculant technology resulted in polymer entrapment as a method of inoculant formulation. In polymer entrapment, the polymer and subjected to chemical solidification after mixing with mass multiplied cells. Solidification forms uniform beads entrapping live cells inside. These beads are fermented for further growth in nutrient broth and then dried. These beads are degraded after application to soil by microorganisms and release the entrapped cells into soil. These polymers are proven potential bacterial carriers (Jung et al. 1982).

The dry beads give an interesting and excellent survival rates over a long period. Experiment started on 1983 with two plant growth promoting bacteria (*Azospirillum brasilense* Cd and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 313) immobilized in two types of alginate-bead inoculant (with and without skim-milk supplement), dried and stored at ambient temperature. Beads are recovered after 14 years in 1996 and found that the population in each type of bead had decreased, yet significant numbers 10^5 - 10^6 CFU g^{-1} beads survived (Bashan and Gonzalez 1999). They found that morphology as well as plant growth promotion activity was similar to their 1983 cultures.

A successful inoculation of alginate beads of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were done on wheat plants under field conditions (Fages 1992). Fages (1992) also reported that survival of *Azospirillum* in different carriers such as peat, vermiculite, alginates and liquid formulation and reported that dried microgranulated alginate formulation was performing better. Experiments on *Azospirillum* inoculant formulations have been proposed on different biopolymer like alginate, xanthan gum and pero-dextrin which were shown to be good carriers as protect the inocula against stress conditions (Somasegaran 1985).

V. FLUID BED DRIED BIOFORMULATION

Fluid bed dryer (FBD) is a dryer in which material is maintained suspended against gravity in an upward flowing air stream creating a fluidized condition. This have been tried in several plant growth promoting rhizobacteria including *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Acinetobacter* sp., *Bacillus megaterium*, etc. (Sahu et al., 2013; Lavanya et al., 2015a, 2015b; Lavanya et al., 2016; Sahu et al., 2016a). It can be a potential bioformulation for *Azospirillum* as the decline in number of cells is very limited (Sahu et al. 2013; Sahu et al., 2016a), absolutely no contamination builds up (Brahmaprakash and Sahu 2012; Sahu and Brahmaprakash, 2016) and several ingredients can be mixed and dried (Srivastava and Mishra 2010). With all these features, the FBD can be a better candidate for use in *Azospirillum* inoculant industry.

VI. CONCLUSION

The performance of inoculant microbes is very much dependent on nature of formulation it is applied. Therefore, beneficial impacts on plant can be enhanced by providing a balanced bioformulation which can support higher cell counts of *Azospirillum* spp. and provide better yield benefits to plants. It is also necessary for efficient transportation, product performance, contamination level, longevity of inoculants, etc. Thus, Advancement in formulation technology with higher standards is need of the hour for enhancing crop productivity by microbial inoculants.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMEN

Authors are grateful to their respective institutes for support and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bashan Y, Gonzalez LE (1999) Long-term survival of the plant-growth-promoting bacteria *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in dry alginate inoculant. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 51:262–266
- [2] Brahmaprakash, G. P. and Sahu, P. K. 2012, Biofertilizers for Sustainability. *Journal of Indian Institute of Sciences*, 92:1, pp. 37-62 (2017 IF=0.857)
- [3] Dayamani KJ (2010) Formulation and determination of effectiveness of liquid inoculants of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. PhD Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India
- [4] Deaker R, Roughley RJ, Kennedy IR (2004) Legume seed inoculation technology- a review. *Soil Biol Biochem* 36:75–88
- [5] Fages J (1992) An industrial view of *Azospirillum* inoculants: formulation and application technology. *Symbios* 13:15–26
- [6] Fages J (1992) An industrial view of *Azospirillum* inoculants: formulation and application technology. *Symbios* 13:15–26
- [7] Glaser B (2007) Prehistorically modified soils of central Amazonia, a model for sustainable agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of Royal Society B* 362:187–196
- [8] Hung LLL, Sylvia DM (1988) Production of Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus Inoculum in Aeroponic Culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 54(2):353–357
- [9] Iswaran V (1969) Growth and survival of *R. trifoli* in coir dust and soybean meal compost. *Madras Agric J* 59:52
- [10] Jung G, Mugnier J, Diem HG et al (1982) Polymer entrapped Rhizobium as an inoculant for legume. *Plant Soil* 65:219–231
- [11] Kumar Rao JVDK, Mohan Kumar C, Patil RB (1982) Alternate carrier materials for Rhizobium inoculant production. *Mysore J Agric Sci* 16:252–255
- [12] Lavanya, G., Sahu, P. K., Brahmaprakash, G. P., 2016, Survival and effectiveness of fluid bed dried formulation of microbial consortium on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *Environment and Ecology*, 34(4):2440-2444

- [13] Lavanya, G., Sahu, P. K., Manikanta DS, Brahmaaprakash, G. P. (2015b) Effect of fluid bed dried formulation in comparison with lignite formulation of microbial consortium on finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn.). *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology* 9(2):193-199.
- [14] Lavanya, G., Sahu, P. K., Manikanta DS, Brahmaaprakash, G. P., 2015a, Standardization of methodology for preparation of microbial consortia of agriculturally beneficial microorganisms using fluid bed dryer. *Journal of soil biology and ecology*. 35(1&2): 126-134.
- [15] Meena KK, Sorty AM, Bitla UM, Choudhary K, Gupta P, Pareek A, Singh DP, Ratna Prabha, Sahu PK, Gupta VK, Singh HB, Krishanani KK and Minhas PS, 2017, Abiotic Stress Responses and Microbe-Mediated Mitigation in Plants: The Omics Strategies. *Frontiers in Plant Sciences*, 8(172): 1-25
- [16] Nair SS, Sahu PK and G P Brahmaaprakash, 2017, Microbial Inoculants for Agriculture In Changing Climates. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 51(1): 27-44
- [17] Renu, Manish S Bhojar, Udai B. Singh, Upasana Sahu, Dipak T Nagrale, Pramod Kumar Sahu, 2017, Characterization of lytic bacteriophage Xcc9SH3 infecting *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *Campestris*. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 99(1) 233-238.
- [18] Renu, Pramod K. Sahu, Upasana Sahu, Manish S. Bhojar, Rajiv K. Singh and Munish Kumar, 2016b, Microbial dynamics in rhizosphere at different growth stages of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) based on plant growth promoting functions. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series Vol. 37 (4): 434-444*
- [19] Renu, Pramod Kumar Sahu, Upasana Sahu, Manish S Bhojar, Munish Kumar, Udai Bhan Singh and R K Pathak, 2016a, Ecological success of compatible microbes in consortia isolated from rice rhizosphere for growth and yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*, 10 (4):3231-3239.
- [20] Sahu PK (2012) Development of Fluid Bed Dried (FBD) inoculant formulation of consortium of agriculturally important microorganisms (AIM). M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India
- [21] Sahu PK and G.P. Brahmaaprakash (2016). Formulations of biofertilizers- approaches and advances. In: *Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity- vol. 2 Functional application* (eds). Singh DP et al., Springer pp. 179-198. (DOI 10.1007/978-81-322-2644-4_12).
- [22] Sahu PK, Lavanya G, Gupta A and Brahmaaprakash GP, 2016a, Fluid bed dried microbial consortium for enhanced plant growth: A step towards next generation bioformulation. *Vegetos*, 29(4): 6-10.
- [23] Sahu PK, Sharma L, Amrita Gupta, Renu (2016b) Rhizospheric and Endophytic Beneficial Microorganisms: Treasure For Biological Control of Plant Pathogens. In: *Recent Biotechnological applications in India* (eds. Subhash Santra and A Mallick). ENVIS centre on Environmental Biotechnology, University of Kalyani, WB, pp: 50-63
- [24] Sahu, P. K., Lavanya, G., Brahmaaprakash, G. P., 2013, Fluid bed dried microbial inoculants formulation with improved survival and reduced contamination level. *Journal of soil biology and ecology*. 33(1&2): 81-94
- [25] Saranya K, Santhana Krishnan P, Kumutha K et al (2011) Biochar as an alternate carrier to lignite for the preparation of biofertilizers in India. *Int J Curr Res* 3(5):009-013
- [26] Sivasakthivelan P, Saranraj P (2013) Azospirillum and its formulations: a review. *Int J Microbiol Res* 4(3):275-287
- [27] Somasegaran P (1985) Inoculant production with diluted liquid cultures of *Rhizobium* spp and autoclaved peat. Evaluation of diluents. *Rhizobium* spp peats, sterility requirements, storage and plant effectiveness. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 50(2):398-405 Sparrow SD, GE Han (1981) Survival of *Rhizobium phaseoli* in six carrier materials. *Agron J* 75: 181-184
- [28] Sridhar V, Brahmaaprakash GP, Hegde SV (2004) Development of a liquid inoculant using osmoprotectants for Phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Karnataka J Agri Sci* 17:251-257
- [29] Srivastava S, Mishra G (2010) Fluid Bed Technology: Overview and Parameters for Process Selection. *Int J Pharm Sci Drug Res* 2(4):236-246
- [30] Stella D, Sivasakthivelan P (2009) Effect of different organic amendments addition into Azospirillum bioinoculant with lignite as carrier material. *Bot Res Intl* 2:229-232
- [31] Tarrand JJ, Kreig NR, Dobereiner J (1978) A taxonomic study of the *Spirillum lipoferum* group, with descriptions of a new genus, *Azospirillum* gen. Nov. and two species, *Azospirillum brasilense* (Beijerinck) comb. Nov. and *Azospirillum brasilense* sp. nov. *Can J Microbiol* 24: 967-980 Tilak KVBR (1979) Survival of *Azospirillum brasilense* in different carriers. *Curr. Sci.*, 48: 412.
- [32] Velineni S, Brahmaaprakash (2011) Survival and phosphate solubilizing ability of *Bacillus megaterium* in liquid inoculants under high temperature and desiccation stress. *J Agr Sci Tech* 13:795-802
- [33] Vithal Navi (2004) Development of Liquid Inoculant Formulations for *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*Arachis*), *Azospirillum lipoferum* and *Azotobacter chroococcum*. PhD Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore
- [34] Warren GP, Robinson JS, Someus E (2009) Dissolution of phosphorus from animal bone char in 12 soils. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosys* 84:167-178
- [35] Yadav AK (2009) Glimpses of Fertilizer (control) order for biofertilizers (amendment, November 2009). *Biofertilizer Newsletter* 17(2):11-14



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)